# Exhibit 5

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IN RE JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL CASES

MDL NO. 16-2738 (MAS) (RLS)

# AMENDED RULE 26 EXPERT REPORT OF WILLIAM SAGE, MD, JD

Dated: November 15, 2023

William Sage, M.D., J.D.

Witham. Sy

# **Table of Contents**

A.	Qualifications	1
B.	Methodology	2
C.	Discussion	2
D.	Summary of Opinions	28
Appenix 1: Summary of scientific evidence relating to talcum powder and its association with ovarian cancer		30
Appendix 2: Comparison of CIR and Health Canada Assessments		33
Appe	Appendix 3: Relevant cosmetic laws and regulations	

# **Qualifications**

A.

I am currently a tenured Professor of Law at Texas A&M University School of 1. Law in Fort Worth, TX, a tenured Professor of Translational Medical Science at Texas A&M University School of Medicine, a professor by courtesy at the Bush School of Government and Public Service at Texas A&M University, and an Assistant Vice President in the Texas A&M University Health Science Center.

Document 33006-9

PageID: 205332

- 2. I received my A.B. degree magna cum laude in biochemical sciences from Harvard College in 1982. I received both my M.D. degree with research honors in anesthesia and critical care medicine and my J.D. degree with distinction from Stanford University in 1988. I hold an honorary doctorate from Universite Paris Descartes. I completed my medical internship at Mercy Hospital in San Diego and served one year of residency in anesthesiology and critical care medicine at The Johns Hopkins Hospital in Baltimore. I practiced corporate and securities law at O'Melveny & Myers in Los Angeles before entering the legal academy.
- 3. I have written over 200 articles, many of them peer reviewed, and have written or edited four books, including the Oxford Handbook of U.S. Health Law (2016). Many of these publications are relevant to the topic of this report, and reflect both analytical and empirical research.
- I was recruited to Texas A&M University in 2022 to become the founding director of the Texas A&M University Institute for Healthcare Access. From 2006 to 2022, I was James R. Dougherty Chair for Faculty Excellence in the School of Law and Professor of Surgery and Perioperative Care in the Dell Medical School at the University of Texas at Austin, where I also served from 2006 to 2022 as that university's first Vice Provost for Health Affairs.
- I was a tenured Professor of Law at Columbia until 2006, and have been a visiting law professor at Yale, Harvard, New York University (where I also was appointed a visiting professor of population health in the NYU Langone School of Medicine), Duke, Emory, and George Washington University.
- I have taught classes in regulatory theory and public policy at Columbia Law School, the University of Texas School of Law, and Texas A&M University School of Law, classes in professional ethics and self-governance focusing on law and medicine, and a wide range of classes on health law and policy specifically.
- 7. I have served in advisory roles for governmental, academic, professional, and community organizations. I served as Cluster Leader, Health Care Working Group, on President Clinton's Task Force on Health Care Reform in 1993. Examples of present or recent leadership roles include: Editorial Board, Health Affairs; Fellows Council, The Hastings Center on Bioethics, of which I am an elected fellow; Board of Directors, ChangeLab Solutions; National Advisory Council, National Center on Medical-Legal Partnership.
- Among other professional achievements, I am an elected member of the National Academy of Medicine, one of the three National Academies of Sciences. I have served the

National Academies in several voluntary capacities, including: Member, Board on Health Care Services; Member, Committee on the Future of Nursing, 2020-2030; Monitor, Report on Regulating Medicines in a Globalized World; Peer reviewer, Report on Making Medicines Affordable: A National Imperative (2018). I am also an elected member of the American Law Institute, and I have served that organization as a volunteer advisor to the Restatement (Third) of Torts, focusing on proposed additions and revisions involving medical malpractice.

- 9. My expertise is in the science of policymaking, including the science of regulatory design. I have particular expertise in the regulation of health and safety, in information-based regulation, and in self-regulatory models. Self-regulation in which I am expert includes government-supervised health and financial self-regulation, corporate compliance and corporate governance, and the regulation of self-governing professions.
- 10. My compensation is \$900/hour. I have not testified in any litigation in the last 4 years. My most recent Curriculum Vitae is attached as Exhibit A.

#### В. Methodology

- I was asked to answer the following questions: (a) What are the regulatory practices and standards under which manufacturers of cosmetics operate? and (b) Did Johnson & Johnson comply with these standards in its general development, manufacture, marketing, and sale of talcum powder products?<sup>1</sup> I was not asked to give an opinion as to whether talcum powder products cause cancer.
- 12. I approached this analysis using the same processes and attention that I have applied in my professional and academic career. My research included searching and reviewing relevant laws and regulations, standards in the industry, peer-reviewed literature, publicly available information, and relevant corporate documents requested of counsel. Throughout this report, I cite specific documents; I have also attached a list of materials I reviewed as background even if not specifically cited in this report. My opinions were formulated based on this research and my professional knowledge, experience, and expertise.

#### C. **Discussion**

Federal regulation places responsibility directly on manufacturers to inform consumers of hazards and assure the safety of their products without extensive FDA participation or oversight.

Federal regulations for cosmetics have been in place for more than 80 years and have not changed significantly, even as a highly profitable cosmetics industry has grown and industrialized and globalized.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As used in this report, "talcum powder products" means Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower products containing talc as an ingredient.

Congress first granted the FDA regulatory authority over cosmetics as part of the 14. 1938 Food, Drug and Cosmetics Act (FDCA). 21 U.S.C. § 361 et seg.

PageID: 205334

- In 1933, FDA created a traveling exhibit to showcase "about 100 dangerous, 15. deceptive, or worthless products that the FDA lacked authority to remove from the market"- the so-called "American Chamber of Horrors." Public concern led to the passage of the 1938 FDCA.
- 16. Cosmetics regulation is small in scale and scope compared to drug and medical device regulation. There are only three statutory sections in the FDCA related to cosmetics, from which is derived all of FDA's authority. In Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulation, regulations governing cosmetics comprise only one subchapter with five parts. In comparison, regulations governing drugs comprise two subchapters with fifty-one parts. And regulations governing medical devices comprise one subchapter with thirty-four parts.
- 17. The two central aspects of federal cosmetics regulation are (1) manufacturer informational obligations to consumers and (2) reliance on manufacturer and industry selfregulation. FDA has little direct involvement in these activities, and lacks routine authority to assure the safety of cosmetic products or ingredients.
- The rudimentary character of the federal regulatory scheme for cosmetics has 18. long been a topic of interest, with scholars and others acknowledging and debating the effectiveness of FDA's highly circumscribed authority over cosmetics under the FDCA. Hutt, Merrill, & Grossman, "Cosmetics," Food and Drug Law Cases and Materials (2014).
- The FDA itself recognizes its limitations in effectively regulating cosmetics. Susan Mayne, Director of the Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (CFSAN), testified in 2019 about the limitations of FDA's ability to regulate the industry.
- Relevant, selected excerpts of testimony of Susan Mayne, Subcommittee on 20. Health, House Committee on Energy and Commerce (Dec. 4, 2019):
  - "... our authority over cosmetics has not modernized even as the industry has undergone rapid evolution."

"Cosmetics firms are responsible for the safety of their products and ingredients." However, they are not required to provide any safety information to the Agency, even if requested by FDA during an inspection."

"In recent years, our program for cosmetics is approximately \$10 million and has represented about three percent of CFSAN's total \$327 million budget."

"Companies and individuals who market these products in the U.S. are responsible for the safety and labeling of their products. As stated above, the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://www.fda.gov/about-fda/fda-history-exhibits/80-years-federal-food-drug-and-cosmeticact

current law does not require cosmetics to be reviewed and approved by FDA prior to being sold to American consumers."

PageID: 205335

"FDA's legal authority over cosmetics is different from our authority over other products we regulate, such as drugs, biologics, and medical devices."<sup>3</sup>

- 21. The cosmetics industry has equivocated between opposing stronger federal safety regulation and admitting its necessity, usually depending on trends in the state regulatory environment and in private litigation.
- 22. PCPC President Edward Kavanaugh said the following at the organization's 1995 Annual Meeting: "We begin [PCPC]'s second century with a great tradition of self regulation. And, as long as anyone can remember, our basic mission has been the same – keep government out of our backyard – no unnecessary or burdensome regulation."<sup>4</sup>
- According to Hutt, Merrill, and Grossman: "The ability of the FDA to monitor 23. and bring regulatory action with respect to claims for cosmetic products depends on the resources available to the agency for this purpose. Because of budgetary factors, FDA announced in 1998 that it was reducing the staff of the Office of Cosmetics and Colors by 50 percent and cutting back or eliminating many cosmetic regulatory programs. This reduction was so substantial that it propelled the cosmetic industry to request and obtain restoration by Congress of adequate funds to assure that the FDA has a credible cosmetic regulatory program."
- Appearing on behalf of the PCPC before the Health Subcommittee of the Committee on Energy & Commerce, U.S. House of Representatives on March 27, 2012, Peter B. Hutt said: "It is essential ... that FDA regulatory authority over cosmetics is firmly established as comprehensive and paramount. It is extremely important for the vitality of the industry that FDA establish national standards on safety that apply in every state. It is impossible to formulate innovative products if different safety standards apply in different states. And FDA authority is undermined if states create regulatory régimes for cosmetics that are different from FDA regulation of cosmetics."
- 25. On December 29, 2022, the Modernization of Cosmetics Regulation Act of 2022 ("Regulation Modernization Act") was signed into law by President Biden as part of the omnibus Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023. The Regulation Modernization Act did not fundamentally alter cosmetics regulation, but reinforced existing obligations of manufacturers and the cosmetics industry by increasing FDA funding and oversight authority for reporting, product and facility registration, product recall, and safety substantiation. The Regulation Modernization Act recognizes through federal legislation the serious risks to life and health that cosmetics products can present, and the Regulation Modernization Act includes a section

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://www.fda.gov/cosmetics/cosmetics-laws-regulations/fda-authority-over-cosmetics-howcosmetics-are-not-fda-approved-are-fda-regulated

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> PCPC\_MDL00015232

instructing FDA to issue regulations standardizing testing procedures for asbestos in talccontaining cosmetics products.

# Information and transparency for consumers are the fundamental regulatory obligations for food, drug, and cosmetics companies.

Document 33006-9

PageID: 205336

- 26. The 1906 Pure Food and Drug Act, which was the statute that began the modern era of federal regulation, primarily remedied concealment of actual or potential hazards to consumers in connection with widely sold, aggressively marketed "patent medicines."
- Informational obligations were necessary in part because "patent medicine" 27. manufacturers did not identify the ingredients in their products, treating them as trade secrets (few if any were actually patented, which would have required public disclosure). To this day, "fragrance" receives similar latitude in cosmetics regulation.
- 28. The Pure Food and Drug Act required ingredient disclosure for drugs and prohibited movement in commerce of misbranded or adulterated products. Adulteration and misbranding are distinct but related concepts; adulteration implies misbranding because a hazardous or unsanitary contaminant has been introduced without identification or warning to consumers about safe versus unsafe use. In addition to empowering FDA to sue to halt movement of offending products in commerce, adulteration and misbranding comprise part of the core focus of federal regulation on the informational obligations of manufacturers to consumers, which remains a foundation of cosmetics regulation.
- 29. Disclosure obligations remain principal duties of cosmetics manufacturers under federal law. "Under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act), cosmetic products and ingredients, with the exception of color additives, do not have to undergo FDA review or approval before they go on the market. Cosmetics must be properly labeled, and they must be safe for use by consumers under labeled or customary conditions of use. The law does not require cosmetic companies to share safety information with FDA."5

## Talcum powder products contain or may contain ingredients that pose health hazards to consumers.

- 30. The label for Johnson's Baby Powder lists the ingredients as Talc and Fragrance. The label for Shower to Shower listed the ingredient as Zea Mays (Corn) Starch, Talc, Sodium Bicarbonate, Tricalcium Phosphate, Fragrance, Maltodextrin.<sup>6</sup> However, the actual constituents of Johnson and Johnson's talcum powder products include other potentially hazardous substances in varying amounts.
- 31. Non-asbestiform (platy) talc is the principal form of talc in talcum powder products. Talc particles are normally plate-like. This property is valued in cosmetics and powders because it provides softness and hydrophobicity. In 2010, IARC, based on a review of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> https://www.fda.gov/cosmetics/cosmetic-ingredients/talc

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Depending on the exact type of Shower to Shower, other ingredients may have been listed.

the scientific literature through 2006, classified the perineal use of talc-based powder (nonasbestiform) as possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B). (IARC 2010)

- 32. Fibrous (asbestiform) talc is talc that forms as true mineral fibers, similar to asbestos. Asbestiform refers to the pattern of mineral growth in talc - not to the presence of other minerals – and should not be confused with talc that contains asbestos. Asbestiform talc is classified alongside asbestos in a 2012 IARC Monograph as carcinogenic to humans (Group 1) and causing ovarian cancer. Talc fibers occur in virtually all samples of Johnson's talcum powder products.<sup>7</sup>
- 33. Asbestos: Talcum powder also can and does contain levels of asbestos. Asbestos is carcinogenic to humans (Group 1) and known to cause ovarian cancer (IARC 2012). Johnson & Johnson's documents show the presence of asbestos in its talc products (Hopkins 28). Testing from Longo and Rigler show asbestos present in approximately 68% of historical Johnson & Johnson samples.<sup>8</sup> In October 2019, FDA found asbestos in a sample of Johnson's Baby Powder purchased online.
- 34. Fragrances: There is a mixture of 141 fragrance chemicals in Johnson's Baby Powder some of which are themselves mixtures of chemicals. There are 53 fragrances, some of which are also mixtures themselves, in Shower to Shower. These include potential and known carcinogens, toxins, and allergens (Expert Report of Dr. Crowley).
- 35. Heavy metals: Johnson's Baby Powder products have also been shown to contain nickel, chromium, and cobalt. (Pier Exhibit 47) Nickel and chromium are Group 1 carcinogens according to IARC. Cobalt is a Group 2B (possibly carcinogenic) substance according to IARC because of its inflammatory properties.
- 36. A brief history of the science relating to talcum powder and its association with ovarian cancer is included as Appendix 1.

# Under the FDCA, Johnson & Johnson must take action to prevent adulteration of its products, inform consumers should adulteration occur, and mitigate risk through warning.

- The FDCA applies its fundamental prohibition on "adulteration and misbranding" to cosmetics. Adulteration and misbranding are concepts integral to the overall focus of cosmetics regulation on informational obligations of manufacturers to consumers in order to prevent harm.
- In the statement referenced above, Susan Mayne explained: "In general, except 38. for color additives and those ingredients that are prohibited or restricted from use in cosmetics by regulation, a manufacturer may use any ingredient in a cosmetic, provided that the ingredient does not adulterate the finished cosmetic and the finished cosmetic is properly labeled."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Expert Report of William E. Longo, Ph D. & Mark W. Rigler, Ph. D 2019; ("The results showed that about 4% of the airborne talc would be classed as fibrous by the NIOSH method") (JNJ000231601).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> *Id*.

- 39. Under the FDCA, "[a] cosmetic shall be deemed to be adulterated . . . If it bears or contains any poisonous or deleterious substance which may render it injurious to users under the conditions of use prescribed in the labeling thereof, or under such conditions of use as are customary or usual . . . . "21 U.S.C. § 361(a).
- Adulteration empowers FDA to take legal action to prevent further movement in commerce of an adulterated product.
- For some cosmetic ingredients, FDA has determined that certain ingredients are deleterious and by definition adulterants and should be prohibited in cosmetic products. See 21 C.F.R. §§ 700.11 (bithional); 700.13 (mercury); 700.14 (vinyl chloride); 700.15 (certain halogenated salicylanilides); 700.16 (zirconium); 700.18 (chloroform); 700.19 (methylene chloride); 700.27 (cattle materials).
- Current literature suggests that there is no safe level of asbestos. Asbestos when present in talcum powder products is an adulterant because it is hazardous to human health.<sup>9</sup>
- 43. Adulteration implies misbranding, unless the product label is revised to identify the adulterant and warn consumers regarding safe use given the hazardous product contents (e.g., cautioning against inhalation or perineal administration for talcum powder products), and may require that the manufacturer cease production or sale if there is no assurance of safe usage.
- In addition to asbestos, fibrous (asbestiform) talc, heavy metals, and certain fragrance chemicals could be considered adulterants in talcum powder products.

# Cosmetics regulation requires manufacturers to inform consumers of both risk and uncertainty with respect to health hazards.

- 45. Risk is a known and quantifiable probability (statistical likelihood) of harm; uncertainty is lack of knowledge about the existence or magnitude of risk.
- 46. Information about risks of harm to health and safety is much better established for regulated drugs than for cosmetics because federal law requires manufacturers to submit comprehensive information from clinical trials in order to obtain FDA approval to sell and market drugs, and imposes obligations on drug manufacturers to surveil for and report post-marketing adverse events.
- 47. Cosmetics regulation lacks these requirements that manufacturers engage in a structured, FDA-supervised ascertainment of risk; as a result, the health hazards of cosmetics may be subject to considerable uncertainty even if the existence of some significant risk is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Susan Mayne at the Cong. Hearing on the FDA in 2019. Sent clip.; Nicholson testimony (Forrest) NIOSH, Workplace Exposure to Asbestos 3 (1980); OSHA Position on the risk associated with asbestos exposure at the current PEL (May 13, 1999; https://www.fda.gov/newsevents/fda-brief/fda-brief-fda-releases-final-report-talc-containing-cosmetic-products-testedasbestos; https://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/materials/asbestos\_508.pdf

- proved. As detailed below, cosmetics regulation requires manufacturers to disclose uncertainty regarding safety.
- 48. The research described below establishes the existence of ovarian cancer risk from talcum powder products. Even if the precise quantification of risk is made difficult by factors such as multifactorial causality, variances in usage, and latency between exposure and cancer presentation, the existence of multiple studies finding risk indicates that talc is not proved safe. Both the risk and the uncertainty must be disclosed under the law.

# Johnson & Johnson has known about risk and uncertainty regarding talc and ovarian cancer for decades.

- 49. Three basic facts have long indicated a potential health hazard from talcum powder products:
  - Evidence that talc particles can reach the ovary (1971)
  - Epidemiology suggesting an association (1982)
  - Presence of asbestos and talc fibers in Johnson's Baby Powder (literature and testing)
- 50. Johnson & Johnson's resistance to the presence in talcum powder products of fibrous constituents that pose risk to human health is strikingly at odds with the factual history. Beginning in the 1960s, the scientific literature presented evidence of talcum powder containing asbestos and fibrous talc. 10 Johnson and Johnson testing results and internal discussions also demonstrate the presence of and concern about the presence of asbestos

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Cralley 1968 ("All of the 22 talcum products analyzed had an appreciable fiber content[s] ranging from 8% to 30% by count, with an average of 19%. The fibrous material was predominantly talc but probably contained minor amounts of tremolite, anthophyllite, and chrysotile as these are often present in fibrous talc mineral deposits."; Rohl 1974 ("Since the mining of talc rock almost invariably includes the mining of asbestos as well, the asbestos contaminant may be carried over into the consumer products and thus introduce the risk of asbestos disease."); Rohl 1976 ("Of the 20 body powders, baby powders, facial talcums and one pharmaceutical talc tested, 10 contained detectable amounts of tremolite and anthophyllite, principally asbestiform...Fibrous talc is more pathogenic than platy talc."); Lockey 1981 (Talc frequently exists in mineralogically complex deposits that are contaminate with quartz and/or asbestos-forming minerals, amphibole (tremolite and anthophyllite) and serpentine...Tal free of asbestiform minerals also exists in fibrous form..."The complexity of talc deposits is important when considering the potential health effects of the mineral"); Paoletti 1983 ("Samples of talc powders used as excipients in pharmaceutical and cosmetic preparations demonstrated fiber contents up to 30% of total particles. About a half of the talc powders revealed the presence of asbestos"); Blount 1991 ("A baby powder [confirmed Johnson's Baby Powder in deposition] showed 0.4 to 0.8 million amphibole particles per milligram.")

- and talc fibers. 11 Drs. Longo and Rigler tested historical samples (1960s through the early 2000s) of Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower, finding 68% of samples testing positive for amphibole asbestos and 98% positive for fibrous talc. <sup>12</sup> A brief timeline of important historical events indicating a potential health hazard follows.
- 51. In 1948, Johnson & Johnson Laboratories recognized the inflammatory effects of talcum powder in the peritoneal cavity. 13
- 52. In 1952 Johnson & Johnson submitted a patent application for a "nonirritating" cornstarch alternative to talc. 14
- 53. In 1958, asbestos was found in Johnson's Baby Powder. (Asbestos was known to cause lung cancer since the 1930s and was suspected to cause ovarian cancer since the 1960s).15
- 54. In 1971, Henderson found talc in ovarian tumors. 16
- 55. In 1976, Industry (CTFA) developed the J4-1 method of asbestos detection as a selfregulatory standard for asbestos testing.<sup>17</sup>
- 56. In 1982, Cramer published the first epidemiological study describing an association between genital talcum powder use and ovarian cancer. 18
- 57. In 1995, condom manufacturers voluntarily removed talc from their products because of ovarian cancer concerns. 19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Exhibit 28, Deposition of John Hopkins, Ph.D., In Re: Talcum Powder Prod. Liab. Litig., MDL No. 2378" 2018; "Exhibit 47, Deposition of Julie Pier, In Re: Talcum Powder Prod. Liab. Litig., MDL 2738" 2018

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Expert Report of William E. Longo, Ph D. & Mark W. Rigler, Ph. D. In Re: Johnson & Johnson Talcum Powder Products Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation Dated 2.2.2019

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Eberl, J. J., et al. Comparative Evaluation of the Effects of Talcum and a New Absorbable Substitute on Surgical Gloves. Am.J Surg. 75, No. 3 (March 1948): 493–97. <sup>14</sup> P-321

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> 30(b)(6) Deposition and Exhibits of John Hopkins Taken on 8.16.18, 8.17.18, 10.17.18, 11.05.18, Exhibit 28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Henderson, W. J., et al. Talc and Carcinoma of the Ovary and Cervix. The Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of the British Commonwealth 78, No. 3 (March 1971): 266–72. <sup>17</sup> PCPC MDL00007392

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Cramer, D. W., et al. Ovarian Cancer and Talc: A Case-Control Study. Cancer 50, No. 2 (July15, 1982): 372–76.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> PCPC MDL00062175

- 58. In 1999, Ness proposed a mechanism by which talc and asbestos could cause ovarian cancer.<sup>20</sup>
- 59. In 2008, IARC designated non-asbestiform talc as possibly carcinogenic.<sup>21</sup>
- 60. In 2012, IARC designated asbestos and fibrous talc as carcinogenic, including with respect to ovarian cancer.<sup>22</sup>
- 61. In 2019, FDA found asbestos in Johnson's Baby Powder.<sup>23</sup>

# Cosmetics regulation requires manufacturers to disclose all substantial risks, without regard for purported benefits.

- 62. Under the FDCA, "[t]he term "cosmetic" means (1) articles intended to be rubbed, poured, sprinkled, or sprayed on, introduced into, or otherwise applied to the human body or any part thereof for cleansing, beautifying, promoting attractiveness, or altering the appearance, and (2) articles intended for use as a component of any such articles; except that such term shall not include soap." 21 U.S.C. § 321(i)
- 63. As defined by the law, cosmetics do not have any therapeutic or medicinal benefit. However, cosmetics and cosmetic ingredients may carry a significant risk to human health.
- 64. For cosmetics, the risk alone determines the manufacturer's obligations to inform consumers or to limit sale or to withdraw a product from the market. This is unlike drugs, for which the comparison of risk to benefit determines whether a drug will be approved by the FDA for sale and marketing, although safety labeling (warnings) is required for drug risks regardless of benefits.

The FDCA's prohibition on misbranding requires Johnson & Johnson not to mislead consumers, to inform consumers of specific attributes of its products, and to warn of both risk and uncertainty, duties that cosmetics-specific FDA regulations have expanded and clarified.

65. Federal law places heightened consumer-facing informational requirements on manufacturers because it is the central regulatory method employed for cosmetics.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Ness RB, Cottreau C. Possible role of ovarian epithelial inflammation in ovarian cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst. 1999 Sep 1;91(17):1459-67. doi: 10.1093/jnci/91.17.1459. PMID: 10469746.; **IMERYS 013188** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Langseth, H., et al. Perineal Use of Talc and Risk of Ovarian Cancer, J. Epidemiol. Comm. Health 62, No. 4 (April 2008): 358–60; International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), Carbon Black, Titanium Dioxide, and Talc, IARC Monographs No. 93., (2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), Arsenic, Metals, Fibres, and Dusts, IARCMonographs No. 100c., (2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Dyer O. Johnson & Johnson recalls its Baby Powder after FDA finds asbestos in sample. BMJ. 2019 Oct 21;367:16118. doi: 10.1136/bmj.l6118. PMID: 31636060.

- 66. Manufacturers bear near-total responsibility for making cosmetics information understandable and useful to consumers. Unlike drug regulation, only very rarely is there a physician or other expert intermediary between a cosmetics product and the consumer, and the FDA does not regulate the voluntary relationship between cosmetics companies and such intermediaries.
- 67. Some cosmetics products are labeled "professional use only" and sold to cosmetologists or similar professionals; these have lesser labeling requirements under federal law than apply to products such as baby powders sold directly to consumers.
- 68. According to Hutt, Merrill, and Grossman, it "is common practice for cosmetic companies to provide the components of their products to dermatologists to use in skin patch tests on patients to determine sensitivity to particular substances. ... and FDA encourages this practice. 21 C.F.R. 720.4(b)(4)."
- 69. Under the Federal Product Labeling Act (FPLA), which is enforced by the Federal Trade Commission with respect to many consumer products, cosmetic manufacturers must disclose basic information about their products.
- 70. FDA requires manufacturers to label cosmetics with specific information so as to be apparent to consumers. See 21 C.F.R. §§ 701.1 et seq.
- 71. Under the FDCA, 21 U.S.C. § 362, "A cosmetic shall be deemed to be misbranded—(a) If its labeling is false or misleading in any particular." Labeling includes information that accompanies a product in commerce, even if not on its immediate container or packaging, and may include manufacturer websites.
- 72. Johnson & Johnson's website includes a prominent and easily searchable web page titled 5 Important Facts About Talc Safety.<sup>24</sup>That web page contains several assertions stating or implying the safety and purity of talcum powder products that are misleading in light of the scientific studies detailed above.
- 73. Among other things, the Johnson & Johnson web page misleads consumers to equate talcum powder products with "pure" talc, fails to mention asbestos or other possible adulterants, states definitively that "talc" is safe and does not cause cancer, suggests untruthfully that FDA has determined talcum powder products to be safe, and suggests untruthfully that regulatory bodies in other countries have reached similar conclusions. In particular, the web page omits any suggestion that uncertainty exists with respect to the overall safety or carcinogenic risk of talcum powder products – a conclusion at odds with research science.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Johnson & Johnson. (2018, December 15). 5 Important Facts About the Safety of Talc. Content Lab U.S. https://www.jnj.com/our-products/5-important-facts-about-the-safety-of-talc

# Cosmetics manufacturers have both general and specific duties under federal law to warn consumers of risk and uncertainty.

- 74. Cosmetics manufacturers must warn consumers of possible health hazards, with the law applying a low threshold for disclosure of risk and uncertainty because cosmetics have no offsetting health benefits and because studies of cosmetics are infrequent and poorly funded compared to drugs. 21 C.F.R. §§ 740.1 et seq.
- 75. 21 CFR §740.1(a) states that a cosmetic product's label "shall bear a warning statement whenever necessary or appropriate to prevent a health hazard that may be associated with the product."
- 76. According to Hutt, Merrill, and Grossman, FDA has determined under Sections 201(n) and 602(a) of the FDCA that the failure of a cosmetics label to bear an appropriate warning constitutes misbranding.
- 77. Notwithstanding the scientific research summarized above, Johnson & Johnson has not modified its label for talcum powder products to specify that perineal use is unsafe.
- 78. FDA regulation at 21 C.F.R. § 740.1 (b) states:
  - (b) The Commissioner of Food and Drugs, either on his own initiative or on behalf of any interested person who has submitted a petition, may publish a proposal to establish or amend, under subpart B of this part, a regulation prescribing a warning for a cosmetic. Any such petition shall include an adequate factual basis to support the petition, shall be in the form set forth in part 10 of this chapter, and will be published for comment if it contains reasonable grounds for the proposed regulation.
- 79. Johnson & Johnson's reliance on FDA's 2014 denial of two citizen's petitions for specific warning language as relieving them of a regulatory duty to warn of risk or uncertainty (discussed in detail below) is misplaced.
- 80. That denial letter to the petitioning organization concluded merely that, in FDA's view, the evidence was "insufficient for FDA" to prescribe as mandatory the "definitive language" that had been requested. A denial by the FDA to prescribe a specific requested warning under 740.1(b) does not negate the manufacturer's responsibility to warn under 740.1(a), which is a core obligation at the heart of the FDCA's regulatory design.
- 81. Cosmetics manufacturers are specifically required to disclose uncertainty regarding product safety, even if a risk has not been definitively established or quantified. 21 C.F.R. §740.10(a) sets forth FDA regulations regarding labeling when safety is not adequately substantiated by the manufacturer:

Labeling of cosmetic products for which adequate substantiation of safety has not been obtained.

(a) Each ingredient used in a cosmetic product and each finished cosmetic product shall be adequately substantiated for safety prior to marketing. Any such ingredient or product whose safety is not adequately substantiated prior to marketing is misbranded unless it contains the following conspicuous statement on the principal display panel:

Warning—The safety of this product has not been determined.

- 82. 21 C.F.R. §740.10(b) emphasizes that manufacturers bear a continuing obligation to disclose uncertainty as new information becomes available, even if that information is not conclusive, subject to a narrow exception if three criteria are all met:
  - (b) An ingredient or product having a history of use in or as a cosmetic may at any time have its safety brought into question by new information that in itself is not conclusive. The warning required by paragraph (a) of this section is not required for such an ingredient or product if:
    - (1) The safety of the ingredient or product had been adequately substantiated prior to development of the new information;
    - (2) The new information does not demonstrate a hazard to human health; and
    - (3) Adequate studies are being conducted to determine expeditiously the safety of the ingredient or product.
- 83. Johnson & Johnson has not applied a "safety not determined" label to its talcum powder products notwithstanding lack of prior substantiation for safety and new information repeatedly demonstrating a hazard to human health. Expeditious and definitive studies to determine safety are impossible given long latency for carcinogenesis and other research challenges. There is not a single part of the three-part test for an exception to disclosure that has been met and evidence of uncertainty regarding product safety is abundant.
- 84. The Regulation Modernization Act retains consistency with this established law, clarifying by addition but not materially altering manufacturers' direct accountability and their obligation to substantiate in accordance with science. It provides in an added Section 608 of the FDCA: "A responsible person for a cosmetic product shall ensure, and maintain records supporting, that there is adequate substantiation of safety of such cosmetic product." The same section also clarifies that "The term 'adequate substantiation of safety' means tests or studies, research, analyses, or other evidence or information that is considered, among experts qualified by scientific training and experience to evaluate the safety of cosmetic products and their ingredients, sufficient to support a reasonable certainty that a cosmetic product is safe."

In addition to published literature reporting the risks of cancer, international assessments questioning the safety of talcum powder products also obligated Johnson & Johnson to comply with its specific regulatory duty to warn consumers regarding uncertainty and cancer risk.

- 85. Johnson & Johnson has a legal duty to take account of "new information" putting the safety of its talcum powder products in question, even if the information is itself not conclusive. The general term "information" encompasses analyses and interpretations of existing scientific research, in addition to any new scientific research, and includes the findings of an international public agency such as the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) or a national regulator outside the United States such as Health Canada.
- 86. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified talc not containing asbestiform fibers as possibly carcinogenic in 2010 and asbestos, including asbestiform (fibrous) talc, as carcinogenic including ovarian cancer.
- 87. IARC Monograph 93, published in 2010 based on a literature review through 2006, covers "Talc not containing asbestiform fibers". The Monograph states that "asbestiform refers to a habit (pattern) of mineral growth and not to the presence of other minerals" and that "asbestiform talc must not be confused with talc that contains asbestos." The IARC Working Group acknowledged that the content of body powders has changed over time (although data that document this are limited), but that "amphibole was voluntarily reduced to less than detectable levels, as least in Western Europe and the USA" in the mid-1970s. IARC concluded that the perineal use of talc-based powder is possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B).
- 88. IARC Monograph 100C, published in 2012, concludes that "All forms of asbestos are carcinogenic to humans (Group 1). Asbestos causes mesothelioma and cancer of the lung, larynx, and ovary."
- 89. IARC Monograph 100C covers both asbestos and fibrous talc ("The conclusions reached in this Monograph about asbestos and its carcinogenic risks apply to these six types of fibres wherever they are found, and that includes talc containing asbestiform fibres") The Monograph provides a detailed discussion of occupational exposure to asbestos and talc in which inhalation presents the primary exposure, but this is not the only purpose. IARC also addresses "Exposure of the General Population:

Consumer products (e.g. cosmetics, pharmaceuticals) are the primary sources of exposure to talc for the general population. Inhalation and dermal contact (i.e. through perineal application of talcum powders) are the primary routes of exposure."

90. The IARC Working Group concluded that a causal relationship between asbestos (including talc containing asbestiform fibers) and cancer of the ovary is clearly established. The biological plausibility for the association was derived in part from the

finding of asbestos fibers in the ovaries of women with potential for exposure to asbestos. The molecular pathogenesis (in the respiratory tract), over the course of a long latent period, included multiple genetic and molecular alterations involving activation of cell growth, gene mutations, resistance to apoptosis, genetic instability, generation of reactive oxygen species, and direct DNA damage.

- 91. Health Canada undertook a comprehensive assessment of talc's safety in cosmetics, with a draft of its findings published in December 2018 and its final assessment released in May 2021. Health Canada is the department of the Government of Canada that oversees national health policy. Health Canada is a regulatory agency that is responsible for product safety, drugs and health products, environmental and workplace health, food and nutrition, Canada's health system, prevention and education on health-related issues, and health science and research.<sup>25</sup>
- 92. With respect to human health, the Health Canada screening assessment includes the consideration of information on chemical properties, environmental fate and behavior, hazards, uses, and exposures, including additional information submitted by stakeholders. Relevant data were identified up to October 2020. Empirical data from key studies, as well as results from models, were used to reach conclusions. <sup>26</sup> The human health portion of the review underwent external peer review.<sup>27</sup>
- 93. Like the cosmetic industry's CIR review described below, the Health Canada assessment focused on health effects associated with cosmetic- and pharmaceutical-grade talc and not on potential impurities, such as asbestos. The Assessment identified no critical health effects via the oral or dermal routes of exposure.
- 94. With regards to perineal exposure, Health Canada reached a very different conclusion from the cosmetic industry's CIR Panel:

[Alnalyses of the available human studies in the peer- reviewed literature indicate a consistent and statistically significant positive association between perineal exposure to talc and ovarian cancer. The available data are *indicative* of a causal effect. Given that there is potential for perineal exposure to talc from the use of certain selfcare products (e.g., body powder, baby powder, diaper and rash creams, genital antiperspirants and deodorants, body wipes, bath bombs, bubble bath), a potential concern for human health has been identified.

95. The Health Canada report reinforces the IARC conclusions, stating: "Overall, there is a high degree of consistency in the epidemiological studies across several decades

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> www.canada.ca/en/health-canada.html

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Health Canada. (2021). Health Canada Screening Assessment: Talc. Canada: Minister of Environment and Climate Change at 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Health Canada at 2.

- conducted in different parts of the world. Although there are uncertainties related to bias, there is confidence in the robustness of the available database for use in characterizing ovarian cancer risk attributed to talc exposure. Furthermore, the available data are indicative of a causal relationship."
- 96. Also from Health Canada: "Based on the available data, ovarian cancer was identified as a critical health effect for the perineal route of exposure to talc. While animal models are generally inadequate to assess ovarian cancer risk, the available animal studies (noting inflammatory response to talc and the ability of talc particles to migrate up the reproductive tract) support biological plausibility and results were consistent with a possible human mode of action for cancer development. The database is large, and while cohort and case-control studies generally gave different results, the overall database provides adequate information to assess the risk of ovarian cancer due to talc exposure. There is the potential for perineal exposure to talc from the use of various self-care products (e.g. body powder, baby powder, diaper and rash creams, genital antiperspirants and deodorants, body wipes, bath bombs, bubble bath)."
- 97. Health Canada notes that its "[c]haracterization of ovarian cancer risk is qualitative in nature as a clear dose response for ovarian cancer could not be derived from the available literature" but concludes that "[d]ata from meta-analyses of epidemiological studies indicate a consistent and statistically significant positive association between perineal exposure to talc and ovarian cancer." (citing Huncharek et al. 2003; Langseth et al. 2008; Terry et al. 2013; Berge et al. 2018; Penninkilampi and Eslick 2018; Taher et al. 2019).
- 98. Health Canada concludes: "Although some authors note concerns with regard to bias in the literature, considering the available lines of evidence, the current data are indicative of a causal effect. Given that there is the potential for perineal exposure to talc from the use of various self-care products, a potential concern for human health has been identified."
- 99. The IARC and Health Canada reports discussed above evaluated the evidence of risk that had been published over decades. These concerns clearly established Johnson & Johnson's obligation to place on its talcum powder products the FDA-mandated warnings of "safety not determined" and "may be associated with a health hazard". Although Johnson & Johnson has stopped manufacturing its talcum powder products in the United States and Canada, and has announced its intention to stop manufacturing elsewhere, no information regarding a possible association with ovarian cancer has been provided to consumers. Without detailed explanation, Johnson & Johnson has announced it will use cornstarch rather than talc in the manufacture of baby powder.

# Cosmetics safety depends on self regulation but, unlike drug regulation, cosmetics selfregulation is not supervised by the FDA or any other government agency.

Federal cosmetics regulation has always lagged federal regulation of drugs. Drug 100. regulation has advanced to include direct, substantive oversight of manufacturer conduct by government agencies with pre-market approval of drugs only if safety and

effectiveness have been established. Cosmetics regulation, by contrast, has remained grounded in the informational obligations detailed above, with manufacturers retaining substantive responsibility, unsupervised by government, for substantiating safety through necessary testing and surveillance.

Document 33006-9

PageID: 205348

- 101. According to FDA's website, "Companies and individuals who manufacture or market cosmetics have a legal responsibility to ensure the safety of their products. Neither the law nor FDA regulations require specific tests to demonstrate the safety of individual products or ingredients. The law also does not require cosmetic companies to share their safety information with FDA."28 The Regulation Modernization Act replaces prior voluntary reporting of safety events with a mandatory reporting system, and authorizes funding for that activity that had not previously been available to FDA. The Regulation Modernization Act does not require specific tests, although it instructs FDA to issue regulations regarding standardized testing for asbestos in talc-containing cosmetic products.
- 102. The fundamental self-regulatory obligation of cosmetics manufacturers is set forth at 21 CFR §740.10: "Each ingredient used in a cosmetic product and each finished cosmetic product shall be adequately substantiated for safety prior to marketing."
- 103. Federal regulations prior to the Regulation Modernization Act stated: "FDA has no authority under the FD&C Act to order a recall of a cosmetic, although it can request that a firm recall a product."<sup>29</sup> FDA has been able to initiate legal action to remove an adulterated or misbranded cosmetic product from commerce but even then has not been able to do so in a self-executing manner. Asbestos-contaminated baby powder and other asbestos-contaminated cosmetics were voluntarily recalled after FDA requests. The Regulation Modernization Act confers new authority on FDA to order cosmetic product recalls.
- 104. Public interest groups such as the Environmental Working Group have testified before Congress that the FDA "has little authority to review or restrict chemicals in cosmetics." Testimony of Scott Faber, Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor and Pensions (Sept. 22, 2016). The Regulation Modernization Act enhances this authority, but reaffirms and retains the primacy of manufacturer accountability for safety.
- 105. Self-regulation follows established models: It can consist of informal norms, industry codes of conduct, firm-based self-regulation (e.g.,, corporate compliance and ethics programs, sometimes required by law or established by agreement with state or federal enforcers), statutory self-regulation (e.g., self-governing professions such as law or medicine), and supervised self-regulation (e.g., financial self-regulation supervised by the Securities and Exchange Commission). (M. Priest, Five Models of Self-Regulation)

<sup>28</sup> https://www.fda.gov/cosmetics/cosmetics-laws-regulations/fda-authority-over-cosmetics-howcosmetics-are-not-fda-approved-are-fda-regulated

17

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> https://www.fda.gov/cosmetics/cosmetics-recalls-alerts/fda-recall-policy-cosmetics.

- 106. "Supervised self-regulation" has several general characteristics that were lacking for cosmetics under the FDCA prior to 2023, when the Regulation Modernization Act took effect. These include mandatory registration with and reporting to the supervising government agency, submission of evidence of quality and safety for supervising agency approval, establishment of standards of manufacture and conduct, compliance with standards subject to supervisory inspection or audit with the potential for discipline, postmarketing surveillance and reporting to the supervisor of complaints or harms.
- 107. Federal drug regulation under the FDCA follows a supervised self-regulatory model with initial authorization to market, approved informational labelling and warnings, standardized manufacturing practices, and other forms of manufacturer conduct requiring regulatory approval and being subject to continuing FDA oversight and correction.
- 108. Cosmetics self-regulation employs a mixture of informal norms, industry codes of conduct, and firm-based regulation, with a limited number of specific regulatory directives. For example, FDA regulation that requires cosmetics manufacturers to "substantiate safety" of their products and ingredients prior to marketing is the unsupervised and less detailed analog of pre-marketing approval by a supervising regulator. The Regulation Modernization Act affirms and reinforces this regulatory approach, adding only limited forms of FDA supervisory oversight such as mandatory safety reporting and cosmetic product recall authority.

# Johnson & Johnson claims that it has a robust ethics and compliance program of firmbased self-regulation that goes above and beyond the legal requirements.

- 109. Johnson & Johnson claims to be the world's largest and most diversified healthcare company. (https://www.jnj.com/about-jnj) Johnson & Johnson therefore has the resources and experience to perform at the highest levels of firm-based selfregulation, yet it has failed to establish or maintain the safety of its talcum powder products.
- 110. Janssen Pharmaceutical Companies of Johnson & Johnson produces pharmaceuticals in six important therapeutic areas: Cardiovascular & Metabolism, Immunology, Infectious Diseases & Vaccines, Neuroscience, Oncology and Pulmonary Hypertension.
- 111. Johnson & Johnson Medical Devices Companies produce devices used in Orthopedics (artificial joints, screws, plates, nails, and implants, spinal implants, craniomaxillofacial devices, power tools, and biomaterials), Surgery (sutures, biosurgery technologies, surgical stapling and endocutter technologies), Interventional (technologies for arrythmias and strokes), and Vision (products for myopia, farsightedness, astigmatism, beauty and performance, glaucoma, dry eye, and cataracts.
- Johnson's Baby Powder is produced by Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. in its 112. Consumer Health Division. That part of the company claims to be "driven to improve the

personal health of people everywhere," delivering "products that are rooted in science and endorsed by professionals."

- 113. Its website continues: "Our differentiated portfolio of iconic brands, including Tylenol, Zarbee's, Neutrogena, Aveeno, Listerine, OGX, and Johnson's, delivers life-enhancing, first-to-market innovation. By combining the power of science with meaningful human insights and digital-first thinking, we help more than 1.2 billion people live healthier lives every day, from their very first day.... We are on a mission to ensure that all Johnson & Johnson Consumer Health brands will achieve...full transparency on ingredients so consumers can make informed choices."
- 114. With the large number and wide variety of consumer and healthcare products as described above, Johnson & Johnson should have extensive knowledge and experience in regulatory matters. In its "Position on Ethics and Compliance," Johnson & Johnson says as much: "Ensuring compliance with all relevant laws and regulations is a fundamental duty of corporations and their governance." In addition, it claims to be "committed to maintaining the highest level of integrity and ethical and compliant conduct." (Johnson & Johnson Position on Ethics and Compliance)
- 115. Johnson & Johnson is well aware of regulatory best practices that could guide its self-regulatory activities. It has extensive knowledge of and experience with FDA's drug regulatory standards and practices. It observes and understands the high consumer expectations reflected in the adoption of new state laws, such as California's Safe Cosmetics Program. It complies with other countries' regulatory approaches (e.g., regarding styrene in connection with fragrance ingredient disclosure) but it continues to promote talcum powder products as safe in the United States.

Contrary to its representations regarding ethics and compliance, Johnson & Johnson has resisted or suppressed testing and standards for testing talc-based products instead of encouraging them.

- 116. As stated previously, beginning in the 1960s, the scientific literature presented evidence of talcum powder containing asbestos and fibrous talc. Johnson & Johnson testing results and internal discussions also demonstrate the presence of and concern about the presence of asbestos and talc fibers.
- 117. On July 8, 1971, following reports of asbestos in talc, Johnson & Johnson briefed FDA on the company's process for selection and testing of talc used in its products. At this meeting, Johnson & Johnson claimed it could detect "1% added asbestos" and promised to provide FDA with data to support the safety of its talc.
- 118. On September 28, 1973, the FDA published a proposed regulation for asbestos in talc in the Federal Register, which called for a 99.9% purity for amphibole asbestos fiber and 99.99% for chrysotile and proposed the use of a polarized microscope.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> JNJ 000284107

119. In 1974, Johnson & Johnson claimed in a letter to the FDA that "a substantial safety factor can be expected with talc containing 1% w/w asbestos fibers[]...[and] methods capable of determining less than 1% asbestos in talc are not necessary to assure the safety of cosmetic talc.

PageID: 205351

- 120. On December 17, 1974, Johnson & Johnson sent a letter to PCPC about talc testing standards: "The talc task force has completed the process of development of analytical procedures for determining the presence of chrysotile and tremolite in talc. We believe it is critical for the C.T.F.A. to now recommend these methods to the F.D.A. before the art advances to more sophisticated techniques with higher levels of sensitization."31
- 121. On January 30, 1975, Johnson & Johnson held an internal meeting to discuss issues related to talc.<sup>32</sup> In this meeting, "[f]inal recommendations were forwarded by the Task Force . . . [and] [l]imits of detectability in cosmetics talcs have been determined to be 0.5 - 1.0%."
- 122. According to Hutt, Merrill, and Grossman, in March 1975, FDA announced that the proposed regulations for asbestos content in talc would be delayed, in part because the proposed method was "difficult to use, laborious, and not practical for its intended purpose."33
- 123. In 1976, the CTFA published the J4-1 method for detecting "Asbestiform Amphibole Minerals in Cosmetic Talc."34 This method became the industry standard for testing for asbestos in talc and 1976 is widely cited in scientific literature as the date after which no asbestos has been present in talc because of these specifications.<sup>35</sup> The peculiarity of retaining without reconsideration for nearly half a century a testing method clearly at odds with current science is repeatedly noted in the White Paper issued in late 2021 by the federal government's Interagency Working Group on Asbestos in Consumer Products.36
- 124. At no point has the FDA issued any regulation dictating testing specifications for detecting asbestos in talc and instead has relied on industry substantiation of safety. Recognizing the inadequacy of this situation given industry's poor track record of incorporating established science into testing talc-based cosmetic products for potentially

<sup>31</sup> JNJ000267138

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> JNJ000025189

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Hutt, P.B. "A History of Government Regulation of Adulteration and Misbranding of Cosmetics," Chapter 1 in Cosmetic Regulation in a Competitive Environment (2000); Rules and regulations. Fed Regist 1975; 40: 11865-11869

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> PCPC\_MDL00007392

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> See, e.g., Fiume et al. (2015) ("In 1976, specifications for cosmetic talc requiring that no detectable fibrous, asbestos mineral be present were developed. Therefore, this report will only address the safety of talc that does not contain asbestos.")

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Interagency Working Group on Asbestos in Consumer Products (IWGACP), White Paper: IWGACP Scientific Opinions on Testing Methods for Asbestos in Cosmetics Products Containing Talc (Dec. 2021).

harmful components or contaminants, the Regulation Modernization Act requires FDA to develop and issue regulations for asbestos testing.

125. In sum, Johnson & Johnson manipulated asbestos testing and associated publicity so that "none detectable" would be interpreted as "none," distancing itself from allegations of asbestos contamination but never actually eliminating asbestos contamination.

CIR appears and purports to be a supervised. independent self-regulatory testing and evaluation body, but it is not supervised by FDA and its evaluations favor industry interests.

- 126. The Cosmetic Ingredient Review (CIR) was formed by PCPC (then CTFA) in 1976. According to PCPC President Edward Kavanaugh in 1995: "CIR began in 1976 in response to Congressional concerns raised about the safety of cosmetic ingredients, and the need to ensure a totally unbiased review of safety. That could have meant federal regulation. CIR is a key reason why we have voluntary, self-regulation instead." [PCPC\_MDL00015248]
- 127. CIR is comprised of staff members and an expert panel and is overseen by a Steering Committee. [CIR Procedures, IMERYS 118788]. CIR is funded by PCPC, shares office space with PCPC, and CIR staff members are paid by PCPC. CIR expert panel members are selected by the Steering Committee, which includes representatives from the cosmetic industry as well as PCPC.
- 128. FDA has no regulatory authority with respect to CIR, other than to interact voluntarily with it. FDA cannot instruct CIR on what to review. FDA cannot instruct CIR on how to conduct its reviews. FDA cannot reject a CIR review finding.
- 129. CIR reviews ingredients based on a priority list and ultimately issues conclusions in a final report that is then published in the International Journal of Toxicology. As part of its conclusions, CIR categorizes ingredients in one of the following categories:
  - Safe as used
  - Safe with qualifications
  - Zero uses
  - Insufficient data
  - Prohibited/Restricted by FDA
  - Unsafe
  - Use not supported
- 130. CIR overwhelmingly confirms safety, and only rarely opines that insufficient data exist to make a determination or that an ingredient is unsafe. In combination with the fact that the "safety not determined" warning required by FDA is almost never used, it seems that one of the most useful self-regulatory functions CIR could perform has been neglected by it.

131. According to CIR Procedures, "'Safe' or 'safety' means no evidence in the available information that demonstrates or suggests reasonable grounds to suspect a hazard to the publish under the conditions of use that are now current or that might reasonably expected in the future, . . ." Since its inception, CIR has reviewed thousands of cosmetic ingredients but only determined that 12 are unsafe.<sup>37</sup>

PageID: 205353

- 132. FDA has only banned 11 cosmetic ingredients. 21 C.F.R. § et seq. 700.11 et seq. <sup>38</sup> The European Union, in comparison, has banned the use of 1,644 cosmetic ingredients. <sup>39</sup>
- 133. In an industry meeting in 2000, the meeting notes reflect that "input from outside attendees is welcome at the meetings [of the CIR] and can have a major impact on the outcome of the deliberations." [PCPC0082734] During and prior to the talc review process, industry monitored and communicated with CIR about the review. In a May 2005 email, a Johnson & Johnson employee notes that talc is on the CIR "priority list." [JNJ 000388747]
- 134. Imerys Talc (formerly Luzenac and Rio Tinto) hired the Center for Regulatory Effectiveness (CRE) as a consultant on talc, and as part of these consulting services, William Kelly of the CRE communicated with and provided information to the CIR during their review process.
- 135. In September 2006, Rich Zazenski circulated a summary from William Kelly about a recent CIR meeting Mr. Kelly attended. The summary notes that "CIR staff has begun gathering information for the talc Scientific Literature Review, but has not begun writing it." [IMERYS-A\_0002859]
- 136. On April 18, 2008, William Kelly reported that he attended a CIR meeting and talked with CIR staff and the CIR Director about the talc review. [IMERYS 280713]
- 137. On August 4, 2009, William Kelly submitted a letter with commentary and a bibliography to CIR Director Alan Anderson. [IMERYS 275357]
- On October 7, 2011, CIR Director Alan Anderson emailed Monice Fiume of the CIR and directed her to reach out to William Kelly to ask that he submit information on talc so it could be included in the CIR's Scientific Literature Review.

  [PCPC\_MDL00017752]
- On October 14, 2011, William Kelly relayed to Shripal Sharma at Imerys Talc that he spoke with Monice Fiume of the CIR, "the lead on the talc review at CIR[.]"<sup>40</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> https://cir-safety.org/sites/default/files/U-breakout-092020r.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> https://www.fda.gov/cosmetics/cosmetics-laws-regulations/prohibited-restricted-ingredients-cosmetics

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> https://ec.europa.eu/growth/tools-databases/cosing/pdf/COSING\_Annex%20II\_v2.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Monice Fiume holds B.S. and M.B.A. degrees, but no advanced degrees in any scientific field. https://www.cir-safety.org/about

According to Kelly, she told him that "[s]he has not yet started on the SLR [Scientific Literature Review], and does not think that it will be released for public comment until mid-2012 at the earliest . . . ." but that "CIR would welcome any input from industry on the review at any time, including now." [IMERYS 065205]

Document 33006-9

PageID: 205354

- 140. In December 2012 and February 2013, CIR released draft reports on the Safety Assessment of Talc as Used in Cosmetics. [IMERYS 173648] On April 12, 2013, the CIR released its Final Report on the Safety Assessment of Talc as Used in Cosmetics. [2013 CIR Final Report]
- 141. The only comments received at any stage in the review process were from cosmetic industry companies, consultants, or PCPC. [IMERYS 173648] Following the submission of the final report in 2013, industry consultant William Kelly stated in an email that "the CRE engineered the CIR report from the outset..." [MBS-CRE 271]
- 142. In 2015, the CIR's assessment was published in the International Journal of Toxicology. Fiume et al. Safety Assessment of Talc as Used in Cosmetics, 34 Int'l J. Tox. 665 (2015).41
- 143. The CIR Panel commented that the safety of talc had been the subject of debate through the years, partly because the "relationship between talc and asbestos is commonly misunderstood." Asserting that "Industry specifications state that cosmeticgrade talc must contain "no detectable fibrous, asbestos minerals," however, the Panel did not consider studies in which talc explicitly contained asbestos.
- 144. The CIR Panel reviewed a wide variety of products containing talc (e.g. body powders, makeup, hair shampoos and dyes, nail polish, shaving creams, deodorants, etc.), and determined that talc is safe in the present practices of use and concentration except for the application "to the skin when the epidermal barrier is missing or significantly disrupted." This recommendation was based on case-reports of granuloma formation in this setting. The Panel did not cite reports of granuloma formation and other inflammatory reactions in the peritoneal cavity when exposed to talc. Nor did the Panel consider the potential effects on the non-squamous cells of peritoneal surfaces, fallopian tubes, and ovaries or the frequent disruptions of the epithelial surface of the ovary with ovulation, ruptured cysts, infection, endometriosis and other physiologic and nonphysiologic events.
- 145. The CIR review compares unfavorably in methodology, interpretation of the literature, and conclusions reached with the 2021 Health Canada assessment. A comparison of the two assessments is contained in Appendix 2.

<sup>41</sup> Despite stating that "[t]he articles . . . were sponsored by the Cosmetic Ingredient Review[]" which is "financially supported by the Personal Care Products Council[,]" the authors do not disclose that several of the authors are actually directly employed by PCPC (Fiume, Boyer,

Anderson).

FDA has statutory authority to undertake its own evaluative activities for cosmetics, but it is underfunded, under-informed by the cosmetics industry, and often unwilling to take action even in response to citizen petitions.

Document 33006-9

PageID: 205355

- 146. Federal law allows individuals or companies to file petitions requesting that the FDA take or refrain from taking regulatory action – these are referred to as Citizen Petitions. The majority of Citizens Petitions filed with the FDA concern drugs and medical devices and it appears that only a small number of petitions concern cosmetics. Chen et al., Petitioning the FDA to Improve Pharmaceutical, Device and Public Health Safety by Ordinary Citizens: A Descriptive Analysis 11 PLoS ONE 137 (2016). 21 C.F.R. §§ 10.20, 10.25, and 10.30 describe the general administrative process for submitting a Citizen Petition to the FDA.
- 147. Most Citizen Petitions (over 80%) are filed by companies that have commercial interests in products regulated by the FDA. Of the relatively small number of Citizen Petitions filed by individuals and nonprofits, most are denied by the FDA (almost 90%).
- 148. 1 C.F.R. § 740.1 specifically allows citizens to file petitions seeking warning statements on cosmetics. ("The Commissioner of Food and Drugs[] . . . on behalf of any interested person who has submitted a petition, may publish a proposal to establish or amend, under subpart B of this part, a regulation prescribing a warning for a cosmetic.") Citizen Petitions may also request that the FDA declare a product or ingredient to be hazardous, or address almost any other regulatory concern.
- 149. It often takes the FDA years to formally respond to Citizen Petitions.
- 150. The FDA can respond to Citizen Petitions in one of four ways:
  - (i) Approve the petition, in which case the Commissioner shall concurrently take appropriate action (e.g., publication of a Federal Register notice) implementing the approval;
  - (ii) Deny the petition:
  - (iii) Dismiss the petition if at any time the Commissioner determines that changes in law, facts, or circumstances since the date on which the petition was submitted have rendered the petition moot; or
  - (iv) Provide a tentative response, indicating why the agency has been unable to reach a decision on the petition, e.g., because of the existence of other agency priorities, or a need for additional information. The tentative response may also indicate the likely ultimate agency response, and may specify when a final response may be furnished.
  - 21 C.F.R. § 10.30(e)(2)(i)-(iv).
- On November 17, 1994, Dr. Samuel Epstein of the Cancer Prevention Coalition 151. submitted a Citizen Petition to the FDA asking that the FDA require the following warning on all talcum powder products: "Talcum powder causes cancer in laboratory animals. Frequent talc application in the female genital area increases risk of ovarian

- cancer." [1994 CPC Citizen Petition] The petition cites epidemiology studies, human laboratory studies, and animal laboratory studies conducted from the 1960s to the 1990s.
- 152. On July 11, 1995, Dr. John Bailey, acting director of the FDA Office of Cosmetics and Colors, responded to the CPC but explained that the FDA has "not been able to reach a decision on your petition within the first 180 days of the filing of the petition because of the limited availability of resources and other agency priorities." [1995 FDA Response Letter] The FDA did not take any further action on this Citizen Petition until the late 2000s.
- 153. On May 13, 2008, Dr. Epstein of the CPC again submitted a Citizen Petition to the FDA asking that the FDA require the following warning on all talcum powder products: "Frequent application of talcum powder in the female genital area substantially increases the risk of ovarian cancer." [2008 CPC Citizen Petition] The 2008 Petition provides a detailed statement of grounds including some not contained in the 1994 Petition. The FDA did not take any further public action on this Citizen Petition until 2014.
- 154. On April 1, 2014, the FDA issued a letter denying the CPC's 1994 and 2008 Citizen Petitions. The letter includes the following statements:
  - "A cogent biological mechanism by which talc might lead to ovarian cancer is lacking; exposure to talc does not account for all cases of ovarian cancer; and there was no scientific consensus on the proportion of ovarian cancer cases that may be caused by talc exposure." (at 4-5)
  - "...the potential for talc particulates to migrate from the perineum and vagina to the peritoneal cavity is indisputable. It is, therefore, plausible that perineal talc (and other particulate) that reached the endometrial cavity, Fallopian Tubes. ovaries and peritoneum may elicit a foreign body reaction and inflammatory response that, in some exposed women, may progress to epithelial cancers." (at 5)
  - "The best evidence for an association or causal relationship between genital talc exposure and ovarian cancer comes from epidemiologic data which show a statistically significant but modest increased risk of epithelial ovarian cancer, especially with serous histology, among women with a history of genital dusting with talcum powder. While the growing body of evidence to support a possible association between genital talc exposure and serous ovarian cancer is difficult to dismiss, the evidence is insufficient for FDA to require as definitive a warning as you are seeking."
- 155. The 2014 FDA denial letter is not well drafted. For example, the first part of the first statement above is inconsistent with the second statement, which posits a biological mechanism for talc carcinogenesis. The second and third parts of the first statement, focusing on the percentage of ovarian cancers that are talc-related, seem irrelevant to the

question of whether talc exposure causes ovarian cancer although it bears on the difficulty of quantifying risk.

Document 33006-9

PageID: 205357

- 156. The FDA denial letter is only denying the citizen request to issue its own specific warning language about the risk; it does not affect the manufacturer's independent legal obligation to warn of hazards, which is in the immediately preceding subsection of the regulation. Moreover, the FDA letter makes it clear that talc has not been proved safe and reinforces the conclusion that a "safety not determined" warning from the manufacturer is required. The FDA letter states that the science on baby powder and ovarian cancer is concerning and suggestive, which itself should constitute "new information" sufficient to trigger that warning.
- 157. The Regulation Modernization Act authorizes additional funding for FDA's cosmetics regulatory activities, offering hope that future citizen petitions and similar requests for action will generate prompt and protective agency responses under the expanded legal authority that now exists.

# There are procedures established for the cosmetics industry to involve FDA in the selfregulation process, but Johnson & Johnson did not take advantage of these opportunities.

- 158. Prior to the very recent adoption of the Regulation Modernization Act, cosmetics regulation lacked statutory authority for mandatory reporting to regulators, as applies to drugs, because drugs are subject to FDA supervision of industry review for safety and effectiveness and cosmetics are not. FDA regulations do provide for a voluntary reporting system for registering facilities that manufacture cosmetics and for registering cosmetic ingredients. 21 C.F.R. Part 710 & Part 720. The FDA manages these voluntary reports through the Voluntary Cosmetic Registration Program (VCRP). 42 The Regulation Modernization Act phases out the VCRP and provides for a transition to a system of mandatory cosmetic product and facility registration, as well as mandatory reporting of adverse events.
- 159. There is no evidence that Johnson & Johnson registered all of the ingredients in their talcum powder products, and only registered ingredients listed on the product labels.
- 160. Companies can also voluntarily report adverse events related to cosmetics through the FDA Adverse Events Reporting System (FAERS) of the Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition's Adverse Event Reporting System (CAERS).
- 161. Johnson & Johnson has filed a small number of talcum powder-related adverse events reports, primarily (if not solely) in connection with being a named defendant in a legal complaint alleging physical injury to a consumer.

26

<sup>42</sup> https://www.fda.gov/cosmetics/voluntary-cosmetic-registration-program#about

162. At any time, Johnson & Johnson could have informed FDA of findings of asbestos, fibrous tale, and heavy metals in its talcum powder products.

Cosmetics regulation may be on the verge of significant reform and improvement because of unprevented harm to consumers, including talc-related injury, whether or not manufacturers cooperate. The Regulation Modernization Act, adopted in 2022, is an important step in this direction.

- 163. Litigation is not inconsistent with regulation. Personal injury litigation often provides important information to public policymakers, and it helps fill gaps in regulatory design and development. (Sage, Litigation and Regulation)
- 164. Cosmetics were incorporated into the food and drug regulatory regime during the 1930s because of reported harms to consumers.
- 165. The FTCA's pre-market approval requirements for drug safety and other expanded legal authorities, including for cosmetics, followed tragic events associated with the oral antibiotic elixir sulfanilimide. A medication that killed over 100 people could only be seized under prevailing law by FDA because it was dissolved in diethylene glycol, a deadly poison, rather than in alcohol as the term "elixir" implies, and was therefore misbranded.
- 166. Thalidomide birth injuries, prevented in the US by a dedicated FDA scientist, led to the enactment of effectiveness requirements for drug approval under the Kefauver-Harris Drug Amendments of 1962.
- 167. In recent years, there has been renewed interest by the FDA and Congress concerning the safety of cosmetic talcum powder products, and, more generally, in FDA's authority to regulate the cosmetic industry.
- 168. In 2016, the Senate Committee on Health, Education Labor and Pensions convened a hearing entitled "Exploring Current Practices in Cosmetic Development and Safety." Senator Diane Feinstein testified in support of a proposed law she co-sponsored with Senator Susan Collins: the Personal Care Product Safety Act. In her testimony, Senator Feinstein discussed the outdated laws governing cosmetic regulation and the need for updated laws to address the safety of cosmetic products.
- 169. Findings of asbestos contamination in one production lot of Johnson's Baby Powder and in cosmetics sold by retailers Claire's and Justice, were among the developments prompting a re-examination of cosmetics regulation by FDA leadership during the Trump administration. (Statement from Scott Gottlieb and Susan Mayne, March 5, 2019)
- 170. On March 12, 2019, the House Subcommittee of Economic and Consumer Policy of the Committee on Oversight & Reform held a hearing entitled "Examining the Public Health Risks of Carcinogens in Cosmetic Products." [Hearing Transcript] The hearing was held in response to reports that Johnson & Johnson knew its products contained

- asbestos as well as recent testing results by FDA that showed asbestos in other cosmetic products. Two other related hearings follow later in 2019.
- 171. On December 4, 2019, the House Subcommittee of Health of the Committee on Energy and Commerce held a hearing entitled "Building Consumer Confidence by Empowering FDA to Improve Cosmetic Safety."
- 172. On December 10, 2019, the House Subcommittee of Economic and Consumer Policy of the Committee on Oversight & Reform held a hearing entitled "Examining Carcinogens in Talc and the Best Methods for Asbestos Detection."
- 173. Several bills were introduced or reintroduced during the 117<sup>th</sup> session of Congress (2021-2022) by Senators Feinstein, Collins, Hatch, Paul, and others. Provisions from these bills, as modified, ultimately were incorporated into the Regulation Modernization Act and passed and signed into law on December 29, 2022 as part of the omnibus Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023.

# D. Summary of Opinions

- 174. The cosmetic industry is self-regulated. Self-regulation depends on manufacturers selling only safe products containing safe ingredients, and on responsible disclosure of product information by manufacturers to consumers and voluntary reporting by manufacturers of such information to FDA. Federal food, drug, and cosmetics law enforces these obligations of product safety and information disclosure.
- 175. FDA does not supervise the self-regulation of cosmetics, whereas FDA closely supervises the self-regulation of drugs. Self-regulation of cosmetics is principally firm-based, with individual manufacturers committing to follow ethical and scientific practices, supported by industry norms and codes of conduct. Small modifications and improvements to this framework under the Regulation Modernization Act do not alter, and in fact reaffirm, this basic fact.
- 176. Johnson & Johnson did not establish or maintain the safety of its talcum powder products. Johnson & Johnson knew its products contained carcinogens, including asbestos, fibrous talc, and heavy metals. Johnson & Johnson did not perform studies on talcum powder that would address the concerns being raised in the medical and scientific literature. (O'Shaughnessy and Wille depositions)
- 177. Johnson & Johnson did not respond to or notify FDA of information that its talcum powder products and their ingredients may be associated with a health hazard.
- 178. Johnson & Johnson did not supply required warnings to consumers of health hazards associated with its talcum powder products.
- 179. Johnson & Johnson did not supply required warnings to consumers that the safety of its talcum powder products had not been determined.

- 180. Johnson & Johnson did not register its cosmetics accurately, did not inform FDA of positive testing results, and did not consistently report adverse events.
- 181. Johnson & Johnson marketed and sold a misbranded and adulterated product.
- 182. By relying on corporate and industry assessments that failed to frame or resolve key scientific questions, Johnson & Johnson assumed the safety of its products rather than substantiating it.
- 183. Johnson & Johnson did not inform consumers of risks or uncertainty about risks, and misled them with continued reassurances about safety and purity.
- 184. Johnson & Johnson did not follow its own safety standards or corporate pledge of legal compliance and ethical self-governance beyond what the marketplace had always accepted.

# Appendix 1: Summary of scientific evidence relating to talcum powder and its association with ovarian cancer

- 1. In 1948, the Laboratories of Johnson & Johnson published an article describing the "incontrovertible evidence of the local irritant action" and the "potential hazards" from the use of talcum. (Eberl 1948). In a 1952 patent application for a starch derivative as a substitute for talc on surgical gloves, J&J cited the convincing evidence of strong inflammatory reactions, postoperative complications, and adhesions when talc was introduced into the peritoneal cavity.
- 2. In 1971, working at the Tenovus Institute for Cancer Research in Wales, electron microscopist, W.J. Henderson published his findings of talc particles de*eply embedded* in tissue from patients with ovarian tumors. This group had previously developed a technique for the study of foreign particles within tissues and had identified crocidolite asbestos within mesotheliomas. The authors raised the question of the association of talc with asbestos and the possibility of a relationship between the two minerals and carcinomatous changes in the ovary. (Henderson 1971).
- 3. Throughout the 1970s, there was a great deal of interest and research in the etiologies of gynecologic malignancies led by Dr. Donald Woodruff, widely considered the father of gynecologic pathology and Professor at Johns Hopkins. Dr. Woodruff began raising concern at lectures and in publications that there could be an environmental component in the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer, considering the unique nature of the female reproductive tract and ovarian tissue. He proposed that substances, specifically talc, could potentially reach the peritoneal cavity through the fallopian tube, produce proliferation, and contribute to the development of malignancy. (Parmley and Woodruff 1974; Woodruff 1979).
- 4. Dr. Woodruff, in "cruel and pessimistic words", described the prolonged debilitating and dehumanizing nature of death from ovarian cancer. He asked that more attention be paid to patients-at-risk and the agents introduced into the vaginal canal that may be transmitted to the peritoneal cavity with resultant mesothelial proliferation, and potential means of prevention, emphasizing that "AN OUNCE OF PREVENTION IS WORTH A POUND OF CURE." [caps Dr. Woodruff] (Woodruff 1979).
- 5. A series of articles and communications in the *Lancet* in the late 1970s and early 1980s discussed similar concerns regarding the use of a talc-dusted diaphragm or condom during intercourse. In a 1979 article titled Controversy, Longo and Young at the National Cancer Institute specifically cautioned the cosmetic industry:

What is disturbing is that a consultant to the cosmetic industry feels that further research on the biological effects of talc 'merits little priority'...Talc is known to elicit potent inflammatory responses in man when found in the lungs, pleural cavity, and peritoneal cavity. To assume that its presence in diseased reproductive organs is benign on the basis of finding it in normal reproductive organs as well ignores its potential role as a co-carcinogen and is similar to arguments voiced against many agents which have already been proven carcinogenic. (Longo and Young 1979).

due to ovarian cancer concerns. (PCPC MDL00062175)

- 6. In 1995, the condom industry voluntarily chose to stop dusting condoms with talc
- 7. The female reproductive tract has long been recognized as an open system and numerous substances have been shown to migrate or be transported to the peritoneal cavity and ovaries, including dead sperm or inanimate sperm particles, inert carbon particles, retrograde menstruation, particulate radioactive material, and starch particles. (Expert reports of Drs. Clarke-Pearson, Smith, and Wolf). These researchers consistently recognized the significance of these findings. For example, Venter and Iturralde, who studies particulate radioactive material, stated "Such migration could well explain the aetiological role of chemical substances in certain gynaecological diseases. . . If transit can take place so easily, it is probably the same for many chemical substances used for hygienic, cosmetic, or medicinal purposes, many of which may have potential carcinogenic or irritating properties." (Venter and Iturralde 1979) Sjosten et al., who studied corn starch stated, "any other potentially harmful substances that can migrate from the vagina should be avoided." (Sjosten 2004).
- 8. In 1982, Cramer with a multi-disciplinary team at Harvard that included epidemiology, pathology, and obstetrics and gynecology and sponsored by an NIH grant, published the first epidemiologic study showing an association between genital talcum powder use and ovarian cancer. This study was undertaken to address the possibility that ovarian cancer may be caused by certain minerals such as talc and asbestos that had been raised by other researchers. The authors described four elements in the argument linking talc and ovarian cancer: 1) the chemical relationship between talc and asbestos, 2) asbestos as a cause of pleural and peritoneal mesotheliomas, 3) the possible relationship between epithelial ovarian cancer and mesothelioma, and 4) the ability of talc to enter the pelvic cavity. Women who regularly used talcum powder as a dusting powder on the perineum and on sanitary napkins had a statistically significant adjusted relative risk of 3.28. Women with any perineal exposure had a relative risk of 1.93 (1.27-2.89). (Cramer 1982).
- 9. There have been approximately 28 case-control studies of talcum powder exposure and ovarian cancer, five studies reporting on 3 cohort studies, 7 meta-analyses of all epidemiologic studies up the published date, one pooled analysis of 8 case-control studies, and one pooled analysis of four cohort studies. (Expert Reports of Drs. McTiernan, Siemiatycki, and Smith-Bindman). The data from the meta-analyses show a consistent, statistically significant, increased risk of ovarian cancer with the regular genital use of talcum powder products. The pooled case-control analysis found similar statistically significant increased risks for epithelial ovarian cancer. (Terry 2013) A 2018 meta-analysis found a statistically significant 24%-39% increased risk of ovarian cancer. The authors concluded that the relationship with serous carcinoma was suggestive of a causal association. (Penninkilampi 2018) Although a recent pooled cohort analysis found a non-statistically significant 8% increased risk in women overall, a statistically significant 13% increased risk of ovarian cancer in women with patent genital tracts. (O'Brien 2021) The increased risk varies between 20-30% among studies and up to 50% when considering regular talcum powder use and the serous (most common) subtype of epithelial ovarian cancer. (Expert Reports of Drs. McTiernan, Siemiatycki, and Smith-Bindman)
- 10. Dr. William Longo and Dr. Mark Rigler have tested historical samples of Johnson's Baby Powder and Shower to Shower from the 1960s through the early 2000s and found that 98% of 56 samples contained fibrous talc and 68% of 65 samples contained asbestos.

(Longo and Rigler Expert Report 2019). In addition, I have seen numerous Johnson and Johnson testing results showing the presence of asbestos in their talcum powder products. (Exhibit 28, Deposition of John Hopkins, Ph.D., MDL No. 2378, 2018; Exhibit 47, Deposition of Julie Pier, MDL No. 2738, 2018).

- 11. In October, 2019, FDA found asbestos in a sample of Johnson's Baby Powder, resulting in Johnson & Johnson recalling 33,000 bottles from one lot. (BMJ 2019).
- 12. Talcum powder also contains nickel and chromium (Group 1 carcinogens), cobalt (Group 2B carcinogen) and fragrance chemicals, some of which are known to be inflammatory agents, toxins, and carcinogens. (Expert reports of Drs. Clarke-Pearson, Smith, and Wolf; Expert report of Dr. Crowley).

# **Appendix 2: Comparison of CIR and Health Canada Assessments**

# 1. Safety:

- Health Canada: The available data are indicative of a causal effect between the perineal exposure to talc and ovarian cancer.
- CIR: Talc is safe in the present practices of use and concentration.

### 2. Epidemiologic Studies:

- Health Canada: There is a high degree of consistency in the epidemiological studies across several decades conducted in different parts of the world. Conclusion is based on 1) the pooled ORs from available meta-analyses range from 1.22 to 1.35; 2) these results are statistically significant, with narrow confidence intervals; 3) case-control designs are well suited to study perineal talc exposure and ovarian cancer and the available cohort studies are not without limitations; and 4) there is confidence in the robustness of the available database for use in characterizing ovarian cancer risk attributed to talc exposure.
- CIR: Numerous epidemiological studies do not support a causative relationship between the cosmetic use of talc in the perineal area and ovarian cancer. Conclusion is based on 1) lack of consistent statistically significant positive associations across studies; 2) uniformly small RR estimates in studies reporting positive associations; and 3) failure to rule out plausible alternative explanations of the statistically significant results, including biases, confounding risk factors, and exposure misclassifications.

# 3. Migration of particles:

- Health Canada: The available animal and human studies clearly indicate that particles, including talc, may transfer from the vagina to the fallopian tubes and ovaries following perineal application. (p. 33) Migration or retrograde movement of talc particles from the vagina to the ovaries has been identified as a plausible explanation of the presence of talc particles in the upper reproductive tract. (Henderson et al. 1986; Heller et al. 1996; Cramer et al. 2007). (18) Evidence considered: FDA 2014 "indisputable", Schildkraut 2016, Wehner 1977b, Zervomanolakis 2007, Peters 2006, Henderson 1986, Phillips 1978, Wehner), Edelstam 1997, Sjosten 2004, Egli & Newton 1961, DeBoer 1972, Kunz 1996, Kissler 2004, McDonald 2019a, Johnson 2020.
- CIR: Causation would depend on migration of talc from the perineum and ovaries. Persuasive evidence that talc can migrate from the perineum to the ovaries is absent. This conclusion is based on 1) there is no conclusive explanation for the presence of talc in the ovaries reported in some studies and 2) there is no known physiological mechanism by which talc can plausibly migrate from the perineum to the ovaries. For this conclusion, CIR relies heavily on non-human studies, appears to discount the conclusions of the authors of the relevant studies, and ignores the extensive literature relating to the uterine peristaltic pump and other physiologic processes in the female reproductive tract.

### *4. Talc is inflammatory in tissue:*

 Health Canada: An inflammatory response associated with talc has been clearly demonstrated in human lung tissue. While animal models are generally inadequate to assess ovarian cancer risk, the available animal studies (noting

- inflammatory response to talc and the ability of talc particles to migrate up the reproductive tract) support biological plausibility and results were consistent with a possible human mode of action for cancer development.
- CIR: The inflammatory properties of talc are discussed in humans and animals in multiple places in the CIR assessment; However, this fact is discounted or ignored when assessing the implications for a relationship between talc exposure and ovarian cancer. For example, the Panel states that "talc is not allowed for use on the surface of medical gloves" and should not be applied to the skin when the epidermal barrier is missing or significantly disrupted but does not discuss why this is important.
- 5. Inflammation and ovarian cancer:
  - Health Canada: There is support for an association between inflammation and an increased risk of ovarian cancer.
- 6. CIR: Although the Panel admits that some researchers have suggested that talc in the ovaries could cause cancer, indirectly, through a talc-induced inflammatory response, analogous to the action of asbestos fibers in the lungs, it concludes that a plausible biologic mechanism is absent. The CIR bases this conclusion on the statement that pelvic inflammatory diseases, such as endometriosis, peritonitis, and tubo-ovarian abscess formation, have not been found to be associated with increased risks of ovarian cancer, and, in addition, anti-inflammatory drug use did not reduce ovarian cancer risk estimates in several studies. These statements are misleading and inaccurate. (Expert Reports of Drs. Clarke-Pearson, Wolf, and Smith citing the peer-reviewed literature on risk factors associated with ovarian cancer)

Appendix 3: Relevant cosmetic laws and regulations (prior to the Modernization of Cosmetics Regulation Act of 2022)

Law	Title	Text	Enactment
21 U.S.C. §	Definitions;	(i) The term "cosmetic" means (1) articles	Act June 25,
321(i)	generally	intended to be rubbed, poured, sprinkled, or	<b>1938</b> , ch
		sprayed on, introduced into, or otherwise	675, Ch. II, §
		applied to the human body or any part thereof	201, 52 Stat.
		for cleansing, beautifying, promoting	1040; July
		attractiveness, or altering the appearance, and	22, 1954, ch.
		(2) articles intended for use as a component of	559, § 1, 68
		any such articles; except that such term shall not	Stat. 511;
		include soap.	Sept. 6,
			1958, P. L.
			85-929, § 2,
			72 Stat.
			1784; July
			12, 1960, P.
			L. 86-618,
			Title I, §
			101, 74 Stat.
			397; Oct. 10,
			1962, P. L.
			87-781, Title
			I, Part A, §
			102(a), Title
			III, § 307(a),
			76 Stat. 781,
			796; July 15,
			1965, P. L.
			89-74, §§
			3(a), 9(b), 79
			Stat. 227,
			234; July 13,
			1968, P. L.
			90-399, §
			102, 82 Stat.
			351; Oct. 24,
			1968, P. L.
			90-639, §§ 1,
			4(a), 82 Stat.
			1361, 1362;
			Oct. 27,
			1970, P. L.
			91-513, Title

	II Dont C S
	II, Part G, §
	701(a), (g),
	84 Stat.
	1281, 1282;
	Oct. 21,
	1972, P. L.
	92-516, §
	3(3), 86 Stat.
	998; April
	22, 1976, P.
	L. 94-278,
	Title V, §
	502(a)(2)(A),
	90 Stat. 411;
	May 28,
	1976, P. L.
	94-295, §
	3(a)(1)(A),
	(2), 90 Stat.
	575; Nov.
	23, 1977, P.
	L. 95-203, §
	4(b)(3), 91
	Stat. 1453;
	Sept. 26,
	1980, P. L.
	96-359, § 3,
	94 Stat.
	1193; Nov.
	16, 1988, P.
	L. 100-670,
	Title I, §
	107(a)(1),
	107(a)(1), 102 Stat.
	3984; Nov.
	8, 1990, P.
	L. 101-535,
	§ 5(b), 104
	\$ 5(b), 104 Stat. 2362;
	Nov. 28,
	1990, P. L.
	1990, P. L. 101-629, §
	16(b), 104 Stat. 4526:
	Stat. 4526;
	May 13,
	1992, P. L.

1	,
	102-282, § 6,
	106 Stat.
	161; June 16,
	1992, P. L.
	102-300, §
	6(a), (b), 106
	Stat. 240;
	Oct. 29,
	1992, P. L.
	102-571,
	Title I, §
	107(1), 106
	Stat. 4499;
	Aug. 13,
	1993, P. L.
	103-80, §§
	3(b), (dd)(1), 4(b), 107
	Stat. 775,
	779; Oct. 25,
	1994, P. L.
	103-417, §§
	3(a), (b),
	10(a), 108
	Stat. 4327,
	4332; Aug.
	3, 1996, P.
	L. 104-170,
	Title IV, §
	402, 110
	Stat. 1513;
	Nov. 21,
	1997, P. L.
	105-115,
	Title I,
	Subtitle B,
	§§ 121(a),
	125(b)(2),
	(e) 111 Stat.
	2320, 2325,
	2327; Oct.
	30, 1998, P.
	L. 105-324,
	§ 2(a), (c),
	112 Stat.
	3035, 3037;

			Jan. 4, 2002,
			P. L. 107-
			109, §
			5(b)(1), 115
			Stat. 1413;
			Oct. 26,
			2002, P. L.
			107-250,
			Title III, §
			302(d), 116
			Stat. 1619;
			Aug. 2,
			2004, P. L.
			108-282,
			Title I, §§
			102(b)(1),
			(5)(A), (B),
			203(c)(1),
			118 Stat.
			892, 902,
			908; Sept.
			27, 2007, P.
			L. 110-85,
			Title X, §
			1005(c), 121
			Stat. 968;
			June 22,
			2009, P. L.
			111-31, Div
			A, Title I, §
			101(a), 123
			Stat. 1783;
			Dec. 13,
			2016, P. L.
			2010, F. L. 114-255, Div
			A, Subtitle F,
			§ 3060(d),
			130 Stat.
			1133; Jan. 5,
			2021, P.L.
			116-304, §
			2(b), 134
212			Stat. 4916.
21 U.S.C. §	Adulterated	A cosmetic shall be deemed to be adulterated—	Act June 25,
361	cosmetics	(a) If it bears or contains any poisonous or	<b>1938</b> , ch
		deleterious substance which may render it	675, Ch. VI,

	I	T	
		injurious to users under the conditions of use	§ 601, 52
		prescribed in the labeling thereof, or under such	Stat. 1054;
		conditions of use as are customary or usual,	July 12,
		except that this provision shall not apply to coal-	1960, P. L.
		tar hair dye, the label of which bears the	86-618, Title
		following legend conspicuously displayed	I, §
		thereon: "Caution—This product contains	102(c)(1), 74
		ingredients which may cause skin irritation on	Stat. 398;
		certain individuals and a preliminary test	Oct. 29,
		according to accompanying directions should	1992, P. L.
		first be made. This product must not be used for	102-571,
		dyeing the eyelashes or eyebrows; to do so may	Title I, §
		cause blindness.", and the labeling of which	107(11), 106
		bears adequate directions for such preliminary	Stat. 4499;
		testing. For the purposes of this paragraph and	Aug. 13,
		paragraph (e) the term "hair dye" shall not	1993, P. L.
			1993, P. L. 103-80, §
		include eyelash dyes or eyebrow dyes.	, ,
		(b) If it consists in whole or in part of any filthy,	3(x), 107
		putrid, or decomposed substance.	Stat. 778.
		(c) If it has been prepared, packed, or held under	
		insanitary conditions whereby it may have	
		become contaminated with filth, or whereby it	
		may have been rendered injurious to health.	
		(d) If its container is composed in whole or in	
		part, of any poisonous or deleterious substance	
		which may render the contents injurious to	
		health.	
		(e) If it is not a hair dye and it is, or it bears or	
		contains, a color additive which is unsafe within	
		the meaning of section 721(a) [21 USCS §	
		[ 379e(a)].	
21 U.S.C. §	Misbranded	A cosmetic shall be deemed to be misbranded—	Act June 25,
362	cosmetics	(a) If its labeling is false or misleading in any	<b>1938</b> , ch
		particular.	675, Ch. VI,
		(b) If in package form unless it bears a label	§ 602, 52
		containing (1) the name and place of business of	Stat. 1054;
		the manufacturer, packer, or distributor; and (2)	July 12,
		an accurate statement of the quantity of the	1960, P. L.
		contents in terms of weight, measure, or	86-618, Title
		numerical count: Provided, That under clause	I, §
		(2) of this paragraph reasonable variations shall	102(c)(2), 74
		be permitted, and exemptions as to small	Stat. 398;
		packages shall be established, by regulations	Dec. 30,
		prescribed by the Secretary.	· ·
		= 7	1970, P. L.
		(c) If any word, statement, or other information	91-601, § 6
i .	1	required by or under authority of this Act to	[7](f), 84

		<del>_</del>	
		appear on the label or labeling is not prominently placed thereon with such conspicuousness (as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices, in the labeling) and in such terms as to render it likely to be read and understood by the ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase and use.  (d) If its container is so made, formed, or filled as to be misleading.  (e) If it is a color additive, unless its packaging and labeling are in conformity with such packaging and labeling requirements, applicable to such color additive, as may be contained in regulations issued under section 721 [21 USCS § 379e]. This paragraph shall not apply to packages of color additives which, with respect to their use for cosmetics, are marketed and intended for use only in or on hair dyes (as defined in the last sentence of section 601(a) [21 USCS § 361(a)]).  (f) If its packaging or labeling is in violation of an applicable regulation issued pursuant to section 3 or 4 of the Poison Prevention Packaging Act of 1970 [15 USCS § 1472 or 1473].	Stat. 1673; Aug. 13, 1981, P. L. 97-35, Title XII, Subtitle A, § 1205(c), 95 Stat. 716; Oct. 29, 1992, P. L. 102-571, Title I, § 107(12), 106 Stat. 4499.
21 C.F.R. § 700.3	Definitions	(b) The term cosmetic product means a finished cosmetic the manufacture of which has been completed. Any cosmetic product which is also a drug or device or component thereof is also subject to the requirements of Chapter V of the act.	[39 FR 10054, March 15, <b>1974</b> , as amended at 46 FR 38073, July 24, 1981]
21 C.F.R. § 700.3	<b>دد</b>	(d) The term fragrance means any natural or synthetic substance or substances used solely to impart an odor to a cosmetic product.	
21 C.F.R. § 700.3		(e) The term ingredient means any single chemical entity or mixture used as a component in the manufacture of a cosmetic product.	44
21 C.F.R. § 700.3		(f) The term proprietary ingredient means any cosmetic product ingredient whose name, composition, or manufacturing process is protected from competition by secrecy, patent, or copyright.	
21 C.F.R. §	44	(h) The term cosmetic raw material means any	44

Document 33006-9 PageID: 205372	Filed 07/23/24	Page 44 of 100
r ageib. 200012		

700.3			
700.3		ingredient, including an ingredient that is a	
		mixture, which is used in the manufacture of a	
		cosmetic product for commercial distribution	
		and is supplied to a cosmetic product	
		manufacturer, packer, or distributor by a	
		cosmetic raw material manufacturer or supplier.	
21 C.F.R. §	44	(j) Establishment means a place of business	46
700.3		where cosmetic products are manufactured or	
		packaged.	
21 C.F.R. §	"	(k) The term manufacture of a cosmetic product	"
700.3		means the making of any cosmetic product by	
, , , , ,		chemical, physical, biological, or other	
		procedures, including manipulation, sampling,	
		testing, or control procedures applied to the	
		product.	
21 CED 8	44	1	46
21 C.F.R. §		(1) The term packaging of a cosmetic product	
700.3		means filling or labeling the product container,	
		including changing the immediate container or	
		label (but excluding changing other labeling) at	
		any point in the distribution of the cosmetic	
		product from the original place of manufacture	
		to the person who makes final delivery or sale to	
		the ultimate consumer.	
21 C.F.R. §	Misbranding	(a) Among representations in labeling of a	[39 FR
701.1		cosmetic which render such cosmetic	10056
		cosmetic which reliact such cosmetic	10056,
		misbranded is a false or misleading	10056, March 15,
			,
		misbranded is a false or misleading	March 15,
		misbranded is a false or misleading representation with respect to another cosmetic or a food, drug, or device.	March 15,
		misbranded is a false or misleading representation with respect to another cosmetic or a food, drug, or device.  (b) The labeling of a cosmetic which contains	March 15,
		misbranded is a false or misleading representation with respect to another cosmetic or a food, drug, or device.  (b) The labeling of a cosmetic which contains two or more ingredients may be misleading by	March 15,
		misbranded is a false or misleading representation with respect to another cosmetic or a food, drug, or device.  (b) The labeling of a cosmetic which contains two or more ingredients may be misleading by reason (among other reasons) of the designation	March 15,
		misbranded is a false or misleading representation with respect to another cosmetic or a food, drug, or device.  (b) The labeling of a cosmetic which contains two or more ingredients may be misleading by reason (among other reasons) of the designation of such cosmetic in such labeling by a name	March 15,
		misbranded is a false or misleading representation with respect to another cosmetic or a food, drug, or device.  (b) The labeling of a cosmetic which contains two or more ingredients may be misleading by reason (among other reasons) of the designation of such cosmetic in such labeling by a name which includes or suggests the name of one or	March 15,
		misbranded is a false or misleading representation with respect to another cosmetic or a food, drug, or device.  (b) The labeling of a cosmetic which contains two or more ingredients may be misleading by reason (among other reasons) of the designation of such cosmetic in such labeling by a name which includes or suggests the name of one or more but not all such ingredients, even though	March 15,
		misbranded is a false or misleading representation with respect to another cosmetic or a food, drug, or device.  (b) The labeling of a cosmetic which contains two or more ingredients may be misleading by reason (among other reasons) of the designation of such cosmetic in such labeling by a name which includes or suggests the name of one or more but not all such ingredients, even though the names of all such ingredients are stated	March 15,
		misbranded is a false or misleading representation with respect to another cosmetic or a food, drug, or device.  (b) The labeling of a cosmetic which contains two or more ingredients may be misleading by reason (among other reasons) of the designation of such cosmetic in such labeling by a name which includes or suggests the name of one or more but not all such ingredients, even though	March 15,
21 C E D 8	Designation	misbranded is a false or misleading representation with respect to another cosmetic or a food, drug, or device.  (b) The labeling of a cosmetic which contains two or more ingredients may be misleading by reason (among other reasons) of the designation of such cosmetic in such labeling by a name which includes or suggests the name of one or more but not all such ingredients, even though the names of all such ingredients are stated elsewhere in the labeling.	March 15,
21 C.F.R. §	Designation of Ingradients	misbranded is a false or misleading representation with respect to another cosmetic or a food, drug, or device.  (b) The labeling of a cosmetic which contains two or more ingredients may be misleading by reason (among other reasons) of the designation of such cosmetic in such labeling by a name which includes or suggests the name of one or more but not all such ingredients, even though the names of all such ingredients are stated elsewhere in the labeling.  (a) The label on each package of a cosmetic	March 15,
21 C.F.R. § 701.3(a)	Designation of Ingredients	misbranded is a false or misleading representation with respect to another cosmetic or a food, drug, or device.  (b) The labeling of a cosmetic which contains two or more ingredients may be misleading by reason (among other reasons) of the designation of such cosmetic in such labeling by a name which includes or suggests the name of one or more but not all such ingredients, even though the names of all such ingredients are stated elsewhere in the labeling.  (a) The label on each package of a cosmetic shall bear a declaration of the name of each	March 15,
_	_	misbranded is a false or misleading representation with respect to another cosmetic or a food, drug, or device.  (b) The labeling of a cosmetic which contains two or more ingredients may be misleading by reason (among other reasons) of the designation of such cosmetic in such labeling by a name which includes or suggests the name of one or more but not all such ingredients, even though the names of all such ingredients are stated elsewhere in the labeling.  (a) The label on each package of a cosmetic shall bear a declaration of the name of each ingredient in descending order of predominance,	March 15,
_	_	misbranded is a false or misleading representation with respect to another cosmetic or a food, drug, or device.  (b) The labeling of a cosmetic which contains two or more ingredients may be misleading by reason (among other reasons) of the designation of such cosmetic in such labeling by a name which includes or suggests the name of one or more but not all such ingredients, even though the names of all such ingredients are stated elsewhere in the labeling.  (a) The label on each package of a cosmetic shall bear a declaration of the name of each ingredient in descending order of predominance, except that fragrance or flavor may be listed as	March 15,
_	_	misbranded is a false or misleading representation with respect to another cosmetic or a food, drug, or device.  (b) The labeling of a cosmetic which contains two or more ingredients may be misleading by reason (among other reasons) of the designation of such cosmetic in such labeling by a name which includes or suggests the name of one or more but not all such ingredients, even though the names of all such ingredients are stated elsewhere in the labeling.  (a) The label on each package of a cosmetic shall bear a declaration of the name of each ingredient in descending order of predominance, except that fragrance or flavor may be listed as fragrance or flavor. An ingredient which is both	March 15,
_	_	misbranded is a false or misleading representation with respect to another cosmetic or a food, drug, or device.  (b) The labeling of a cosmetic which contains two or more ingredients may be misleading by reason (among other reasons) of the designation of such cosmetic in such labeling by a name which includes or suggests the name of one or more but not all such ingredients, even though the names of all such ingredients are stated elsewhere in the labeling.  (a) The label on each package of a cosmetic shall bear a declaration of the name of each ingredient in descending order of predominance, except that fragrance or flavor may be listed as fragrance or flavor. An ingredient which is both fragrance and flavor shall be designated by each	March 15,
_	_	misbranded is a false or misleading representation with respect to another cosmetic or a food, drug, or device.  (b) The labeling of a cosmetic which contains two or more ingredients may be misleading by reason (among other reasons) of the designation of such cosmetic in such labeling by a name which includes or suggests the name of one or more but not all such ingredients, even though the names of all such ingredients are stated elsewhere in the labeling.  (a) The label on each package of a cosmetic shall bear a declaration of the name of each ingredient in descending order of predominance, except that fragrance or flavor may be listed as fragrance or flavor. An ingredient which is both fragrance and flavor shall be designated by each of the functions it performs unless such	March 15,
_	_	misbranded is a false or misleading representation with respect to another cosmetic or a food, drug, or device.  (b) The labeling of a cosmetic which contains two or more ingredients may be misleading by reason (among other reasons) of the designation of such cosmetic in such labeling by a name which includes or suggests the name of one or more but not all such ingredients, even though the names of all such ingredients are stated elsewhere in the labeling.  (a) The label on each package of a cosmetic shall bear a declaration of the name of each ingredient in descending order of predominance, except that fragrance or flavor may be listed as fragrance or flavor. An ingredient which is both fragrance and flavor shall be designated by each	March 15,

		it is within the meaning of such term as	
		=	
21.050.9	D-4-1-1: 1	commonly understood by consumers	[40 ED 0017
21 C.F.R. §	Establishment	(a) The label of a cosmetic product shall bear a	[40 FR 8917,
740.1(a)	of warning	warning statement whenever necessary or	March 3,
	statements	appropriate to prevent a health hazard that may	<b>1975</b> , as
		be associated with the product.	amended at
			42 FR
			15676,
			March 22,
			1977]
21 C.F.R. §	٠.	(b) The Commissioner of Food and Drugs,	66
740.1 (b)		either on his own initiative or on behalf of any	
		interested person who has submitted a petition,	
		may publish a proposal to establish or amend,	
		under subpart B of this part, a regulation	
		prescribing a warning for a cosmetic. Any such	
		petition shall include an adequate factual basis	
		to support the petition, shall be in the form set	
		forth in part 10 of this chapter, and will be	
		published for comment if it contains reasonable	
21 GED 8	T 1 1' C	grounds for the proposed regulation.	F40 ED 0017
21 C.F.R. §	Labeling of	(a) Each ingredient used in a cosmetic product	[40 FR 8917,
740.10 (a)	cosmetic	and each finished cosmetic product shall be	March 3,
	products for	adequately substantiated for safety prior to	1975]
	which	marketing. Any such ingredient or product	
	adequate	whose safety is not adequately substantiated	
	substantiation	prior to marketing is misbranded unless it	
	of safety has	contains the following conspicuous statement on	
	not been	the principal display panel:	
	obtained		
		Warning — The safety of this product has not	
		been determined.	
21 C.F.R. §	"	(b) An ingredient or product having a history of	"
740.10 (b)		use in or as a cosmetic may at any time have its	
, ,		safety brought into question by new information	
		that in itself is not conclusive. The warning	
		required by paragraph (a) of this section is not	
		required for such an ingredient or product if:	
		(1) The safety of the ingredient or product had	
		been adequately substantiated prior to	
		development of the new information;	
		(2) The new information does not demonstrate a	
		hazard to human health; and	
		· ·	
		(3) Adequate studies are being conducted to	
		determine expeditiously the safety of the	
		ingredient or product.	

	ı		
		(c) Paragraph (b) of this section does not	
		constitute an exemption to the adulteration	
		provisions of the Act or to any other	
		requirement in the Act or this chapter.	
21 C.F.R. §	Initiation of	An administrative proceeding may be initiated	[44 FR
10.25	administrative	in the following three ways:	22323, Apr.
	proceedings	(a) An interested person may petition the	13, <b>1979</b> , as
	France	Commissioner to issue, amend, or revoke a	amended at
		regulation or order, or to take or refrain from	54 FR 9034,
		taking any other form of administrative action.	Mar. 3,
		A petition must be either: (2) in the form for	1989]
		a citizen petition in § 10.30.	1707]
		1	
		(b) The Commissioner may initiate a proceeding	
		to issue, amend, or revoke a regulation or order	
		or take or refrain from taking any other form of	
		administrative action	
		(c) The Commissioner will institute a	
		proceeding to determine whether to issue,	
		amend, or revoke a regulation or order, or take	
		or refrain from taking any other form of	
		administrative action whenever any court, on its	
		own initiative, holds in abeyance or refers any	
		matter to the agency for an administrative	
		determination and the Commissioner concludes	
		that an administrative determination is feasible	
		within agency priorities and resources.	
21 C.F.R. §	Citizen	(a) This section applies to any petition	[44 FR
10.30	petition	submitted by a person (including a person who	22323, Apr.
		is not a citizen of the United States) except to	13, <b>1979</b> , as
		the extent that other sections of this chapter	amended at
		apply different requirements to a particular	46 FR 8455,
		matter.	Jan. 27,
			1981; 50
		(1) The Commissioner shall, in accordance with	16656, Apr.
		paragraph (e)(2), rule upon each petition filed	26, 1985; 54
		under paragraph (c) of this section, taking into	FR 9034,
		consideration (i) available agency resources for	Mar. 3,
		the category of subject matter, (ii) the priority	1989; 57 FR
		assigned to the petition considering both the	17980, Apr.
		category of subject matter involved and the	28, 1992; 59
		overall work of the agency, and (iii) time	FR 14364,
		requirements established by statute.	Mar. 28,
		(2) Except as provided in paragraphs (e)(4) and	1994; 62 FR
		(5) of this section, the Commissioner shall	40570,
		furnish a response to each petitioner within 180	40592, July
		Turnsh a response to each pennoner within 100	
			29, 1997; 66

	days of receipt of the petition. The response will	FR 6465,
	either:	6467, Jan.
	(i) Approve the petition, in which case the	22, 2001; 66
	Commissioner shall concurrently take	FR 12848,
	appropriate action (e.g., publication of a	Mar. 1,
	FEDERAL REGISTER notice) implementing	2001; 78 FR
	the approval;	76748,
	(ii) Deny the petition;	76749, Dec.
	(iii) Dismiss the petition if at any time the	19, 2013; 81
	Commissioner determines that changes in law,	FR 78500,
	facts, or circumstances since the date on which	78505, Nov.
	the petition was submitted have rendered the	8, 2016]
	petition moot; or	
	(iv) Provide a tentative response, indicating why	
	the agency has been unable to reach a decision	
	on the petition, e.g., because of the existence of	
	other agency priorities, or a need for additional	
	information. The tentative response may also	
	indicate the likely ultimate agency response, and	
	may specify when a final response may be	
	furnished.	
<u> </u>	1	

# Exhibit A

# WILLIAM M. SAGE, MD, JD

Page 1

**Texas A&M University 1515 Commerce Street** Fort Worth, Texas 76102

william.sage@tamu.edu

**Employment** 

Texas A&M University (2022-) Professor of Law; Professor of Medicine (Department of

> Translational Medical Science); Professor (by courtesy) of Government and Public Service; Assistant Vice President, Health Science Center; founding director, institute for health

care access (under development)

The University of Texas at Austin James R. Dougherty Chair, School of Law and

Professor of Surgery and Perioperative Care, Dell Medical Austin, TX (2006-2022)

School; Vice Provost for Health Affairs (2006-2013)

Columbia University Professor of Law (2001-2006);

New York, NY (1995-2006) Associate Professor of Law (1995-2001)

The White House Cluster Leader, Health Care Working Group

(President's Task Force on Health Care Reform) Washington, DC (1993)

O'Melveny & Myers Associate, Corporations Department

Los Angeles, CA (1990-93; 1993-95) (public finance, securities, mergers and acquisitions)

The Johns Hopkins Hospital Resident in Anesthesiology and

Baltimore, MD (1989-90) Critical Care Medicine

Mercy Hospital and Medical Center Intern (Transitional)

San Diego, CA (1988-89)

Davis Polk & Wardwell Summer Associate New York, NY (1987)

**Academic Degrees** 

Harvard College AB magna cum laude in biochemical sciences

(1978-82)(Phi Beta Kappa; John Harvard Scholar)

MD with research honors in anesthesia and Stanford University

(1982-88)critical care medicine (Alumni Scholar) JD with distinction (Order of the Coif;

note editor, Stanford Law Review)

Université Paris Descartes Docteur honoris causa

(2011)

# WILLIAM M. SAGE, MD, JD

Page 2

Licensure

Medicine: California (1989, inactive); New York (1996, inactive); Texas (2008) California (1990, inactive); District of Columbia (1991, inactive) <u>Law</u>:

#### **Professional Honors**

Elected Member, National Academy of Medicine

Elected Member, American Law Institute

Elected Fellow, The Hastings Center on bioethics

Elected Fellow, New York Academy of Medicine

Elected Member, The Academy of Medicine, Engineering and Science of Texas

Permanent Member, Hagler Institute for Advanced Study, Texas A&M University

Honorary Member, Delta Omega National Public Health Honor Society (Alpha Tau Chapter)

Honorary Fellow, American College of Legal Medicine

#### **Teaching and Service**

## **Current/Recent Courses**

Health Law and Policy (interdisciplinary with law, medicine, public affairs, and business)

Legislation, Regulation, and Public Policy (core "leg-reg" class)

Professional Ethics: Comparing Law and Medicine (core legal ethics class)

Health Justice and the Medical-Legal Partnership (interdisciplinary with law, medicine, and social work)

Advanced Health Law: Competition, Regulation, and Professionalism

Developing Outstanding Clinical Skills (DOCS) (Dell Medical School)

Foundations for Leadership Practice (Dell Medical School)

Applying Leadership Skills (Dell Medical School)

## Past Teaching:

Problems in Health Policy and How to Solve Them (UT Plan II Liberal Arts honors program)

Advanced Health Policy (UT interdisciplinary with law, nursing, pharmacy, and social work)

Health Policy (Emory University interdisciplinary)

Health Law (Columbia Law School, Harvard Law School, Yale Law School, Duke Law School)

Antitrust (Columbia Law School)

Professional Responsibility (Emory Law School, Harvard Law School, Duke Law School)

Foundations of the Regulatory State (Columbia University School of Law)

Professions and Professionals (UT Law and Medicine, Columbia University School of Law and College of

Physicians & Surgeons; Yale Law School and Yale School of Medicine)

#### Visiting Professorships and Other Teaching Positions

George Washington University School of Law (Fall 2021)

New York University School of Law (2019-2020)

and Dept. of Population Health, School of Medicine (2019-)

Emory Law School (Spring 2018)

Yale Law School (Spring 2013)

Harvard Law School (Fall 2007)

University of Texas School of Law (2005-2006)

Duke University School of Law (Spring 2001)

Université Paris Descartes (professeur invite, faculté de droit, 2013-2017)

University of Minnesota Law School/School of Public Health (visiting scholar, Fall 2003)

Page 3

Tokyo University Law Faculty (Columbia Law School exchange program, October 1997)

Document 33006-9

PageID: 205379

Leyden-Amsterdam-Columbia Summer Program in American Law (July 1997)

USC School of Medicine (adjunct faculty, 1991-95)

UCLA School of Medicine (adjunct faculty, 1991-92)

#### University Service

Innovation and Leadership Curriculum Committee, Dell Medical School (2015-18)

Task Force on Student Life (UT Law School) (chair 2014-16)

Commencement speaker, University of Texas at Austin School of Nursing (December 2011)

Commencement speaker, University of Texas College of Pharmacy (May 2011)

Robert W. Hamilton Book Awards (committee 2012; chair 2014) (UT Austin)

Transformation in Medical Education (TIME) Steering Committee (UT System)

Institute for Cancer Care Excellence special advisory group (MD Anderson Cancer Center)

Committee on Sustainability (UT Austin)

Subcommittee on Patient Safety Disclosure (UT System)

IRB Task Force (UT System)

Dean search committees (schools of nursing, pharmacy, social work) (UT Austin)

## **Advisory Boards and Community Service**

Editorial Board, *Health Affairs* (1998-)

Member, Healthcare System and Value Research (HSVR) study section, Agency for Healthcare Research and Ouality (AHRO) (2021-)

Advisor, American Law Institute, Restatement (Third) on Torts: Medical Malpractice (2020-)

National Advisory Council, National Center on Medical-Legal Partnership (2019-)

Board on Health Care Services, National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine (2017-23)

Children's Optimal Health (nonprofit GIS mapping) (chair, 2015-19; vice chair, 2008-15)

ChangeLab Solutions (Public Health Law & Policy) Board of Directors (2009-17)

Code Red Task Force on the Uninsured in Texas (2007-15)

Conseil scientifique, Institut Droit et Sante, Universite de Paris V (2007-16)

Partners in Austin Transforming Health (PATH) (steering committee, 2015-2022)

Policy Committee, Collaborative on Accountability and Improvement (co-chair, 2016-19)

Program Committee, Health Professions Interest Group, National Academy of Medicine (2016-20)

Fellows Council, The Hastings Center (2007-12)

Nat'l Advisory Committee, RWJF National Policy and Legal Advisory Network (2008-17)

Editorial Board, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality WebM&M/PSNet (2009-14)

Steering Committee, Clinical Education Center at University Medical Center/Brackenridge (2007-10)

#### **Special Advisory Service**

Peer Reviewer, National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine Report on Decadal Survey of Behavioral and Social Science Research on Alzheimer's Disease and Related Dementias (2021)

Observer, Uniform Law Commission Drafting Committee on Updating the Uniform Determination of Death Act (2021-)

Observer, Uniform Law Commission Study Committee on Updating the Uniform Determination of Death Act (2020-21)

Peer Reviewer, American Law Institute, Restatement of the Law Third, Torts: Concluding Provisions (2020)

Member, National Academy of Medicine, Committee on the Future of Nursing 2020-2030 (2019-21)

Monitor, National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine Report on Regulating Medicines in a Globalized World (2019)

Page 4

Peer Reviewer, National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine Report on Systems Approaches to Improve Patient Care by Supporting Clinician Well-Being (2019)

Peer Reviewer, National Academies of Science, Engineering, and Medicine Report on *Making Medicine Affordable: A National Imperative* (2017)

Consultant, Competition Commission of South Africa, Private Health Care Sector Market Inquiry (2015-17) Peer reviewer, Harvard University Report on *Protecting and Promoting the Health of NFL Players: Legal and Ethical Analysis and Recommendations* (2015)

Peer reviewer, IOM Report on *The Future of Nursing: Five Years Later* (2015)

AHRQ Special Review Panel for R21 Grants on Patient Safety and Medical Liability (2010)

AHRQ Advisory Council Subcommittee on Patient Safety and Medical Liability (2009-2010)

Texas Health Services Authority, HIE Governance and Finance Workgroup (2010)

JCAHO Tort Resolution and Injury Prevention Roundtable (2004-2005)

Institute of Medicine, Committee on Rapid Advance Demonstration Projects (2002)

The Hastings Center, Working Group on Conflicts of Interest in Research (2002-2003)

The Hastings Center, Working Group on Ethical Issues in Patient Safety (2001-2002)

New York State Dept. of Health Workgroup on IRB Guidelines (1997-1998)

#### **Grants**

"A Right to Be Counted: Enhancing Syndromic Surveillance Capabilities for Vulnerable Gulf Communities" (Principal investigator: National Academies Gulf Research Program/Robert Wood Johnson Foundation NAS Grant Number: SCON-10000856, 2023-2025; \$1.5 million)

"Health Reform, Competition Policy, and Emerging Health Care Markets" (Principal investigator: Commonwealth Fund, 2013)

"The Texas Disclosure and Compensation Study: Best Practices for Improving Safety" (Co-investigator: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, 2010-2014; \$1.3 million)

"Medicare-Led Malpractice Reform" (Principal investigator: Commonwealth Fund, 2005-2007)

"A Mediation Skills Approach to Disclosing Medical Error" (Co-investigator: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality conference grant, 2004)

"Project on Medical Liability in Pennsylvania" (Principal investigator: The Pew Charitable Trusts, 2002-2005; \$3.5 million)

"Competing on Quality of Care" (Investigator Award in Health Policy Research: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, 1998-2001)

## **Publications**

**Books** 

Bernard S. Black, David A. Hyman, Myungho Paik, William M. Sage, and Charles Silver. *Medical Malpractice Litigation: How It Works, Why Tort Reform Hasn't Helped.* Washington, DC: Cato Institute 2021.

Oxford Handbook of U.S. Health Law (I. Glenn Cohen, Allison Hoffman, and William M. Sage, eds.). New York: Oxford University Press 2016.

Page 5

Medical Malpractice and the U.S. Health Care System (William M. Sage and Rogan Kersh, eds.). New York: Cambridge University Press 2006.

Uncertain Times: Kenneth Arrow and the Changing Economics of Health Care (Peter J. Hammer, Deborah Haas-Wilson, Mark A. Peterson, and William M. Sage, eds.). Durham, NC: Duke University Press 2003.

## **Book Chapters**

Sage WM. Private Law as Health Law: What It Means, Why It Matters, in Health Law as Private Law (I. Glenn Cohen, Wendy Netter Epstein, Christopher Robertson, Carmel Shachar eds.). Cambridge University Press (forthcoming 2024).

Sage WM, Tiase VL. Risk, Responsibility, Resilience, Respect: COVID-19 and the Protection of Health Care Workers, in COVID-19 and the Law: Disruption, Impact, and Legacy (I. Glenn Cohen, Abbe R. Gluck, Katherine L. Kraschel, Carmel Shachar eds.). Oxford University Press (forthcoming).

Sage WM. Appendix E: The Future of Nursing 2020-2030: Meeting America Where We Are: Supplemental Statement of William M. Sage, M.D., J.D., in The Future of Nursing 2020-2030: Charting a Path to Achieve Health Equity. (Mary Wakefield, David R. Williams, Suzanne Le Menestrel, and Jennifer L. Flaubert, eds.). The National Academies Press 2021 (available at https://www.nap.edu/read/25982/chapter/18).

Santuari A, Sage W. Paradigms of Healthcare Systems, Law, and Regulation: A Transatlantic Conversation, in Oxford Handbook of Comparative Healthcare Law (Tamara Hervey & David Orentlicher, eds.). New York: Oxford University Press 2021: [1-51] (DOI:10.1093/oxfordhb/9780190846756.013.47).

Sage WM, Cohen IG, Hoffman AK. Health Care Law and Ethics, in *Health Systems Science* (2<sup>nd</sup> edition: Susan Skochelak ed.) Philadelphia: Elsevier Health Sciences, 2020: 220-242.

Sage WM. Explaining America's Spendthrift Health Care System: The Enduring Effects of Public Regulation on Private Competition, in The Law and Policy of Healthcare Financing (Wolf Sauter, Jos Boertjens, Johan van Manen, and Misja Mikkers, eds.) Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2019: 17-

Etchegaray JM, Gallagher TH, Bell SK, Sage WM, Thomas EJ. Error Disclosure Training and Organizational Culture, in Advances in Patient Safety and Medical Liability. (James Battles J, Irim Azam, Mary Grady, and Kathryn Reback, eds.). Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality 2017 (AHRO Pub. No. 17-0017, Aug. 2017): 65-78.

Sage WM, Ottosen MJ, Coopwood TB. A Quiet Revolution: Communicating and Resolving Patient Harm, in Surgical Patient Care: Improving Safety, Quality, and Value (Juan A. Sanchez, Paul Barach, Julie K. Johnson, and Jeffrey P. Jacobs, eds.). New York: Springer Science+Business Media 2017: 649-664.

Sage WM. Antitrust Law and Competition Policy in U.S. Health Care, in Oxford Handbook of U.S. Health Law (I. Glenn Cohen, Allison Hoffman, and William M. Sage, eds.). New York: Oxford University Press 2016: 606-636.

Page 6

Sage WM. Relating Health Law to Health Policy: A Frictional Account, in Oxford Handbook of U.S. Health Law (I. Glenn Cohen, Allison Hoffman, and William M. Sage, eds.). New York: Oxford University Press 2016: 3-28.

Sage WM. Some Principles Require Principals: Why Banning "Conflicts of Interest" Won't Solve Incentive Problems in Biomedical Research, in Trust and Integrity in Biomedical Research: The Case of Financial Conflicts of Interest (Thomas H. Murray and Josephine Johnston, eds.). Baltimore, MD: The Johns Hopkins University Press 2010: 188-213.

Sage WM and Leibenluft RF. Overcoming Barriers to Collaboration and Alignment: Legal and Regulatory Issues, in *Physician-Hospital Integration* (Francis J. Crosson and Laura Tollen, eds.). New York: Jossey-Bass 2010: 110-140.

Sage WM. Solidarity, in Connecting American Values with American Health Care Reform (Thomas H. Murray and Mary Crowley, eds.). Garrison, NY: The Hastings Center 2009: 10-12.

Sage WM. Paying Research Subjects: The U.S. Example, in Essais cliniques, quels risques? (Anne Laude and Didier Tabuteau, eds.). Paris: Presses Universitaires de France 2007: 137-152.

Sage WM and Kinney ED. Medicare-Led Malpractice Reform, in Medical Malpractice and the U.S. Health Care System (William M. Sage and Rogan Kersh, eds.). New York: Cambridge University Press 2006: 318-349.

Sage WM. Malpractice Reform as a Health Policy Problem, in Medical Malpractice and the U.S. Health Care System (William M. Sage and Rogan Kersh, eds.). New York: Cambridge University Press 2006: 30-42.

Sage WM and Kersh RT. Introduction, in Medical Malpractice and the U.S. Health Care System (William M. Sage and Rogan Kersh, eds.). New York: Cambridge University Press 2006: 1-8.

Sage WM. New Directions in Medical Liability Reform, in Malpractice and Medical Practice Handbook (Richard Anderson, ed.). Totowa, New Jersey: Humana Press 2005: 247-278.

Sage WM. Reputation, Malpractice Liability, and Medical Error, in Accountability: Patient Safety and Policy Reform (Virginia A. Sharpe, ed.). Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press 2004: 159-183.

Sage WM. Panel Presentation on Education in Professional Values and Rules, in Record of Proceedings, Convocation on the Face of the Profession II: The First Seven Years of Practice. Journal of the New York State Judicial Institute on Professionalism in the Law 2003; 3(1): 38-44.

Sage WM. Understanding the First Malpractice Crisis of the 21st Century, in 2003 Health Law Handbook (Alice G. Gosfield, ed.). St. Paul, Minnesota: West Group: 2003; 1-32.

Institute of Medicine. Fostering Rapid Advances in Health Care: Learning from System Demonstrations (Janet M. Corrigan, Ann Greiner, and Shari M. Erickson, eds.). Washington, DC: National Academies Press: 2002 (committee member and chapter author).

Page 7

Hammer PJ and Sage WM. Health Care Quality and Antitrust Law: Lessons from the Cases, in 2002 Health Law Handbook (Alice G. Gosfield, ed.). St. Paul, Minnesota: West Group: 2002; 549-608.

Warren SH and Sage WM. Feasting in a Flak Jacket: Bankruptcy Risks and Opportunities for Solvent Health Care Organizations, in 1998 Health Law Handbook (Alice G. Gosfield, ed.). Deerfield, Illinois: Clark Boardman Callaghan; 1998; 443-468.

Sage WM and Aiken LH. Regulating Interdisciplinary Practice, in Regulation of the Healthcare Professions (Timothy S. Jost, ed.). Chicago: Health Administration Press; 1997; 71-101.

Sage WM. Mandatory Consumer Disclosure in Managed Care: Lessons from the Securities Industry, in Achieving Quality in Managed Care: The Role of Law (ABA Health Law Section Monograph 5, June 1997). Chicago: American Bar Association; 1997; 99-121.

Sage WM and Anderson D. Health Care Disclosure Requirements, in 1997 Health Law Handbook (Alice G. Gosfield, ed.). Deerfield, Illinois: Clark Boardman Callaghan; 1997; 185-205.

Sage WM and Scott CD. Community Health Information Networks, in 1996 Health Law Handbook (Alice G. Gosfield, ed.). Deerfield, Illinois: Clark Boardman Callaghan; 1996; 403-436.

Sage WM. Courts, Coverage and Managed Care: Do We Really Want an Adversarial Health Care System?, in Medical Necessity: A Symposium on Policy Issues, Implementation Challenges and Tough Choices. Washington, D.C.: Agency For Health Care Policy and Research/National Institute For Health Care Management; 1995: 63-73.

Warren SH and Sage WM. With Friends Like These...: Protecting Participants in Integrated Systems from Bankruptcy and Insolvency Risks, in 1995 Health Law Handbook (Alice G. Gosfield, ed.). Deerfield, Illinois: Clark Boardman Callaghan; 1995; 115-151.

Articles (\*Refereed/peer reviewed publications)

\*Sage WM, Warren KD. Swimming Upstream Together: How to Align MLP Services with U.S. Healthcare Delivery. Journal of Law, Medicine, and Ethics 2023 (forthcoming)

Cortez N, Sage WM. The Disembodied First Amendment. Washington University Law Review 2022; 100(3): 707-764 (published in 2023).

\*Sage WM, Yang YT. Reducing "COVID Misinformation" While Preserving Free Speech. JAMA 2022 (published online Mar. 31, 2022; https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2790859).

Sage WM. What the Pandemic Taught Us: The Health Care System We Have Is Not the System We Hoped We Had, Ohio State Law Journal 2021; 82(5): 857-868 (invited commentary).

\*Sage WM. Adding Principle to Pragmatism: The Transformative Potential of "Medicare-for-All." Yale Journal of Health Policy, Law, and Ethics 2021; 20(1): 1-64.

\*Sage WM, Westmoreland TM. Following the Money: The ACA's Fiscal-Political Economy and Lessons for Future Health Care Reform, Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics 2020; 48(3): 434-442 (symposium on the

# WILLIAM M. SAGE, MD, JD

Page 8

tenth anniversary of the Affordable Care Act).

Sage WM, Boothman RC, Gallagher TH. Another Medical Malpractice Crisis? Try Something Different. JAMA 2020; 324(14): 1395-1396.

https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2770929?guestAccessKey=08113fb2-ab8d-4b76-9f2f-4ab7c984dd1b&utm source=ips&utm medium=email&utm campaign=author alertjamanetwork&utm content=author-author engagement&utm term=1m (Sept. 17, 2020).

\*White AA, Sage WM, Mazor KM, Gallagher TH, Assessing and Supporting Late Career Practitioners: Four Key Questions. Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety (published Aug. 26, 2020, available at https://www.jointcommissionjournal.com/article/S1553-7250(20)30183-5/fulltext)

Sage WM, Underhill K. Malpractice Liability and Quality of Care: Clear Answer, Remaining Questions. JAMA 2020; 323(4): 315-317 (invited editorial).

\*Masoudi FA, Viragh T, Magid DJ, Moghtaderi A, Schilsky S, Sage WM, Goodrich G, Newton KM, Smith DH, Black B. Differential Medicare Payment for Noninvasive Cardiovascular Testing between Providerbased Outpatient and Hospital-based Outpatient Settings: Trends and Relationship with Testing Location, 1999-2015. JAMA Internal Medicine 2019: https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamainternalmedicine/articleabstract/2753121 (Oct. 14, 2019).

\*Kum H-C, Giannouchos T, Washburn D, Sage WM, Ohsfeldt R. Predictors of Multiple Emergency Department Utilization Among Frequent Emergency Department Users in Three States. Medical Care 2019: https://journals.lww.com/lwwmedicalcare/Abstract/publishahead/Predictors of Multiple Emergency Department, 98378.aspx) (Oct. 23, 2019).

\*Thurman WA, Harrison TC, Garcia AA, Sage WM. The Social Construction of Disability and the Capabilities Approach: Implications for Nursing. Nursing Forum 2019; 54(4): 642-649.

\*Gallagher TH, Mello MM, Sage WM, Bell SK, McDonald TB, Thomas EJ. Can Communication-and-Resolution Programs Achieve Their Potential? Five Key Questions. Health Affairs 2018; 37(11): 1845-1852 (special issue on patient safety).

Sage WM and Laurin JE. If You Would Not Criminalize Poverty, Do Not Medicalize It. Journal of Law, Medicine, and Ethics 2018; 46(3): 573-581 (symposium on the medicalization of poverty).

\*White AA, Sage WM, Osinska P, Salgaonkar M, Gallagher TH. Patient Safety and the Aging Physician: Insights from Key Stakeholders. BMJ Quality and Safety 2018 (published online ahead of print) (available at file:///C:/Users/ws2234/Box%20Sync/Documents/Sage%20.pdfs/Physician%20Ageing%20BMJ%20Quality %20and%20Safety%202018.pd https://qualitysafety.bmj.com/content/qhc/early/2018/09/20/bmjqs-2018-008276.full.pdf?ijkey=RKNjkPNzn6SmH0n&keytype=ref).

\*Farmer SA, Moghtaderi A, Schilsky S, Magid D, Sage WM, Allen N, Masoudi FA, Dor A, Black B. Association of Medical Liability Reform with Clinician Approach to Coronary Artery Disease Management. *JAMA Cardiology* 2018; 3(7): 609-618.

Page 9

Sage WM. Fracking Health Care: The Need to Safely De-Medicalize America and Recover Trapped Value for Its People. NYU Journal of Law and Liberty 2017; 11(2): 635-671 (symposium on liberty and US health care)

Sage WM, Hyman DA. Antitrust as Disruptive Innovation in Health Care: Can Limiting State Action Immunity Help Save a Trillion Dollars? 48 Loyola University Chicago Law Journal 2017: 48: 723-755 (ABA antitrust symposium).

Sage WM. Minding Ps and Qs: The Political and Policy Questions Framing Health Care Spending. Journal of Law, Medicine, and Ethics 2016; 44(4): 559-568 (published in 2017) (symposium on health care in a new administration).

\*Sage WM, Harding MC, Thomas EJ. Resolving Malpractice Claims After Tort Reform: Experience in a Self-Insured Texas Public Academic Health System. Health Services Research 2016; 51(S3): 2615-2633 (AHRQ special issue on liability and safety).

\*Etchegaray JM, Ottosen MJ, Aigbe A, Sedlock E, Sage WM, Bell SK, Gallagher TH, Thomas EJ. Patients as Partners in Learning from Unexpected Events. Health Services Research 2016; 51(S3): 2600-2614 (AHRQ special issue on liability and safety).

Sage WM. Assembled Products: The Key to More Effective Competition and Antitrust Oversight in Health Care. Cornell Law Review 2016; 101(3): 609-700

\*Sage WM, Jablonski JS, Thomas EJ. Use of Non-Disclosure Agreements in Medical Malpractice Settlements by a Large Academic Health Care System. JAMA Internal Medicine 2015; 175(7): 1130-1135.

\*Sage WM, McIlhattan K. Upstream Health Law. Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics 2014; 42(4): 535-549 (symposium on buying and selling health care) (published in 2015).

Sage WM. Medical Malpractice Reform: When Is It About Money? Why Is It About Time? JAMA 2014; 312(20): 2103-2105 (invited editorial).

Sage WM. Our "Patchwork" Health Care System: Melodic Variations, Counterpoint, and the Future Role of Physicians. Houston Journal of Health Law & Policy 2014; 14(1): 1-9 (invited foreword to symposium on health system fragmentation).

\*Sage WM. Getting the Product Right: How Competition Policy Can Improve Health Care Markets. Health Affairs 2014; 33(6): 1076-1082.

\*Sage WM, Gallagher TH, Armstrong S, Cohn J, McDonald T, Gale JL, Woodward A, and Mello MM. How Policy Makers Can Smooth the Way for Communication-and Resolution Programs. Health Affairs 2014; 33(1): 11-19.

\*Sage WM, Hyman DA. Let's Make a Deal: Trading Malpractice Reform for Health Reform. Health Affairs 2014; 33(1): 53-58.

\*Etchegaray JM, Ottosen MJ, Burress L, Sage WM, Bell SK, Gallagher TH, and Thomas EJ. Structuring

Page 10

Patient and Family Involvement in Medical Error Event Disclosure and Analysis. Health Affairs 2014; 33(1): 46-52.

Sage WM. Putting Insurance Reform in the ACA's Rear-View Mirror. Houston Law Review 2014; 51(4): 1082-1113 (invited commentary).

Hyman DA, Sage WM. Medical Malpractice in the Outpatient Setting: Through a Glass, Darkly. JAMA Internal Medicine 2013; 173(22): 2069-2070 (invited commentary) (available at http://archinte.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?articleid=1741890).

Golden JM, Sage WM. Are Human Genes Patentable? The Supreme Court Says Yes and No. Health Affairs 2013; 32(8):1343-1345.

Rosenbaum S, Sage WM. Maternity Care and Liability. Women's Health Issues 2013; 23-1: e3-e5 (commentary).

Sage WM. Legal and Constitutional Influences on the Implementation of U.S. Health Care Reform. Journal de Droit, de la Santé, et de l'Assurance Maladie 2013; 1(1): 7-10.

\*Sage WM. Both Symptom and Disease: Relating Medical Malpractice to Health Care Costs. Forum for Health Economics and Policy 2012; 15(3): 83-106 (available at http://www.degruyter.com/view/j/fhep.2012.15.issue-3/fhep-2012-0010/fhep-2012-0010.xml?format=INT).

\*Paik M, Black BS, Hyman DA, Sage WM, Silver CM. How Do the Elderly Fare in Medical Malpractice Litigation, Before and After Tort Reform? American Law and Economics Review 2012; 14(2): 561-600 (available at http://aler.oxfordjournals.org/content/14/2/561.full.pdf+html).

Sage WM. How Many Justices Does It Take to Change U.S. Health Care? Only One, But It Has to Want to Change. Hastings Center Report 2012; 42(5): 27-33 (commentary).

Sage WM. Brand New Law! The Need to Market Health Care Reform. University of Pennsylvania Law Review 2011; 159(6): 2121-2146 (symposium on the Affordable Care Act).

\*Hyman CS, Liebman CB, Schechter C, Sage WM. Interest-Based Mediation of Medical Malpractice Lawsuits: A Route to Improved Patient Safety? Journal of Health Politics, Policy & Law 2010; 35(5): 797-828.

\*Sage WM. Will Embryonic Stem Cells Change Health Policy? Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics 2010; 38(2): 342-351 (symposium on the stem cell controversy).

\*Sage WM. Why the Affordable Care Act Needs a Better Name: 'Americare.' Health Affairs 2010; 29(8): 1496-1497.

\*Sage WM, Balthazar M, Kelder S, Millea S, Pont S, and Rao M. Mapping Data Shape Community Responses to Childhood Obesity. *Health Affairs* 2010; 29(3): 498-502.

Sage WM. Should the Patient Conquer? Wake Forest Law Review 2010; 45(5): 1505-1511 (symposium on a patient-centered health system).

Page 11

Sage WM and Hyman DA. Combating Antimicrobial Resistance: Regulatory Strategies and Institutional Capacity. Tulane Law Review 2010; 84(4): 781-839.

\*Arrow K et al. Toward a 21st Century Health Care System: Transition Policies for Health Care Reform. Annals of Internal Medicine 2009; 150(7): 493-495 (co-author and member of FRESH-Thinking Work Group).

\*Hyman DA, Black B, Silver C, and Sage WM. The Effect of Caps on Non-Economic Damages: Evidence from Texas Medical Malpractice Cases. Journal of Legal Analysis 2009; 1(1): 355-409.

Sage WM. Over Under or Through: Physicians, Law, and Health Care Reform. Saint Louis University Law Journal 2009; 53(4):1033-1048 (Childress Lecture symposium issue).

Sage WM. Out of the Box: The Future of Retail Medical Clinics. Harvard Law and Policy Review 2009; 3: 1-11 (available at http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=2377485)

\*Black B, Hyman DA, Silver C, and Sage WM. Defense Costs and Insurer Reserves in Medical Malpractice and Other Personal Injury Cases: Evidence from Texas, 1988-2004. American Law and Economics Review 2008; 10(2): 185-245.

\*Silver C, Zeiler K, Black BS, Hyman DA, and Sage WM. Malpractice Payouts and Malpractice Insurance: Evidence from Texas Closed Claims, 1990-2003. Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance: Issues and Practice 2008; 33: 177-192.

Kinney ED and Sage WM. Dances With Elephants: Administrative Resolution of Medical Injury Claims by Medicare Beneficiaries. Indiana Health Law Review 2008; 5(1): 1-7 (invited comment on lead article).

Sage WM. Relational Duties, Regulatory Duties, and the Widening Gap Between Individual Health Law and Collective Health Policy. Georgetown Law Journal 2008; 96(2): 497-522 (symposium on the future of health law).

\*Hyman DA, Black BS, Silver C, Sage WM, and Zeiler K. Do Defendants Pay What Juries Award? Post-Verdict Haircuts in Texas Medical Malpractice Cases, 1988-2003. Journal of Empirical Legal Studies 2007; 4(1): 3-68.

\*Zeiler K, Silver C, Black B, Hyman DA, and Sage WM. Physicians' Insurance Limits and Malpractice Payments: Evidence from Texas Closed Claims, 1990-2003. Journal of Legal Studies 2007; 36(2): S9-S45 (published in 2008).

\*Sage WM. Legislating Delivery System Reform: A 30,000 ft. view of the 800 lb. gorilla. Health Affairs 2007; 26(6): 1553-1556 (25<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Issue).

\*Pauly MV, Thompson C, Abbott T, Margolis J, and Sage WM. Who Pays?: The Incidence of High Malpractice Premiums. Forum for Health Economics and Policy 2007; 9(1) (Frontiers in Health Policy Research, Article 2: http://www.bepress.com/fhep/9/1/2).

\*Mello MM, Studdert DM, Schumi J, Brennan TA, and Sage WM. Changes in Physician Supply and Scope of Practice During a Malpractice Crisis: Evidence from Pennsylvania. Health Affairs 2007; 26(3): W425-W435 (Web Exclusive, Apr. 24, 2007).

Page 12

Sage WM. Might the Fact That 90% of Americans Live Within 15 Miles of a Wal-Mart Help Achieve Universal Health Care? University of Kansas Law Review 2007; 55(5): 1233-1245 (symposium on the Massachusetts Health Plan).

Sage WM. The Wal-Martization of Health Care. Journal of Legal Medicine 2007; 28: 503-519 (Theodore R. LeBlang Distinguished Lecture).

Sage WM. Some Principles Require Principals: Why Banning "Conflicts of Interest" Won't Solve Incentive Problems in Biomedical Research. Texas Law Review 2007; 85(6): 1413-1463.

Sage WM. Why Are Demonstrations of Comprehensive Malpractice Reform So (At All) Controversial? University of Memphis Law Review 2007; 37(3): 513-529 (symposium on medical malpractice reform).

Sage WM. The Role of Medicare in Medical Malpractice Reform. Journal of Health Care Law & Policy 2006; 9(2): 217-234 (symposium on medical liability reform) (published in 2007).

Sage WM, Zivin JG, and Chase NB. Bridging the Relational-Regulatory Gap: A Pragmatic Information Policy for Medical Malpractice and Patient Safety. Vanderbilt Law Review 2006; 59: 1263-1308 (symposium on medical liability).

Sage WM. McDonald-Merrill-Ketcham Lecture: Pay for Performance: Will It Work In Theory? Indiana Health Law Review 2006; 3(2): 305-324 (symposium on pay for performance).

Kinney ED and Sage WM. Resolving Medical Malpractice Claims in the Medicare Program: Can It Be Done? Connecticut Insurance Law Journal 2005-06; 12(1): 77-136 (symposium on health care).

\*Sage WM. Malpractice, Patient Safety, and the Personification of Medical Injury: Opportunities for Academic Medicine. Academic Medicine 2006; 81(9): 823-826.

\*Hyman DA and Sage WM. Subsidizing Health Care Providers Through the Tax Code: Status or Conduct? Health Affairs 2006; 25(4): W312-W315 (Web Exclusive, June 20, 2006).

\*Sage WM and Kalyan DN. Horses or Unicorns: Can Paying For Performance Make Quality Competition Routine? Journal of Health Politics, Policy, and Law 2006; 31(3): 531-556.

Sage WM. Malpractice Reform as a Health Policy Problem. Widener Law Review 2005; 12: 109-121 (symposium on medical malpractice) (published in 2006).

\*Mello MM, Studdert DM, DesRoches CM, Peugh J, Zapert K, Brennan TA, and Sage WM. Effects of a Malpractice Crisis on Specialist Supply and Patient Access to Care. Annals of Surgery 2005; 242(5): 621-628.

\*Kessler DP, Sage WM, and Becker DJ. The Impact of Malpractice Reforms on the Supply of Physician Services. JAMA 2005; 293(21): 2618-2625.

- \*Studdert DM, Mello MM, Sage WM, DesRoches CM, Peugh J, Zapert K, and Brennan TA. Defensive Medicine Among High-Risk Specialist Physicians During a Malpractice Crisis. JAMA 2005; 293(21): 2609-2617.
- \*Black B, Silver C, Hyman DA, and Sage WM. Stability, Not Crisis: Medical Malpractice Claim Outcomes in Texas, 1988-2002. Journal of Empirical Legal Studies 2005; 2(2):207-259.
- Sage WM. Malpractice Insurance and the Emperor's Clothes. DePaul Law Review 2005; 54(2): 463-484 (Clifford Symposium on tort law).
- Sloan FA, Mathews CA, Conover CJ, and Sage WM. Public Medical Malpractice Insurance: An Analysis of State-Operated Patient Compensation Funds. DePaul Law Review 2005; 54(2): 247-276 (Clifford Symposium on tort law).
- \*Sage WM. The Forgotten Third: Liability Insurance and the Medical Malpractice Crisis. Health Affairs 2004; 23(4): 10-21 (lead article).
- \*Mello MM, Studdert DM, DesRoches CM, Peugh J, Zapert K, Brennan TA, and Sage WM. Caring for Patients in a Malpractice Crisis: Physician Satisfaction, the Physician-Patient Relationship, and Quality of Care. Health Affairs 2004; 23(4):42-53.
- \*Hammer PJ and Sage WM. Monopsony as an Agency and Regulatory Problem in Health Care. Antitrust Law Journal 2004; 71(3): 949-988 (symposium on health care antitrust).
- \*Hammer PJ and Sage WM. Critical Issues in Hospital Antitrust Law. Health Affairs 2003; 22(6): 88-100.
- Sage WM. Protecting Competition and Consumers: A Conversation with Timothy J. Muris. Health Affairs 2003; 22(6): 101-110.
- \*Mello MM, Kelly CN, Studdert DM, Brennan TA, and Sage WM. Hospital Behavior in a Tort Crisis: Observations from Pennsylvania. *Health Affairs* 2003; 22(6): 225-233.
- \*Sage WM. Medical Liability and Patient Safety. Health Affairs 2003; 22(4): 26-36.
- \*Sage WM. Unfinished Business: How Litigation Relates to Health Care Regulation. Journal of Health Politics, Policy, and Law 2003; 28(2&3): 387-419 (special conference issue, "Who Shall Lead?").
- \*Sage WM, Hyman DA, and Greenberg W. Why Competition Law Matters to Health Care Quality. Health Affairs 2003; 22(2): 31-44.
- Sage WM. Managed Care's Crimea: Medical Necessity, Therapeutic Benefit, and the Goals of Administrative Process in Health Insurance. Duke Law Journal 2003; 53(2): 597-651 (symposium on administrative law) (published in 2004).
- Sage WM and Hammer PJ. A Copernican View of Health Care Antitrust. Law & Contemporary Problems 2002; 65(4): 241-290 (symposium on managed care).

Page 14

Hammer PJ and Sage WM. Antitrust, Health Care Quality, and the Courts. Columbia Law Review 2002; 102(3): 545-649.

Sage WM. Putting the Patient in Patient Safety. JAMA 2002; 287(22): 3003-3005 (invited editorial).

\*Sage WM. The Lawverization of Medicine. Journal of Health Politics, Policy, and Law 2001; 26(5): 1179-1195 (Special Issue, Kenneth Arrow and the Changing Economics of Medical Care, Peter J. Hammer, Deborah Haas-Wilson, and William M. Sage, eds.).

\*Hammer PJ, Haas-Wilson D, and Sage WM. Introduction: Why Arrow? Why Now? Journal of Health Politics, Policy, and Law 2001; 26(5): 835-849 (Special Issue, Kenneth Arrow and the Changing Economics of Medical Care, Peter J. Hammer, Deborah Haas-Wilson, and William M. Sage, eds.).

Sage WM. Principles, Pragmatism, and Medical Injury. JAMA 2001; 286(2): 226-228 (invited editorial).

\*Sage WM. UR Here: The Supreme Court's Guide for Managed Care. Health Affairs 2000; 19(5): 219-223.

\*Studdert DM, Sage WM, Gresenz CR, and Hensler DR. Expanded Managed Care Liability: What Impact on Employer Coverage? *Health Affairs* 1999; 18(6): 7-27 (lead article).

Sage WM. Fraud and Abuse Law. JAMA 1999; 281(12): 1179-1181 (invited editorial).

\*Miller T and Sage WM. Disclosing Physician Financial Incentives. JAMA 1999; 281(15): 1424-1430.

Sage WM and Hammer PJ. Competing on Quality of Care: The Need to Develop a Competition Policy for Health Care Markets. Michigan Journal of Law Reform 1999; 32(4): 1069-1118 (symposium on managed care regulation).

Sage WM. Regulating Through Information: Disclosure Laws and American Health Care. Columbia Law Review 1999; 99(7): 1701-1829.

Sage WM. Physicians as Advocates. Houston Law Review 1999; 73(5): 1529-1630 (invited health law issue).

Sage WM. Judicial Opinions Involving Health Insurance Coverage: Trompe L'oeil or Window on the World? Indiana Law Review 1998; 31(1): 49-73 (symposium on empirical research in health law).

Sage WM. Enterprise Liability and the Emerging Managed Health Care System. Law & Contemporary Problems 1997; 60(2): 159-210 (symposium on medical malpractice law) (published in 1998).

\*Sage WM. Judge Posner's RFP: Antitrust Law and Managed Care. Health Affairs 1997; 16(6): 44-61.

\*Sage WM. "Health Law 2000": The Legal System and the Changing Health Care Market. Health Affairs 1996; 15(3): 9-27 (lead article).

Page 15

Sage WM. Funding Fairness: Public Investment, Proprietary Rights and Access to Health Care Technology. Virginia Law Review 1996; 82(8): 1737-1752 (symposium on regulating medical innovation).

Bolin JH and Sage WM. Payment Issues in Medi-Cal Managed Care. California Health Law News 1995; 15(3): 92-113.

Sage WM and Jorling JM. A World That Won't Stand Still: Enterprise Liability by Private Contract. DePaul Law Review 1994; 43(4): 1007-1043 (symposium on health reform).

Sage WM, Hastings KE, and Berenson RA. Enterprise Liability for Medical Malpractice and Health Care Quality Improvement. American Journal of Law and Medicine 1994; 20(1&2): 1-28 (symposium on quality of care).

Aiken LH and Sage WM. Staffing National Health Care Reform: A Role for Advanced Practice Nurses. Akron Law Review Fall 1992; 26(2): 187-211 (symposium on health reform) (published in 1993).

\*Stern SJ and Sage WM. The California Health Facility Construction Loan Insurance Program. Municipal Finance Journal Spring 1992; 13(1): 30-50.

Sage WM. Drug Product Liability and Health Care Delivery Systems. Stanford Law Review 1988; 40(4): 989-1026 (student note).

\*Sage WM, Kessler R, Sommers LS and Silverman JF. Physician-generated Cost Containment in Transurethral Prostatectomy. Journal of Urology 1988; 140(Aug.): 311-315.

\*Sage WM, Hurst CR, Silverman JF and Bortz WM. Intensive Care for the Elderly: Outcome of Elective and Non-elective Admissions. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society 1987; 35(4): 312-318.

\*Sage WM, Rosenthal MH and Silverman JF. Is Intensive Care Worth It? -- An Assessment of Input and Outcome for the Critically Ill. Critical Care Medicine 1986; 14(9): 777-782.

## Reports and Monographs

Hyman DA and Sage WM. Do Health Reform and Malpractice Reform Fit Together? AEI Working Paper; 2011.

Avraham R and Sage WM. Legal Models for Assuring the Quality of CPGs. Report to the Institute of Medicine Committee on Standards for Developing Trustworthy Clinical Practice Guidelines; 2010.

Sage WM and Kinney ED. Medicare-Led Malpractice Reform: A New Idea That Just Might Work. Report to the Commonwealth Fund; 2007.

Sage WM. Accountability Through Information: What the Health Care Industry Can Learn from Securities Regulation. New York: Milbank Memorial Fund; 2000.

Page 16

Bergthold LA and Sage WM. Medical Necessity, Experimental Treatment and Coverage Determinations: Lessons from National Health Care Reform. National Institute for Health Care Management White Paper on Reform Issues, October 1994.

Warren SH and Sage WM. Health Care Insolvency: Lessons From the Eighties and Issues for the Nineties (Less Upside Than Advertised, More Liability Than You Dreamed). AMA Medical Staff and Physician Organization Legal Advisor, March 1994.

#### Legal Briefs

Sage WM. Brief of Amici Curiae Texas Professors Specializing in Health Law and Health Policy, Teladoc Inc. v. Texas Medical Board (No. 16-50017, 5th Cir.) (Sept. 2016) (sole author) (available at http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=2837506).

Bliss DT, Culvahouse AB and Sage WM. Brief for Respondent (U.S. Supreme Court, No. 90-1676), Gade v. Nat'l Solid Wastes Management Ass'n, 505 U.S. 88 (1992), aff'g Nat'l Solid Wastes Management Ass'n v. Killian, 918 F.2d 671 (7th Cir. 1990) (principal author).

## Commentary, Book Reviews, Letters, and Abstracts

Sage WM. The Last Existential Challenge to the ACA Goes Down Swinging in the Supreme Court. Health Affairs blog, June 2021 (available at The Last Existential Challenge To The ACA Goes Down Swinging In The Supreme Court | Health Affairs).

Tiase VL and Sage WM. From Pain to Progress: Nursing After the Pandemic. Harvard Law School Petrie-Flom Center "Bill of Health" blog, May 2021. (available Be a Transformational President, Mr. Biden: Launch a Commission to Create an Ethical Health Care System | Bill of Health (harvard.edu)).

Sage WM. Be a Transformational President, Mr. Biden: Launch a Commission to Create an Ethical Health Care System. Harvard Law School Petrie-Flom Center "Bill of Health" blog, April 2021. (available at From Pain to Progress: Nursing After the Pandemic | Bill of Health (harvard.edu)).

Sage WM. Healthism (book review of *Healthism* by Jessica L. Roberts and Elizabeth Weeks). Journal of Legal Medicine 2019; 39: 447-449.

Sage WM. Revisiting Health Justice (book review of Essentials Of Health Justice: A Primer by Elizabeth Tobin-Tyler and Joel B. Teitelbaum). *Health Affairs* 2019; 38(9): 1592-1593.

Sage WM. No, the ACA Isn't Unconstitutional: Ends and Means in a Dysfunctional Democracy. Health Affairs blog, December 2018 (available at https://www.healthaffairs.org/do/10.1377/hblog20181219.912615/full/?fbclid=IwAR3PCLAqwDw6i94qWw kgXwGMuoHRjxeoVCTl CVfaSb52812m2EWWdjky3E)

Sage WM. Non-diagnosis: An Unappreciated but Critical Role for AI in Healthcare. Balkinization blog, October 2018 (available at <a href="https://balkin.blogspot.com/2018/10/non-diagnosis-unappreciated-but.html">https://balkin.blogspot.com/2018/10/non-diagnosis-unappreciated-but.html</a>)

Sage WM. NIFLA v. Becerra: Adding Free Speech to the Supreme Court's "Trump Cards"? Health Affairs

**Page 17** 

blog, June 2018 (available at https://www.healthaffairs.org/do/10.1377/hblog20180627.608375/full/)

Sage WM. NIFLA v. Becerra Argued in the Supreme Court: The First Amendment as Political Arms Control. Health Affairs blog, April 2018 (available at https://www.healthaffairs.org/do/10.1377/hblog20180425.363444/full/)

Sage WM. Poor Relations: Connecting Waste, Need, and Health Care Spending (book review of *Poverty* and the Myths of Health Care Reform by Richard (Buz) Cooper). Health Affairs 2017; 36(2): 379-380.

Sage WM. Common Law and Common Sense: The Supreme Court Redresses Patient Harm Under the False Claims Act. Health Affairs blog, June 2016 (available at http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2016/06/22/commonlaw-and-common-sense-the-supreme-court-redresses-patient-harm-under-the-false-claims-act/)

Sage WM. Lords of the Jumble: IRBs, Ethics, and the Common Law of the Common Rule (book review of The Ethics Police?: The Struggle to Make Human Research Safe by Robert Klitzman). Health Affairs 2016; 35(5): 934-935.

Sage WM. Out of Many, One: ERISA Preemption, Transparency, and State All-Payer Claims Databases. Health Affairs blog, March 2016 (available at http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2016/03/10/out-of-many-one-erisapreemption-state-all-payer-claims-database-laws-and-the-goals-of-transparency/)

Sage WM. Physicians and the New Health Care Industry: Benefits of Generational Change. Health Affairs blog, March 2016 (available at http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2016/03/01/new-health-care-symposiumphysicians-and-the-new-health-care-industry-benefits-of-generational-change/)

Sage WM. Nothing (Still) Matters: ERISA Preemption Returns to the Supreme Court. Health Affairs blog, December 2015 (available at http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2015/12/07/nothing-still-matters-erisa-preemptionreturns-to-the-supreme-court/)

Sage WM. Hearts, Minds, and Health Care Reform. Health Affairs blog, June 2015 (available at http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2015/06/26/hearts-minds-and-health-care-reform/)

Sage WM. Competitive Harm from State Licensing Boards: First North Carolina Dentists, Now Texas Physicians? Health Affairs blog, May 2015 (available http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2015/05/27/competitiveharm-from-state-licensing-boards-first-north-carolina-dentists-now-texas-physicians/)

Sage WM. Four Words or 17 Syllables: Predicting King v. Burwell in Haiku. Health Affairs blog, March 2015 (available at http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2015/03/05/four-words-or-17-syllables-predicting-king-vburwell-in-haiku/)

Sage WM. From the Supreme Court: A Strong Endorsement of Health Care Competition. Health Affairs blog, March 2015 (available at http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2015/03/02/from-the-supreme-court-a-strongendorsement-of-health-care-competition/)

Sage WM and Hyman DA. North Carolina Board of Dental Examiners v. FTC: A Bright Line on Whiter Teeth? Health Affairs blog, October 2014 (available at http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2014/10/30/northcarolina-dental-board-v-ftc-a-bright-line-on-whiter-teeth/)

# WILLIAM M. SAGE, MD, JD

Page 18

Sage WM. Unpacking the Regulation of Professional Speech. Yale Law School conference on Public Health in the Shadow of the First Amendment, Balkinization blog, October 2014. (available at http://balkin.blogspot.com/2014/10/unpacking-regulation-of-professional.html)

Sage WM and Golden JM. FTC v. Watson Pharmaceuticals: Another Piece of the Puzzling Marketplace For Health Care Innovation. *Health Affairs* blog. December 2012 (available at http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2012/12/21/ftc-v-watson-pharmaceuticals-another-piece-of-the-puzzlingmarketplace-for-health-care-innovation/).

Golden JM and Sage WM. A Cure For Patent Pathology? The Supreme Court Reviews The Patentability Of Human Genes. Health Affairs blog, December 2012 (available at http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2012/12/07/acure-for-patent-pathology-the-supreme-court-reviews-the-patentability-of-human-genes/).

Sage WM. Preserving the Republic: Chief Justice Roberts' Affordable Care Act Opinion. Health Affairs blog, June 2012 (available at http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2012/06/30/preserving-the-republic-justice-robertsaffordable-care-act-opinion/).

Sage WM. William Sage on the Last Day of Supreme Court Arguments: Enough Frivolity for a While. Health Affairs blog, March 2012 (available at http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2012/03/29/william-sage-on-thelast-day-of-supreme-court-arguments-enough-frivolity-for-a-while/).

Sage WM. William Sage on the Supreme Court ACA Arguments Day Two: Where No Law Has Gone Before? Health Affairs blog, March 2012 (available http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2012/03/28/william-sageon-the-supreme-court-aca-arguments-day-two-where-no-law-has-gone-before/).

Sage WM. The Supreme Court Health Reform Arguments: William Sage on the Lessons of Day One. Health Affairs blog, March 2012 (available http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2012/03/27/the-supreme-court-healthreform-arguments-william-sage-on-the-lessons-of-day-one/).

Sage WM. CMS's essential benefits guidance: Brush-clearing or can-kicking? *Health Affairs* blog, Dec. 2011 (available at http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2011/12/28/cmss-essential-benefits-guidance-brush-clearingor-can-kicking/#more-15984).

Sage WM. Of wands, pens, and fries: How the IOM's "essential benefits" report advances the cause of health care reform. Health Affairs blog, Oct. 2011 (available at http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2011/10/19/of-wandspens-and-fries-how-the-essential-benefits-report-advances-reform/).

Sage WM. Common sense and malpractice reform. Health Affairs blog, Sept. 2011 (available at http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2011/09/26/common-sense-and-malpractice-reform/).

Sage WM. Health reform in the fourth circuit: The politics strike back. Health Affairs blog, Sept. 2011 (available at http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2011/09/09/health-reform-in-the-fourth-circuit-the-politics-strikeback/).

Sage WM. The legal battle over health reform: Analyzing the eleventh circuit opinions. Health Affairs blog, Aug. 2011 (available at http://healthaffairs.org/blog/2011/08/16/the-legal-battle-over-health-reformanalyzing-the-11th-circuit-opinions/).

Page 19

Sage WM. Medical Malpractice and the Public's Imagination. Center for American Progress online discussion, June 2008 (available at http://www.americanprogress.org/issues/2008/06/malpractice.html).

Sage WM. Suits and Suitability (book review of Medical Malpractice by Frank A. Sloan and Lindsey M. Chepke). Health Affairs 2008; 27(6): 1740-1741.

Sage WM. Commentary for FRESH-Thinking Workshop on Legal and Regulatory Reform, Stanford, CA, Nov. 29-30, 2007 (available at http://fresh-thinking.org/docs/workshop 071129/Commentary by Sage.pdf)

Sage WM. The Devil in Mr. Johnson (book review of Medicare Meets Mephistopheles by David A. Hyman). Health Affairs 2007; 26(4): 1194-1195.

Sage WM and Copland JR. Featured Discussion: Condition Critical?: Trial Lawyers and Health Care, Nov. 2005 (online discussion available at <a href="www.Pointoflaw.com">www.Pointoflaw.com</a>).

Larson EB and Sage W. Looking ahead at malpractice reform. Seattle Post-Intelligencer, Nov. 17, 2005, at B7.

Sage W. Malpractice mess: Here's one way out. Philadelphia Inquirer, June 9, 2005.

Black B, Silver C, Hyman D and Sage W. False diagnosis: Don't mess with Texas's tort system. New York Times, Mar. 10, 2005, at A25.

Black B, Silver C, Hyman D, and Sage W. Hunting down the facts on medical malpractice. Austin American-Statesman, Mar. 14, 2005, at A9.

Sage WM. Reforming malpractice "reform." Boston Globe, June 19, 2004, at A11.

Struve CT and Sage WM. Caps aren't the cure in malpractice case: Better guidance for juries is one answer. Philadelphia Inquirer, Mar. 21, 2004, at C3.

Struve CT and Sage WM. Malpractice tradeoffs difficult. Harrisburg Patriot-News, Mar. 8, 2004, at A9.

Sage WM. Overdue Process (book review of Protecting American Health Care Consumers by Eleanor DeArman Kinney). Health Affairs 2003; 22(3): 241-242.

Sage WM. Insurance and the Moral Plurality (book review of Embracing Risk: The Changing Culture of Insurance and Responsibility edited by Tom Baker and Jonathan Simon). Health Affairs 2002; 21(2): 294-295.

Chassin MR, Gorovitz S and Sage W. "Clear and convincing evidence' law cruel. Albany Times Union, Far. 31, 2000, at A15.

Sage WM. Potential cost savings from legalizing physician-assisted suicide (letter). New England Journal of Medicine 1998; 339(24): 1789.

Sage WM. The trilemma of the learned professions. 21st C: The World of Research at Columbia University

Page 20

1997 (Winter): 10.

Sage WM. Lessons from Breast Implant Litigation (book review of Science on Trial: The Clash of Medical Evidence and the Law in the Breast Implant Case by Marcia Angell). Health Affairs 1996; 15(4): 205-209.

Document 33006-9

PageID: 205396

Scott CD and Sage WM. In sickness and in health (dispute resolution in managed care). Employment Law Roundtable Magazine: The Recorder Special Supplement, Spring 1995, at 36-40.

Sage WM. Outcomes research (letter). New England Journal of Medicine 1994; 330(6): 434-435.

Sage WM. Commentary: Treating the eye of the beholder (fraud and abuse). The Recorder, July 28, 1994, 8,

Sage WM. Health reform: Are insurers really the problem? Connecticut Law Tribune, July 11, 1994, at 23 (reprint).

Sage WM. Health reform: Easy targets, difficult issues (insurance). Legal Times, July 4, 1994, at 26-27 (reprint).

Hammer PJ and Sage WM. Vertical integration must combine diverse strengths of all players. *Healthcare* Systems Strategy Report, June 24, 1994, at 11-12.

Sage WM. Commentary: Easy targets and difficult choices (insurance). The Recorder, June 8, 1994, at 8.

Sage WM. Commentary: Fundamental confusion in health policy formulation (antitrust). The Recorder, May 2, 1994, at 10, 14.

Sage WM. More medical services don't necessarily lead to better health care (Opinion -- The Nation). Los Angeles Sunday Times, December 26, 1993, M2.

Sage WM. Health care savings (letter). Los Angeles Times, December 13, 1993, B6.

Berenson RA and Sage WM. Don't reform the medical malpractice system in a vacuum. Society of General Internal Medicine News Fall 1993.

Sage WM, Rosenthal MH and Silverman JF. Evaluating critical care: Case-mix and outcome of 460 ICU patients. Anesthesiology 1984; 61: A95 (abstract).

#### **Presentations (2014-present)**

## Invited Lectures, Testimony, and Conference Presentations

"The Future of Human Rights and Justice-Centered Ethics in Epidemic Response" (UCLA-Texas A&M University joint conference; Los Angeles, CA; November 2023) (co-director and moderator)

"Leadership, Ethics, and Communication in Health Policy" (TAMU James Knight Leadership Fellows Program; Bryan, TX; October 2023)

Page 21

"Improving Health Policy by Reframing Conversations" (UT Southwestern O'Donnell School of Public Health; Dallas, TX; September 2023)

PageID: 205397

- "Unsticking Health Policy" (Texas A&M Community of Scholars Engagement Evening: College Station, TX; September 2023)
- "Public Funds, Public Functions, Private Actors: The Cognitive Dissonance of US Health Law" (Harvard Law-Petrie Flom Center Annual Conference: Cambridge, MA; June 2023)
- "Accountability and the Health Professions: Lessons for Health Reform from the Vanderbilt Nurse Case" (46<sup>th</sup> Annual Health Law Professors Conference: Baltimore, MD; June 2023)
- "Patient and Public Accountability for Error and Harm: The Vanderbilt Nurse Case Revisited" (UT Annual Health Lawyers Conference: Houston, TX; April 2023)
- "Health Policy Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic: The System We Have is Not the System We Thought We Had" (UT Dallas School of Economic, Political, and Policy Sciences: Dallas, TX; March 2023)
- "Health Equity and the Medical-Legal Partnership" (MITRE Corporation "HealthLab" Series: Bethesda, MD; March 2023 (via Zoom))
- "Workflow, Information, and Payment: Aligning Medical-Legal Partnerships with US Healthcare Delivery" (Yale Law School conference on the future of medical-legal partnership: New Haven, CT; March 2023)
- "Observations on Accountability and Improvement from the Vanderbilt Nurse Case" (Collaborative on Accountability and Improvement Seminar Series: Seattle, WA (via Zoom); October 2022)
- "Mistrust, Misinformation, Race & COVID-19" (Texas State Bar Health Law Conference; Austin, TX; October 2022)
- "The Role of the Courts in Health Policy" (2022 North Texas State of Reform Health Policy Conference: Irving, TX: September 2022)
- "Consolidation and Competition" (2022 State of Reform Texas Health Policy Conference: Austin, TX; March 2022)
- "Helping Medicine Rethink Its Foundations: A Civics Lesson" (44<sup>th</sup> Annual Health Law Professors Conference: Boston, MA (via Zoom), June 2021)
- "The Relationship Between Law and Ethics in US Health Care: An Introduction and Provocation" (Black-Zandveld Lecture, Texas A&M College of Medicine: College Station, TX (via Zoom), May 2021)
- "Medicare-for-All and Post-Pandemic Health Reform" (Texas A&M University School of Law conference on Mostly Non-Pandemic Health Law: Fort Worth, TX (via Zoom), March 2021)
- "Media Medicine: Physicians, Law, and Professional Ethics in COVID-19 Reporting" (George Washington University Law School/Milken Institute School of Public Health conference on First Amendment Values in Health Care; Washington, DC (via Zoom); March 2021)

- "Reforming Scope of Practice to Meet Community Needs" (Penn Nursing/LDI conference on Expanding Scope of Practice After COVID-19 panel discussion: Philadelphia, PA (via Zoom); November 2020)
- "Comments on Right-Skilling Health Professionals: Replacing Government Licensing with Third-Party Certification" (Cato Institute online event; September 2020)
- "COVID-19 and US Hospitals: Operational and Policy Issues" (University of Luxembourg Centre for Logistics & Supply Chain Management: Luxembourg (via Zoom); June 2020)
- "COVID-19 and U.S. Health Law: Emergency Change or System Reset?" (Wagner School of Public Service WagTalk: New York, NY; April 2020)
- "The Ethical Foundations of Medicare-for-All" (Columbia University School of Medicine Bioethics Grand Rounds: New York, NY; January 2020)
- "Panel Discussion: Universal Health Care: Can Expanding Public Health Insurance Address Health Disparities?" (NYU Langone Medical Center conference on the politics of health, disparities, and equity: New York, NY; October 2019)
- "Expanding Access to Public Programs" (American University conference on Next Steps in Health Reform: Washington, DC; October 2019)
- "The Future of Nursing" (ASLME 42<sup>nd</sup> Annual Health Law Professors Conference: Chicago, IL; June 2019)
- "The Innovative Potential of Medicare-for-All" (American Society of Neuroradiology Annual Meeting: Boston, MA; May 2019) (J. Arliss Pollock Memorial Award)
- "Medicare-for-All" (University of Texas Health and Humanities Institute: Austin, TX; April 2019)
- "Escaping Medicare's Gilded Age: The Innovative Potential of Medicare-for-All. (BioLaw Lapalooza 3.0: Stanford, CA: March 2019)
- "Ethical and Legal Obligations to Disclose and the Communication and Resolution Program (CRP) Movement" (Federation of State Medical Boards Annual Counsel Meeting: Austin, TX; November 2018)
- "AI, Robotics, and the Practice of Medicine" (Panel Discussion, Yale Law School Conference on the Law & Policy of AI, Robotics & Telemedicine in Health Care: New Haven, CT; November 2018)
- "The On-Again Off-Again ACA" (Austin Area Research Organization: Austin, TX; October 2018)
- "The Medicalization of Poverty" (ASLME 41<sup>st</sup> Annual Health Law Professors Conference: Cleveland, OH; June 2018)
- "Keynote Address: Regulation and Competition in the US Heath Care System (Netherlands Health Authority Conference on the Law and Policy of Healthcare Financing: Utrecht, NL; June 2018)

- "Safety and Late Career Practitioners: Lessons from Regulating Physicians in Training" (Accelerating the Development of Late Career Practitioner Programs at US Healthcare Institutions, All-Stakeholder Meeting: Seattle, WA; May 2018)
- "How Law Constrains Policy in US Health Reform" (Department of Pediatrics Grand Rounds, Dell Medical School: Austin, TX; May 2018)
- "Fracking Health Care" (Department of Surgery Grand Rounds, Dell Medical School: Austin, TX; May 2018)
- "How Law Constrains Policy in US Health Reform" (Nell Hodgson Woodson School of Nursing Health Policy Series: Atlanta, GA; April 2018)
- "Fracking Health Care" (Alan Ross Hawley Distinguished Visitorship and Lecture Series, University of Iowa College of Law: Iowa City, IA; April 2018)
- "Burning Issues in Health Policy: Commentary" (Emory University Healthcare Innovation Symposium XXIII: Atlanta, GA; March 2018)
- "De-medicalizing Health Care" (Stanford Law School "Biolawpalooza": Stanford, CA; March 2018)
- "Keynote Address: Boosting Clinical and Social Value in U.S. Health Reform" (American Society of Phlebology Annual Meeting: Austin, TX; November 2017)
- "Fracking Healthcare" (University of Illinois-Carle Clinic Conference on the Medicalization of Poverty: Champaign, IL; November 2017)
- "Teaching Leadership Skills to Medical Students: A Progress Report from the Pioneers" (National Academy of Medicine Annual Meeting: Washington, D.C.; October 2017)
- "Why We Aren't There: Health Law Constraints on Health Policy Solutions" (American Enterprise Institute: Washington, D.C.; September 2017)
- "Update on Health Care Reform" (Austin Area Research Organization Board Meeting: Austin, TX; September 2017)
- "Release and Repurpose: Solving the Social and Economic Problem of Low-Value Medicine" (40th Annual Health Law Professors Conference: Atlanta, GA; June 2017)
- "The AHA and the 'AHCA" (Dell Medical School Brown Bag Lunch Series: Austin, TX; May 2017)
- "Ethics in the Era of Rapid Innovation and Entrepreneurship in Healthcare" (McCombs School of Business Innovation in Healthcare Delivery Systems Symposium 2017: Austin, TX; April 2017)
- "Resolving Malpractice Claims after Tort Reform: Experience in a Self-Insured Texas Public Academic Health System" (McCombs School of Business Innovation in Healthcare Delivery Systems Symposium 2017: Austin, TX; April 2017)

- "What Worked and Didn't Work in Obamacare?" (Delta Omega Honor Society Distinguished Lecture Series, Texas A&M School of Public Health: College Station, TX; April 2017)
- "The Arc of Health Reform" (Department of Medicine Grand Rounds, UCLA Health: Los Angeles, CA; March 2017)
- "Recover and Repurpose: Solving the Social and Economic Problem of Low-Yield Medicine (NYU Classical Liberal Institute Conference on Health Innovation: New York, NY; February 2017)
- "Beyond Financing: Keeping "Care" and "Health" in Health Care Reform" (American Bar Association Washington Health Law Summit: Washington, DC; December 2016)
- "Healthcare Consolidation: How Regulation Drives Competition" (PhRMA State Medical Society Meeting 2016: Denver, CO; October 2016)
- "Legal Influences on Health Care Innovation" (Ulahealth conference on Blockchain-based health care innovation: Austin, TX; October 2016)
- "Health System Transformation and National Health Reform: Legal Barriers and Generational Opportunities" (National Academy of Medicine Health Policy and Health Care Systems Annual Interest Group Meeting: Washington, DC; October 2016)
- "Responding to Patient Harm using "Communication-and-resolution" Principles: Challenges and Opportunities (American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, Texas region annual meeting: The Woodlands, TX; September 2016) (ethics keynote)
- "And Not Or: The Conjunctive Challenges of Health Reform" (University of Pennsylvania Symposium and Tribute to Richard A. "Buz" Cooper, MD: Philadelphia, PA; September 2016) (afternoon keynote)
- "U.S. Health Policy and the Future of Advanced Practice Nursing" (Penn School of Nursing conference on nursing workforce policy: Philadelphia, PA; September 2016)
- "Addressing Hidden Regulatory Barriers to Effective Competition in Health Care" (American Bar Association/Loyola University Chicago conference on antitrust and consumer protection in health care: Chicago, IL; September 2016)
- "Improving Communication with Patients in Cases of Error or Serious Adverse Events" (conference organizer and principal presenter for Universite Paris Descartes/Assistance Publique Hopitaux de Paris Workshop: Paris, France; June 2016)
- "The Current Status and Future of 'Obamacare'" (Sciences Po, Institut Droit et Sante Inserm public lecture: Paris, France; June 2016)
- "Re-Regulating Health Care for the 'Triple Aim' Generation of Professionals, Policymakers, and Patients" (Federation of State Medical Boards Annual Meeting: San Diego, CA; April 2016)
- "Professional Speech and the First Amendment" (Northeastern University School of Law conference on the Future of Public Health: Boston, MA; April 2016)

Page 25

- "Legal and Policy Context for Value-Based Care Redesign" (Brown University Department of Orthopedic Surgery Grand Rounds: Providence, RI; March 2016)
- "Keynote address: U.S. Health Policy, the ACA, and the Future of Advanced Practice Nursing" (Texas Nurses Association APRN Legislative Day: Austin, TX; February 2016)
- "Keynote address: Health Policy and the Changing Role of Clinical Practice Guidelines" (Dell Medical School Department of Women's Health Clinical Guidelines Forum: Austin, TX: February 2016)
- "Health Law at War with Health Policy" (Texas A&M University School of Public Health: College Station, TX; January 2016)
- "State Medical Boards: Legal, Institutional, and Generational Changes" (University of Washington School of Medicine conference on developing ethically sound regulatory strategies for medical injuries: Seattle, WA; December 2015)
- "ACOs, New Health Care Delivery Models, and Physicians: The Promise of Generational Change" (Yale Law School and Yale School of Management conference on The New Health Care Industry: New Haven, CT; November 2015)
- "Issues in Health Economics and Health Policy" (University of Texas Plan II Honors Pre-Medical Society: Austin, TX; October 2015)
- "Beyond Revenue and Compliance: The ACA and Strategic Legal Issues for Texas Teaching Hospitals" (Teaching Hospitals of Texas Annual Health Law Seminar: Austin, TX; October 2015)
- "Adding Value to Health Care" (Accordion Health Employer Health Conference 2015: Austin, TX; June 2015)
- "Why Aren't We There Already? Centering Health Law on the ACA's Care Delivery and Population Health Goals" (Health Law Professors Conference: St. Louis, MO: June 2015)
- "Competition that Adds Value: Big Picture Challenges in Health Law & Policy" (American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons course on Shifting from Volume to Value: Preparing your Practice for Health Reform: Washington, DC; May 2015)
- "Belaboring Delivery System Reform" (University of Connecticut Insurance Law Center Conference on the 5<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of the Affordable Care Act: Hartford, CT; April 2015)
- "Upstream Health Law" (McCombs School of Business Annual Conference on Innovation in Health Care Delivery Systems: Austin, TX; April 2015)
- "The Affordable Care Act in 2015: Implications of Political Change" (American Association of Colleges of Pharmacy Interim Meeting: Austin, TX; February 2015)
- "Adding Value by Improving Competition in Health Care" (Philosophical Society of Texas 177th Anniversary Meeting: Tyler, TX; February 2015)

Page 26

- "Beyond FDA: A Diversified Information Policy for 'Unproven' Treatments" (Texas Law Review Symposium on Science Challenges for Law and Policy: Austin, TX; January 2015)
- "Rethinking the Product: A Path to More Effective Competition in Health Care" (Rice University Baker Institute for Public Policy: Houston, TX; December 2014)

PageID: 205402

- "Health Care in the United States: How Public Regulation Drives \$3 Trillion of Private Competition" (European University Institute conference on Antitrust Law in Healthcare: Florence, Italy: November 2014)
- "Unpacking the Regulation of Professional Speech (Yale Law School conference on Public Health in the Shadow of the First Amendment: New Haven, CT; October 2014)
- "Keynote address: Consolidation and Competition Policy" (Healthcare Financial Management Association Thought Leadership Retreat: Washington, DC; October 2014)
- "Communication and Resolution Programs: Policy Goals and Legal Issues" (Washington Medical Quality Assurance Commission Annual Educational Conference: Olympia, WA; October 2014)
- "The Effects of Obamacare" (National Latino Law Student annual meeting: Austin, TX; September 2014)
- "Disclosure and Apology: A Win-Win for Patient Safety and Medical Liability" (Alliance for Health Reform U.S. Capitol Briefing: Washington, DC; July 2014)
- "Engaging Patients as Partners in Error Disclosure to Improve Patient Care: Legal Issues" (AHRQ-UT Health Science Center-Houston: Houston, TX; June 2014)
- "Let the People In: Scope of Practice Reform in Texas" (Texas Public Policy Foundation Issue Briefing: Austin, TX; May 2014)
- "Getting the Product Right: How Competition Policy Can Improve Health Care Markets" (Health Affairs Briefing, Provider Consolidation in Health Care: Washington, DC; May 2014)
- "Clinical Trials Data Sharing, Litigation, and the First Amendment" (Presentation to the Institute of Medicine Committee on Strategies for Responsible Sharing of Clinical Trial Data: Washington, DC; April 2014) (via webcam)
- "Improving Health Care Competition by Getting the Product Right" MD Anderson Cancer Center Institute for Cancer Care Innovation: Houston, TX; April 2014)
- "Healthy Competition?" (Bipartisan Congressional Health Policy Conference: Houston, TX; March 2014)
- "Obamacare: Do the Benefits Outweigh the Costs?" (Adam Smith Society Debate, McCombs School of Business: Austin, TX; January 2014)

### Seminars and Workshops

"Health Policy Lessons from the COVID-19 Pandemic" (Bush School of Government and Public Service; College Station, TX; December 2022)

Page 27

- "Comments on 'Fiscal Waivers' in Federal Health Programs (Emory Law School Federal Funding Issues Workshop: via Zoom; November 2020) (with Keegan Warren-Clem)
- "Race, Health Justice, and COVID-19" (University of Texas Law School workshop series: via Zoom; November 2020)
- "Comments on Expressive Law and Medicaid Work Requirements" (Seton Hall Law School Health Law Works-in-Progress Retreat: Newark, NJ; February 2020)
- "Medicare-for-All as Principled Health Reform" (Hastings Center Scholars Workshop: Garrison, NY; November 2019)
- "Adding Principle to Pragmatism: The Transformative Potential of Medicare-for-All" (NYU School of Law Faculty Workshop, New York, NY; November 2019)
- "If You Would Not Criminalize Poverty, Do Not Medicalize It" (NYU Langone School of Medicine, Department of Population Health Seminar: New York, NY; March 2019)
- "The Innovative Potential of Medicare-for-All" (NYU School of Law, Engelberg Center Workshop: New York, NY; March 2019)
- "If You Would Not Criminalize Poverty, Do Not Medicalize It" (Duke Law School Health Law & Policy Workshop: Durham, NC; November 2018)
- "If You Would Not Criminalize Poverty, Do Not Medicalize It" (University of San Diego Law School Faculty Workshop: San Diego, CA; October 2018)
- "Fracking Healthcare" (Emory Law School Faculty Workshop: Atlanta, GA; April 2018)
- "Fracking Healthcare" (Rollins School of Public Health, Department of Health Policy & Management Faculty Workshop: Atlanta, GA; March 2018)
- "Fracking Healthcare" (UNLV Law & Medicine Workshop: Las Vegas, NV; February 2018)
- "Why We Aren't There Yet: Recognizing and Reducing Legal Barriers to High Value Care" (Dell Medical School Department of Surgery Faculty Meeting: Austin, TX; November 2017)
- "Relating Health Law to Health Policy" (UT Law School Bookfest: Austin, TX; October 2017)
- "Health Law Constraints on Health Policy Solutions" (Texas A&M University Bush School of Government seminar series: College Station, TX; October 2017)
- "Fracking Healthcare" (Harvard Law School Petrie-Flom Center Workshop Series: Cambridge, MA; September 2017)
- "Medical-Legal Partnerships" (UT Law School Faculty Drawing Board Presentation: Austin, TX; March 2017)

Page 28

"Recover and Repurpose: Engineering the De-Medicalization of US Social Policy" (UCLA Law School faculty workshop: Los Angeles, CA; March 2017)

Document 33006-9

PageID: 205404

- "Legal, Professional, and Generational Perspectives on US Health Policy Challenges" (Emory Health System clinical leadership meeting: Atlanta, GA; March 2016)
- "Health Law Barriers to Health Policy Solutions" (Weill Cornell Department of Health Policy faculty seminar: New York, NY; March 2016)
- "Regulatory Theory and Tobacco Control, or Why Dancers Smoke" (University of Texas School of Public Health tobacco research center seminar: Austin, TX; December 2015)
- "Relating Health Law to Health Policy" (University of Arizona interdisciplinary seminar on regulation: Tucson, AZ; December 2015)
- "Relating Health Law to Health Policy" (Emory Law School Faculty Workshop: Atlanta, GA; November 2015)
- "Relating Health Law to Health Policy" (Cornell Law School Faculty Workshop: Ithaca, NY; November 2015)
- "Health Law and Health Policy: A Frictional Account" (Southern Methodist University Law School Faculty Workshop: Dallas, TX; October 2015)
- "Rethinking the Product: A Path to More Effective Competition in Health Care" (Emory Law School Faculty Workshop: Atlanta, GA; September 2014)
- "Improving Competition Policy for Health Care Markets" (University of Texas School of Law Faculty Workshop: Austin, TX; February 2014)

# Exhibit B

### LITERATURE:

2015-2020 Excipient Monographs 2 Expert Committee Workplan. 2015.

http://www.usp.org/expert-committees/excipient-monographs-2-expert-committee-work-plan

Acheson, E. D. et al. Mortality of two groups of women who manufactured gas masks from chrysotile and crocidolite asbestos: a 40-year follow-up. Br.J Ind.Med. 39(4), (1982):344-348.

ASTM D5756 Webpage. 2008.

https://www.astm.org/DATABASE.CART/WITHDRAWN/D5756.htm ASTM D6620 Webpage.

2010. https://www.astm.org/Standards/D6620.htm

Baan, R., et al. Carcinogenicity of carbon black, titanium dioxide and talc. The Lancet 7, (April 2006): 295-296.

Berge, W., et al. Genital Use of Talc and Risk of Ovarian Cancer: A Meta-Analysis. European Journal of Cancer Prevention, January 2017.

Berry, G., et al. Mortality from All Cancers of Asbestos Factory Workers in East London 1933-80. Occupational and Environmental Medicine 57, No. 11 (November 2000): 782–85.

Bird, T., et al. A Review of the Talc Industry's Influence on Federal Regulation and Scientific Standards for Asbestos in Talc. NEW SOLUTIONS: A Journal of Environmental and Occupational Health Policy. February 2021. doi:10.1177/1048291121996645.

Blount, A M. Amphibole Content of Cosmetic and Pharmaceutical Talcs. Environmental Health Perspectives 94 (August 1991): 225–30.

Booth, M., V. Beral, and P. Smith. Risk Factors for Ovarian Cancer: A Case-Control Study. British Journal of Cancer 60, No. 4 (October 1989): 592–98.

Bradford Hill, Austin. The Environment and Disease: Association or Causation? Proceedings of the Royal Society of Medicine 58, no. 5 (May 1965): 295–300.

Buz'Zard, A. R., et al. Pycnogenol Reduces Talc-Induced Neoplastic Transformation in Human Ovarian Cell Cultures. Phytotherapy Research: PTR 21, No. 6 (June 2007): 579-86.

Califf, R., et al. Cosmetics Regulations and the Public Health. JAMA Int Med, No. 177 (8)(August 2017): 1080-1082.

Carr, C. J. Talc: Consumer uses and health perspectives. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology No. 21 (1995): 211-215.

Chang, S., and H. A. Risch. Perineal Talc Exposure and Risk of Ovarian Carcinoma. Cancer 79, No. 12 (June 15, 1997): 2396-2401.

Chen BK, Yang YT, Cheng X, Bian J, Bennett CL (2016) Petitioning the FDA to Improve Pharmaceutical, Device and Public Health Safety by Ordinary Citizens: A Descriptive Analysis. PLoS ONE 11(5): e0155259. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0155259

Document 33006-9

PageID: 205407

Chen, Y., et al. Risk Factors for Epithelial Ovarian Cancer in Beijing, China. International Journal of Epidemiology 21, No. 1 (February 1992): 23-29.

Cook, L. S., et al. Perineal Powder Exposure and the Risk of Ovarian Cancer. American Journal of Epidemiology 145, No. 5 (March 1, 1997): 459–65.

Cralley, L.J., et al. Fibrous and Mineral Content of Cosmetic Talcum Products. American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal (July-August 1968): 350-354.

Cramer, D. W., et al. Ovarian Cancer and Talc: A Case-Control Study. Cancer 50, No. 2 (July 15, 1982): 372–76.

Cramer, D. W., et al. Presence of Talc in Pelvic Lymph Nodes of a Woman with Ovarian Cancer and Long-Term Genital Exposure to Cosmetic Talc. Obstet.Gynecol. 110, No. 2 Pt 2 (August 2007): 498-501.

Cramer, D. W., et al. Genital Talc Exposure and Risk of Ovarian Cancer. International Journal of Cancer 81, No. 3 (May 5, 1999): 351–56.

Cramer, D. W. Perineal Talc Exposure and Subsequent Epithelial Ovarian Cancer: A Case-Control Study. Obstet.Gynecol. 94, No. 1 (July 1999): 160-61.

Cramer, D.W., et al. Thoughts on the Prevention and Early Detection of Postmenopausal Ovarian Cancer. Menopausal Medicine No. 19(1) (2011): S1-S11.

Cramer, D. W., et al. The Association Between Talc Use and Ovarian Cancer: A Retrospective Case-Control Study in Two US States. Epidemiology (Cambridge, Mass.) 27, No. 3 (May 2016): 334-46.

Cramer, D. W. and H. Xu. Epidemiologic evidence for uterine growth factors in thepathogenesis of ovarian cancer. Ann.Epidemiol. 5(4) (1995): 310-314.

CTFA Cosmetic Ingredient Dictionary 2d Ed. The Cosmetic, Toiletry and Frangrance Association, Inc., 1977.

Cuzick, J., et al. Aspirin and Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs for Cancer Prevention: An International Consensus Statement. The Lancet No. 10(5) (2009): 501-507.

Daly, M. and G. I. Obrams. Epidemiology and Risk Assessment for Ovarian Cancer.Semin.Oncol. 25(3) 1998: 255-264.

Dyer O. Johnson & Johnson recalls its Baby Powder after FDA finds asbestos in sample. BMJ. 2019 Oct 21;367:16118. doi: 10.1136/bmj.16118. PMID: 31636060.

Eberl, J. J., et al. Comparative Evaluation of the Effects of Talcum and a New Absorbable Substitute on Surgical Gloves. Am.J Surg. 75, No. 3 (March 1948): 493–97.

Egli, G. E., et al. The Transport of Carbon Particles in the Human Female Reproductive Tract. Fertility and Sterility 12 (April 1961): 151–55.

European Pharmacopoeia 9th Edition Webpage. 2018. https://www.edqm.eu/en/europeanpharmacopoeia-ph-eur-9th-edition

European Pharmacopoeia 7.0

FAQs Food Chemicals Codex (FCC) Webpage. https://www.usp.org/frequently-askedquestions/food-chemicals-codex-fcc

FDA Survey on Talc Safety (2009-2010),

http://www.fda.gov/cosmetics/productsingredients/ingredients/ucm293184.htm

Feinstein, D., et al. The Personal Care Products Safety Act. JAMA Internal Medicine 178(5)(May 2018): 601-602.

Fiume, M. M., et al. Safety Assessment of Talc as Used in Cosmetics. International Journal of Toxicology 34, No. 1 Suppl (July 1, 2015): 66S-129S.

Gates, M. A., et al. Risk Factors for Epithelial Ovarian Cancer by Histologic Subtype. American Journal of Epidemiology 171, No. 1 (January 2010): 45–53.

Gates, M. A., et al. Talc Use, Variants of the GSTM1, GSTT1, and NAT2 Genes, and Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. No. 17(9) (September 2008):2436-44.

Germani, D., et al. Cohort Mortality Study of Women Compensated for Asbestosis in Italy. Am.J Ind.Med. 36, No. 1 (July 1999): 129-34.

Gertig, D. M., et al. Prospective Study of Talc Use and Ovarian Cancer. J Natl. Cancer Inst. 92, No. 3 (February 2, 2000): 249-52.

Gilbertson, W.E. The Regulatory Status of Talc. Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology 21 (1995): 230-232.

Glasgow, T. (2016, June 19). Johnson & Johnson: No Link Between Talc and Ovarian Cancer -Houston Chronicle.

Gonzalez, N. L., et al. Douching, Talc Use, and Risk of Ovarian Cancer. Epidemiology(Cambridge, Mass.) 27, No. 6 (2016): 797-802.

Godard, B., et al. Risk Factors for Familial and Sporadic Ovarian Cancer among French Canadians: A Case-Control Study. Amer J Obstet Gynecol 179, No. 2 (August 1998): 403–10.

Gordon, R. E., et al. Asbestos in Commercial Cosmetic Talcum Powder as a Cause of Mesothelioma in Women. Int.J Occup. Environ. Health 20, No. 4 (October 2014): 318–32.

Graham, J., and R. Graham. "Ovarian Cancer and Asbestos." Environmental Research 1, No. 2 (October 1967): 115–28.

Green, A., et al. Tubal Sterilisation, Hysterectomy and Decreased Risk of Ovarian Cancer.Survey of Women's Health Study Group. Int.J Cancer 71, No. 6 (June 11, 1997): 948-51.

Grivennikov, S. et al. Immunity, Inflammation, and Cancer. Cell 140, No. 6 (March 19, 2010): 883-99.

Gross, A. J., et al. A Meta-Analytical Approach Examining the Potential Relationship between Talc Exposure and Ovarian Cancer. J.Expo. Anal. Environ. Epidemiol. 5, No. 2 (1995): 181–95.

Harlow, B.L., et al. A Case-Control Study of Borderline Ovarian Tumors: The Influence of Perineal Exposure to Talc. . Am.J Epidemiol. 130, No. 2 (August 1989): 390–94.

Harlow, B. L., et al. Perineal Exposure to Talc and Ovarian Cancer Risk. Obstet Gynecol 80, No.1 (July 1992): 19-26.

Hartge, P., et al. Talc and Ovarian Cancer. JAMA 250, No. 14 (October 14, 1983): 1844.

Health Canada. (2021). Health Canada Screening Assessment: Talc. Canada: Minister of Environment and Climate Change.

Heller, D. S., et al. The Relationship between Perineal Cosmetic Talc Usage and Ovarian Talc Particle Burden. Am.J Obstet.Gynecol. 174, No. 5 (May 1996): 1507–10.

Henderson, W. J., et al. Talc and Carcinoma of the Ovary and Cervix. The Journal of Obstetricsand Gynaecology of the British Commonwealth 78, No. 3 (March 1971): 266–72.

Henderson, W. J., et al. The Demonstration of the Migration of Talc from the Vagina and Posterior Uterus to the Ovary in the Rat. Environ.Res. 40(2) (1986):247-250.

Henderson, W. J., et al. Talc in Normal and Malignant Ovarian Tissue. Lancet 1, No. 8114(March 3, 1979): 499.

Houghton, S. C., et al. Perineal Powder Use and Risk of Ovarian Cancer. J Natl. Cancer Inst. 106, No. 9 (September 2014).

Huncharek, M., and J. Muscat. Perineal Talc Use and Ovarian Cancer Risk: A Case Study of Scientific Standards in Environmental Epidemiology. Eur.J.Cancer Prev. 20, No. 6 (2011): 501-7.

Huncharek, M. et al. Perineal Application of Cosmetic Talc and Risk of Invasive EpithelialOvarian Cancer: A Meta-Analysis of 11,933 Subjects from Sixteen Observational Studies. Anticancer Res.

25, (2003): 1955-1960.

Huncharek, M., et al. Use of Cosmetic Talc on Contraceptive Diaphragms and Risk of Ovarian Cancer: A Meta-Analysis of Nine Observational Studies: Eur.J.Cancer Prev. 16, No. 5 (October 2007): 422–29.

Hutt, P.B. "A History of Government Regulation of Adulteration and Misbranding of Cosmetics," Chapter 1 in Cosmetic Regulation in a Competitive Environment (2000).

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), Carbon Black, Titanium Dioxide, and Talc, IARC Monographs No. 93., (2010).

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), Arsenic, Metals, Fibres, and Dusts, IARC Monographs No. 100c., (2012).

Justice Tecson, Total Makeover: Federal Cosmetics Regulation and Its Need for Legislative Overhaul to Ensure Consumer Protection, 51 Golden Gate U. L. Rev. 127 (2021). https://digitalcommons.law.ggu.edu/ggulrev/vol51/iss2/5

Kasper, C. S., et al. Possible Morbidity in Women from Talc on Condoms. JAMA 273, No. 11 (March 15, 1995): 846-47.

Keal, E.E. Asbestosis and Abdominal Neoplasms. The Lancet (December 3, 1960): 1211-16.

Kurta, M. et al. Use of Fertility Drugs and Risk of Ovarian Cancer: Results from a US-BasedCase-Control Study. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. No. 21(8) (August 2012): 1282–92.

Kwa, M., et al. Adverse Events Reported to the US Food and Drug Administration for Cosmetics and Personal Care Products. JAMA Int Med. No. 177(8) (2017): 1202-1204.

Langseth, H., et al. Perineal Use of Talc and Risk of Ovarian Cancer. J. Epidemiol. Comm. Health 62, No. 4 (April 2008): 358-60.

Lockey, J. E. Nonasbestos Fibrous Minerals. Clinics in Chest Medicine 2, No. 2 (May 1981):203-18.

Merritt, M. A., et al. Talcum Powder, Chronic Pelvic Inflammation and NSAIDs in Relation to Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. Int.J Cancer 122, No. 1 (2008): 170-76.

Mills, P. K., et al. Perineal Talc Exposure and Epithelial Ovarian Cancer Risk in the Central Valley of California. Int.J Cancer 112, No. 3 (November 10, 2004): 458-64.

Moorman, P. et al. Ovarian Cancer Risk Factors in African-American and White Women. Am J Epidemiol 170, No. 5 (September 1, 2009): 598–606.

Muscat, Joshua E., and Michael S. Huncharek. Perineal Talc Use and Ovarian Cancer: A Critical Review: Eur.J Cancer Prev. 17, No. 2 (April 2008): 139-46.

NCI, A Snapshot of Ovarian Cancer - National Cancer Institute (2016), http://www.cancer.gov/research/progress/snapshots/ovarian

NCI, SEER Cancer Statistics Review, 1975-2005.

Ness, R. (2016, June 3). Commentary: A PL's Witness in the Baby Powder Case. Houston Chronicle.

Ness, R. Does Talc Exposure Cause Ovarian Cancer? International Journal of Gynecological Cancer 25, Supplement 1 (May 2015): 51.

Ness, R. B., et al. Factors Related to Inflammation of the Ovarian Epithelium and Risk of Ovarian Cancer. Epidemiology 11, No. 2 (March 2000): 111–17.

Document 33006-9

PageID: 205411

Ness, R. B., and C. Cottreau. Possible Role of Ovarian Epithelial Inflammation in OvarianCancer. J Natl.Cancer Inst. 91, No. 17 (September 1999): 1459-67.

Newhouse, M. L., et al. A Study of the Mortality of Female Asbestos Workers. Brit. J. Industr.Med. 29, (1972): 134-41.

NIOSH Current Intelligence Bulletin. Revised Edition. Asbestos Fibers and Other Elongated Mineral Particles: State of the Science and Roadmap for Research, January 2009.

NTP Toxicology and Carcinogenesis Studies of Talc. (CAS No. 14807-96-6) In F344/N Rats and B6C3F Mice (Inhalation Studies).

Ovarian Cancers - Evolving Paradigms in Research and Care. The National Academies Press. (2016)

Paoletti, L., et al. Evaluation by Electron Microscopy Techniques of Asbestos Contamination in Industrial, Cosmetic, and Pharmaceutical Talcs. Regul. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 4, No. 3 (1984): 222-35.

Penninkilampi, R., et al. Perineal Talc Use and Ovarian Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Epidemiology 29, No. 1 (January 2018): 41–49.

Pomeranz, J.L. Outstanding Questions in First Amendment Law Related to Food Labeling Disclosure Requirements for Health. Health Affairs 34, No. 11 (2015): 1986–1992.

Priest, Margot, The Privatization of Regulation: Five Models of Self-Regulation (1998). Ottawa Law Review, Vol. 29, No. 2, 1998, Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=2723562

Purdie, D., et al. Reproductive and Other Factors and Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer: An Australian Case-Control Study. Int.J Cancer 62, No. 6 (September 15, 1995): 678–84.

Reid, A., et al. Does Exposure to Asbestos Cause Ovarian Cancer? A Systematic Literature Review and Meta-Analysis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 20, No. 7 (2011): 1287–95.

Rinkunas, S. (April 4, 2016). How Vagina Shame Led to These Incredibly Sad Cancer Lawsuits. Nethers.

Document 33006-9

PageID: 205412

Rohl, Arthur N., et al. Identification and Quantitation of Asbestos in Talc. Environ HealthPerspect No. 9, (December 1974): 95-109.

Rohl, A.N., et al. Consumer Talcums and Powders: Mineral and Chemical Characerization. J Toxicol Environ Health No. 2, (1976): 255-284.

Rosenblatt, K. A., et al. Mineral Fiber Exposure and the Development of Ovarian Cancer. Gynecol Oncol. 45, No. 1 (April 1992): 20-25.

Rosenblatt, K. et al. Genital Powder Exposure and the Risk of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. Cancer Causes & Control: CCC 22, No. 5 (May 2011): 737-42.

Rothman, K. J., et al. 2008. Modern Epidemiology, 3rd Edition. Wolters Kluwer - Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: Philadelphia, Chapter 2.

Sage, W.M. Unfinished Business: How Litigation Relates to Health Care Regulation. Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law, Vol. 28, Nos. 2–3, April–June 2003.

Schildkraut, J. M., et al. Association Between Body Powder Use and Ovarian Cancer: The African American Cancer Epidemiology Study (AACES). Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.25, No. 10 (2016): 1411–17.

Terry, K. L., et al. Genital Powder Use and Risk of Ovarian Cancer: A Pooled Analysis of 8,525 Cases and 9,859 Controls. Cancer Prev Res. 6, No. 8 (August 2013): 811–21.

The British Pharmacopoeia Commission Webpage. 2014-2015. https://www.pharmacopoeia.com/the-bp-Commission

The European Pharmacopoeia Commission Webpage. https://www.edqm.eu/en/europeanpharmacopoeia-commission

Trabert, B., et al. Aspirin, NSAID, and Acetaminophen Use and Risk of Invasive Epithelial Ovarian Cancer: A Pooled Analysis in the Ovarian Cancer Association Consortium. JNCI No. 106(2) (2014).

Trabert, B., et al. Pre-Diagnostic Serum Levels of Inflammation Markers and Risk of Ovarian Cancer in the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal and Ovarian Cancer (PLCO) Screening Trial. Gynecol Oncol. 135, No. 2 (2014): 297-304.

Tzonou, A., et al. Hair Dyes, Analgesics, Tranquilizers and Perineal Talc Application as Risk Factors for Ovarian Cancer. Inter J Cancer. No. 3 (1993): 408-10.

USP-NF Publication & Comment Schedule Webpage. 2018. https://www.uspnf.com/publicationcomment-schedule

Venter, P. F., et al. Migration of a Particulate Radioactive Tracer from the Vagina to the Peritoneal Cavity and Ovaries. South African Medical Journal 55, No. 23 (June 2, 1979): 917-19.

Document 33006-9

PageID: 205413

What is the BP Webpage. https://www.pharmacopoeia.com/what-is-the-bp

Whittemore, A. S., et al. Personal and Environmental Characteristics Related to EpithelialOvarian Cancer. Am.J Epidemiol. 128, No. 6 (1988): 1228-40.

Whysner, John, and Melissa Mohan. Perineal Application of Talc and Cornstarch Powders: Evaluation of Ovarian Cancer Risk. Am.J Obstet.Gynecol. 182, No. 3 (March 2000): 720–24.

Wignall, B.K., and A.J. Fox. Mortality of Female Gas Mask Assemblers" Br J Ind Med. 39, No.1 (February 1, 1982): 34-38.

2018. Company Briefs. The New York Times.

Wong, C., et al. Perineal Talc Exposure and Subsequent Epithelial Ovarian Cancer: A Case-Control Study. Obstet and Gynecol 93, No. 3 (March 1999): 372–76.

Wu, A. H., et al. Markers of Inflammation and Risk of Ovarian Cancer in Los Angeles County. Int J Cancer 124, No. 6 (March 15, 2009): 1409–15.

Wu, A. H., et al. African Americans and Hispanics Remain at Lower Risk of Ovarian Cancer Than Non-Hispanic Whites after Considering Nongenetic Risk Factors and Oophorectomy Rates. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev; 24(7) (2015): 1094–100.

Camargo, M. Costanza, et al. Occupational Exposure to Asbestos and Ovarian Cancer: A Metaanalysis. Environ Health Perspect 119:1211–1217 (2011). http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1003283 [Online 3 June 2011].

Fletcher NM, Harper AK, Memaj I, Fan R, Morris RT, Saed GM. Molecular Basis Supporting the Association of Talcum Powder Use With Increased Risk of Ovarian Cancer. Reprod Sci. 2019 Dec;26(12):1603-1612. doi: 10.1177/1933719119831773. Epub 2019 Feb 28. PMID: 30819054.

Harper, A.K., et al. Talcum powder induces malignant transformation of human primary normal ovarian epithelial cells but not human primary normal peritoneal fibroblasts. Gynecologic Oncology. 159 S1(140): 297 – Poster Session.

Ghassan M. Saed, Michael P. Diamond, Nicole M. Fletcher. (2017). Updates of the role of oxidative stress in the pathogenesis of ovarian cancer, Gynecologic Oncology 145(3): 595-602. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2017.02.033.

Mallen, Adrianne R., et al. (2018). Risk Factors for Ovarian Carcinoma, Hematology/Oncology Clinics of North America 32(6): 891-902, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hoc.2018.07.002.

Narod, Steven A. (2016). Talc and ovarian cancer. Gynecologic Oncology 141(3): 410-412, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygyno.2016.04.011.

PageID: 205414

Savant SS, Sriramkumar S, O'Hagan HM. The Role of Inflammation and Inflammatory Mediators in the Development, Progression, Metastasis, and Chemoresistance of Epithelial Ovarian Cancer. Cancers. 2018; 10(8):251. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers10080251100c

Schorge JO, Modesitt SC, Coleman RL, Cohn DE, Kauff ND, Duska LR, Herzog TJ. SGO White Paper on ovarian cancer: etiology, screening and surveillance. Gynecol Oncol. 2010 Oct;119(1):7-17. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2010.06.003. Epub 2010 Aug 7. PMID: 20692025.

Weiwei Shan & Jinsong Liu (2009) Inflammation: A hidden path to breaking the spell of ovarian cancer, Cell Cycle, 8:19, 3107-3111, DOI: 10.4161/cc.8.19.9590

Young, JH The Toadstool Millionaires

#### **DEPOSITIONS AND TRANSCRIPTS:**

30(b)(6) Deposition and Exhibits of Donald Hicks Taken on 6.28.18 and 6.29.18

30(b)(6) Deposition and Exhibits of John Hopkins Taken on 8.16.18, 8.17.18, 10.17.18, 11.05.18

30(b)(6) Deposition and Exhibits of Joshua Muscat Taken on 9.25.18

30(b)(6) Deposition and Exhibits of Julie Pier Taken on 9.12.18 and 9.13.18

30(b)(6) Deposition and Exhibits of Linda Loretz Taken on 7.17.18, 10.1.18 and 10.2.1830(b)(6)

Deposition and Exhibits of Margaret Gurowitz Taken on 7.12.18

30(b)(6) Deposition and Exhibits of Mark Pollack Taken on 8.29.18 30(b)(6) Deposition and

Exhibits of Pat Downey Taken on 8.7.18 and 8.8.1830(b)(6) Deposition and Exhibits of Robert Glenn Taken on 10.18.18

30(b)(6) Deposition and Exhibits of Susan Nicholson Taken on 7.26.18 and 7.27.1830(b)(6)

Deposition and Exhibits of Tina French Taken on 8.15.18

Deposition testimony and exhibits of Kathleen Wille (April 13, 2021, April 26, 2021, and June 7, 2021)

Deposition testimony and exhibits of Lorena Telofski (February 24, 2021)

Deposition testimony and exhibits of Susan Nettesheim (April 16, 2021)

Deposition testimony and exhibits of Steven Mann (April 8 and 28, 2021)

Deposition testimony and exhibits of Timothy McCarthy (May 20, 2021)

Deposition testimony and exhibits of Linda Loretz (January 8, 2016, July 17, 2018, and October 1 and 2, 2018)

Deposition testimony and exhibits of Mark Pollak (February 18, 2016)

Building Consumer Confidence by Empowering FDA to Improve Cosmetic Safety: Hearings before the U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on Health of the Committee on Energy and Commerce, 116th Cong. (2019).

Carl v. J&J Kemp Hearing Transcript for Curtis J. Omiecinski Dated 08.15.16

Carl v. J&J Kemp Hearing Transcript for Graham Colditz Dated 08.16.16

Carl v. J&J Kemp Hearing Transcript for Douglas L. Weed Dated 08.11.16

Congressional Testimony 05.14.08 - Pamela Bailey

Congressional Testimony 05.14.08 - Pamela Bailey Prepared StatementDaniels v. J&J Volume 17 **Trial Transcript** 

PageID: 205415

Defendants' Motion to Exclude Plaintiffs' Experts' General Causation Opinions in Carl v. J&J

Defendants' Motion to Exclude the Testimony of David Steinberg

Deposition of John Hopkins Taken 10.19.12 in the Berg v. J&J Matter Deposition of Joshua

Muscat Taken 3.3.2016 in the Hogans v. J&J Matter

Examining Carcinogens in Talc and the Best Detection Methods for Asbestos Detection: Hearings before the U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on Economic and Consumer Policy of the Committee on Oversight and Reform, 116<sup>th</sup> Cong. (2020).

Examining the Public Health Risks of Carcinogens in Consumer Products: Hearings before the U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on Economic and Consumer Policy of the Committee on Oversight and Reform, 116<sup>th</sup> Cong. (2019).

Expert Report of Anne McTiernan, MD, Ph D, In Re: Johnson & Johnson Talcum Powder Products Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation Dated 11.16.2018

Expert Report of Daniel L. Clarke-Pearson, MD, In Re: Johnson & Johnson Talcum Powder

Products Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation Dated 11.16.2018

Expert Report of Daniel Cramer, MD in the Ristesund v. J&J Matter Dated 11.01.15Expert Report of Dr. Douglas L. Weed Dated 2.19.16

Expert Report of Dr. Douglas Weed in the Giannecchini v. J&J Matter Dated 08.18.16Expert

Report of F. Alan Andersen in the Giannecchini v. J&J Matter

Expert Report of John J. Godleski - REDACTED Dated 4.3.15

Expert Report of Judith Wolf, MD, In Re: Johnson & Johnson Talcum Powder Products Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation Dated 11.16.2018

Expert Report of Michael M. Crowley, In Re: Johnson & Johnson Talcum Powder Products

Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation Dated 11.12.2018

Expert Report of Rebecca Smith-Bindman, MD, In Re: Johnson & Johnson Talcum Powder

Products Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation Dated 11.15.2018

Expert Report of William E. Longo, Ph D. & Mark W. Rigler, Ph. D, In Re: Johnson & Johnson

Talcum Powder Products Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation Dated 2.2.2019

Exploring Current Practices in Cosmetic Development and Safety: Hearings before the U.S. Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor and Pensions, 114<sup>th</sup> Cong. (2016).

Hogans v. J&J Stipulated Protective Order Dated 1.28.15

Ingham v. Johnson & Johnson, 608 S.W.3d 663 (Mo. Ct. App. 2020).

Oules v. J&J Order Stipulated Protective Order Dated 10.26.15

PL's First Amended Master Long Form Complaint in Talc MDLProtective Order in Hogans, et al. v. J&J, Exhibit A

Ristesund v. J&J Closing Powerpoint

Ristesund v. J&J Trial Transcript Volume 16 (Closing) Ristesund v. J&J Trial Transcript Volume 6A (Colditz) Ristesund v. J&J Trial Transcript Volume 6B (Colditz) Ristesund v. J&J Trial

Transcript Volume 7A (Godleski)Ristesund v. J&J Trial Transcript Volume 7B (Godleski) Ristesund v. J&J Trial Transcript Volume 8A (Cramer) Ristesund v. J&J Trial Transcript Volume 8B (Cramer) Ristesund v. J&J Trial Transcript Volume 9A (Cramer) Ristesund v. J&J Trial Transcript Volume 9B (Cramer) Simpson v. J&J Filed Complaint Dated 03.14.16

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Public Meeting: Testing Methods for Asbestos in Talc and Cosmetic Products Containing Talc. (Feb. 4, 2020).

https://www.fda.gov/media/136305/download

Deposition testimony and exhibits of Frederick W. Koberna, Jr. (July 8, 2021)

Colleen Cadagin, as executrix of the Estate of Elizabeth Driscoll, deceased v. Johnson & Johnson, et al., Trial Transcript dated July 23, 2021, Volume 10A

Colleen Cadagin, as executrix of the Estate of Elizabeth Driscoll, deceased v. Johnson, et al., Trial Transcript dated July 23, 2021, Volume 10B

#### **OTHER SOURCES:**

- 21 CFR 73.1550
- 21 CFR 176.170
- 21 CFR 178.3297
- 21 CFR 182.2437
- 21 CFR 182.70
- 21 CFR 182.90
- 21 CFR 310.545
- 21 CFR 331
- 21 CFR 361
- 21 CFR 740.10
- 21 CFR 895.102
- 21 CFR 895.103
- 21 CFR 895.104

Ad - Soothe Baby's Path to Summer SafetyAd - Welcoming the Newcomers

Ad A Magic Veil of ProtectionAd A Service to Mothers

Ad An Endless Chain of Approval

Ad Buenhogar con Good Housekeeping, August 1967, Vol. 4 No. 2

Ad Cashmere Bouquet Modern Screen magazine, April, Vol. 56 No. 43 Ad Cashmere Bouquet

Modern Screen magazine, August, Vol. 54 No. 8Ad Co-Ed magazine, January 1975, Vol. 20, No. 5

Ad Co-Ed magazine, September 1973, Vol. 19, No. 1Ad Country Gentleman, June 1946 - Vol.

116, No. 6 Ad Family Circle, July 1953, Vol. 43, No. 1

Ad It's a Feeling You Never Outgrow Ad Let's Both Get Down to Earth, Mom!

Ad Of All Flowers Do Not Deserve the Greatest CareAd Play it Cool...

Ad Redbook magazine, November 1968, Vol. 132, No. 1

Ad Seventeen magazine, June 1972, Vol. 31 No. 6Ad Specially Made for Baby

Ad Think of Softness Think of Johnson's

Ads Baby Powder

Ads JOHNSON'S BABY POWDER. Early Ads, 1953-1971

BAILEY\_0000207

BAILEY 0000423

BAILEY\_0002968

BAILEY 0003251

Brazilian Blowout 8\_22\_11 CFTA round robin 1972.12.10

CIR - Final Report - Safety Assessment of Talc as Used in Cosmetics.CIR Procedures - June 2018

Cosmetics Regulation - GAO-HRD-90-58 Mar. 1990

CTFA Response to FDA 1973.12.26

D-237 Certified Copy\_FDA 1986 Response Ltr to 1983 Citizen Petition

D568 3-17-16 JNJ LTR TO FDA RE INFO ON TALC\_Part 1 of 3

Development of a New ASTM Method for Analysis ppt.Exhibit 104 CFTA

FDA Ltr re Asbestos in Talc 03-18-76 FDA Risk Mgmt. Adv. Comm. Excerpt

FDA_FOIA_000022	IMERYS028813
FDA_FOIA_000025	IMERYS034215
FDA_FOIA_000061	IMERYS038563
FDA_FOIA_000091	IMERYS040759
FDA_FOIA_000095	IMERYS051370
FDA_FOIA_000108	IMERYS056686
FDA_FOIA_000150	IMERYS074844
FDA_FOIA_000192	IMERYS074887
FDA_FOIA_000208	IMERYS099495
FDA_FOIA_000254	IMERYS136822
FDA_FOIA_004453	IMERYS136824
FDA_FOIA_004529	IMERYS138675
FDA_FOIA_004557	IMERYS152814
FDA FOIA 004563	IMERYS205540
FDA_FOIA_004597	IMERYS208853
FDA_FOIA_004655	IMERYS209398
FDA_FOIA_004675	IMERYS209930
FDA FOIA 004884	IMERYS210472
FDA FOIA 005113	IMERYS210707
FDA_FOIA_005535	IMERYS239749
FDA FOIA 005549	IMERYS239757
FDA_FOIA_005593	IMERYS239791
FDA_FOIA_005631	IMERYS239883
FDA FOIA 005647	IMERYS240286
FDA_FOIA_009373	IMERYS240342
FDA_FOIA_009726	IMERYS240415
FDA FOIA 009797	IMERYS244415
FDA FOIA 009825	IMERYS244677
FDA_FOIA_009865	IMERYS250192
FDA_FOIA_010086	IMERYS250983
FDA_FOIA_010080 FDA FOIA 010269	IMERYS251651
FDA_FOIA_010209 FDA FOIA 010730	IMERYS255224
FDA_FOIA_010730 FDA FOIA 013254	IMER 1 S 2 5 3 2 2 4 1 MER Y S 2 5 5 3 8 4
FDA_FOIA_013254 FDA_FOIA_013265	IMER 1 5 2 5 3 3 6 4 IMER Y S 2 6 5 2 3 1
FDA_FOIA_013272	IMER 1 5203231 IMERYS 269418
FDA_FOIA_013272 FDA_FOIA_013481	IMER 1 5 2 0 9 4 1 8 1 MER Y S 2 7 9 6 8 2
	IMER 1 52 / 9082 IMERYS 280786
Federal Register 1965.12.23	IMER 1 5280780 IMERYS281179
Federal Register 1978.10.10	
Federal Register 1990.06.20	IMERYS284935
Federal Register 1996.04.31	IMERYS288545
IMA-NA0024007	IMERYS288588
IMERYS 068497	IMERYS303828
IMERYS 077676	IMERYS303841
IMERYS 140471	IMERYS303861
IMERYS 173520	IMERYS306274
IMERYS 418301	IMERYS306387
IMERYS 444294	IMERYS308446
IMERYS026527	IMERYS324700

#### 

IMERYS325989
IMERYS363871
IMERYS418290
IMERYS422289
IMERYS437666
IMERYS442501
IMERYS456885
IMERYS462959
IMERYS467511
IMERYS467736
IMERYS-A_0005946
IMERYS-A_0010837
Int'l Cosmetic Ingredient
Dictionary 16th EdJ Hopkins
D-5 J Hopkins
D-6 J Hopkins
D-7 J Hopkins
D-8 J Hopkins
D-12J Hopkins D-21
J&J 26
J&J 28
J&J 34 (J&J-0005509)
J&J 35
J&J 36
J&J 38
J&J 49
J&J 60
J&J 71
J&J 105
J&J 258
JNJ 00040596
JNJ 000089413
JNJ 000251888
JNJ 000260700
JNJ 000270083
JNJ 000405425
JNJ 000426237
JNJ 000438939
JNJ 000438941
JNJ 000648488
JNJ000000022
JNJ000000112
JNJ000000251
JNJ000000636
JNJ000000767

JNJ000000935 JNJ000002484 JNJ000002527 JNJ000004349 JNJ000007936 JNJ000011704 JNJ00001447 JNJ000015565 JNJ000087991 JNJ000015573 JNJ000092224 JNJ000133095 JNJ000016326 JNJ000016393 JNJ000231207 JNJ000016566 JNJ000231422 JNJ000016645 JNJ000231601 JNJ000017587 JNJ000232574 JNJ000017613 JNJ000232996 JNJ000018407 JNJ000237115 JNJ000019157 JNJ000239315 JNJ000019158 JNJ000239387 JNJ000019228 JNJ000245216 JNJ000019415 JNJ000245488 JNJ000019709 JNJ000248160 JNJ000020298 JNJ000248584 JNJ000020656 JNJ000248615 JNJ000020728 JNJ000249213 JNJ000249322 JNJ000020759 JNJ000020907 JNJ000250399 JNJ000021004 JNJ000250666 JNJ000021008 JNJ000251888 JNJ000021285 JNJ000253027 JNJ000022597 JNJ000254361 JNJ000023191 JNJ000257836 JNJ000024397 JNJ000259267 JNJ000024418 JNJ000261557 JNJ000024462 JNJ000261640 JNJ000025132 JNJ000265404 JNJ000026092 JNJ000265536 JNJ000026764 JNJ000267139 JNJ000030027 JNJ000267823 JNJ000030036 JNJ000269042 JNJ000030476 JNJ000270084 JNJ000031001 JNJ000284107 JNJ000033761 JNJ000304364 JNJ000035173 JNJ000304421 JNJ000035707 JNJ000304716 JNJ000036519 JNJ000304864 JNJ000038327 JNJ000308280 JNJ000040596 JNJ000314657 JNJ000042593 JNJ000326795 JNJ000047005 JNJ000330448 JNJ000047066 JNJ000336835 JNJ000050364 JNJ000343580 JNJ000066259 JNJ000343611 JNJ000066264J NJ000085114 JNJ000086531

NJ000343612   JNJ000636886   JNJ000636813   JNJ000636931   JNJ000636931   JNJ000636931   JNJ000636932   JNJ00034836   JNJ000636949   JNJ000636949   JNJ000346836   JNJ000636972   JNJ000636972   JNJ000636951   JNJ000636972   JNJ000636951   JNJ000636972   JNJ000636981   JNJ000636981   JNJ000636837   JNJ000681690   JNJ000688213   JNJ000688219   JNJ00068219   JNJ000377123   JNJ000377123   JNJ000377125   JNJAZ55_00000155   JNJAZ55_00000155   JNJ000377125   JNJAZ55_000010259   JNJ000377125   JNJAZ55_000010259   JNJ00038006   JNJNAS68_000020276   JNJ00038006   JNJNAS68_000020276   JNJ00038006   JNJNAS68_000020276   JNJNL61_00003941   JNJNL61_00003941   JNJNL61_00003941   JNJNL61_00003941   JNJNL61_000067348   JNJNL61_000067348   JNJNL61_000067348   JNJNL61_000067348   JNJNL61_000067348   JNJNL61_000067348   JNJNL61_000067348   JNJNL61_000067348   JNJNLALC000173802   JNJTALC000173802   JNJTALC000173802   JNJTALC000173802   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000434308   JNJTALC00043430   JNJTALC00043430   JNJTALC00043430   JNJTALC00043430   JNJTALC00043430   JNJTALC000652396   JNJTALC00067304   JNJTALC000673004   JNJTALC000673004   JNJTALC000673004   JNJTALC000673004   JNJTALC000673004   JNJTALC000673004		D. 110.00 c2 c00 c
JNJ000343614	JNJ000343612	JNJ000636886
INJ000343946	JNJ000343613	
JNJ000346836	JNJ000343614	
IN1000349424	JNJ000343946	
JNJ000354521   JNJ000637555   JNJ0006376521   JNJ0006376521   JNJ0006376521   JNJ0006376821   JNJ000681690   JNJ000368327   JNJ000682134   JNJ000682120   JNJ000374512   JNJ000682120   JNJ000375389   JNJ000375770   JNJ000682134   JNJ000377123   JNJ000377123   JNJ000377125   JNJ255_000004156   JNJ000377125   JNJ255_000005015   JNJ000377405   JNJAZ55_000001259   JNJ000377405   JNJAZ55_000010259   JNJ000383066   JNJMX68_000020276   JNJ000383067   JNJNL61_00019288   JNJ000383067   JNJNL61_00019288   JNJ000405087   JNJNL61_000030041   JNJNL61_000045174   JNJ000405087   JNJNL61_000045174   JNJ000405087   JNJNL61_000062785   JNJNL61_000062785   JNJ000422578   JNJNL61_000067348   JNJTALC000062785   JNJTALC000062894   JNJTALC0000173802   JNJTALC000173802   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC0000289190   JNJTALC00048930   JNJTALC000027308   JNJTALC000049313   JNJTALC0000413104   JNJ000523964   JNJTALC0000413104   JNJTALC0000493440   JNJTALC0000523964   JNJTALC000077137   JNJTALC000077137   JNJTALC0000523964   JNJTALC000077136   JNJTALC000077137   JNJTALC0000523964   JNJTALC000077137   JNJTALC000077137   JNJTALC0000523964   JNJTALC000077137   JNJTALC000077136   JNJTALC000077137   JNJTALC000077137   JNJTALC000077136   JNJTALC000077137   JNJTALC000077137   JNJTALC000077136   JNJTALC000077137   JNJTALC000077136   JNJTALC000077137   JNJTALC000077137   JNJTALC0000777136   JNJTALC000077137   JNJTALC0000776624   J&J Healthwashing Babies For 100 Years JNJ000576624   J&J Healthwashing Babies For 100 Years JNJ000576634   J&J Healthwashing Babies For 100 Years JNJ000563908   WCD0139 LoGiudice   JNJ000623908   WCD0139 LoGiudice   JNJ000623908   WCD0139 LoGiudice   JNJ000623908   JNJ000623908   WCD0139 LoGiudice   JNJ000623908   JNJ000623908   WCD0139 LoGiudice   JNJ000623908   JNJ000623908   WCD0139 LoGiudice   JNJ000623908   WCD0139 LoGiudice   JNJ000623908   JNJ000623908   WCD0139 LoGiudice   JNJ000623008   JNJ000623008   JNJ00	JNJ000346836	
JNJ000367482   JNJ000639691   JNJ000637483   JNJ000648485   JNJ000367483   JNJ000648485   JNJ000368327   JNJ000683219   JNJ00068219   JNJ000376353   JNJ000682120   JNJ000375389   JNJ000682134   JNJ000377123   JNJ000377125   JNJ000377125   JNJ000377125   JNJAZ55_000004156   JNJ000377405   JNJAZ55_000010259   JNJ000382894   JNJAZ55_000010259   JNJ000383006   JNJMX68_000020276   JNJ000383006   JNJMX68_000020276   JNJ000383006   JNJMX68_000020276   JNJ000385468   JNJNL61_00019288   JNJNL61_00019288   JNJ000405087   JNJNL61_000035427   JNJNL61_000045174   JNJ000405087   JNJNL61_0000045174   JNJNL61_000062842   JNJNL61_000062842   JNJNL61_000062785   JNJNL61_000062785   JNJNL61_000062785   JNJNL61_000062785   JNJNL61_000062785   JNJNL61_000062785   JNJNL61_000062785   JNJNL61_000062785   JNJNL61_000062785   JNJTALC000173802   JNJTALC000173802   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000178819   JNJTALC000327308   JNJTALC000327308   JNJTALC000341338   JNJTALC000413069   JNJTALC000413069   JNJTALC000413069   JNJTALC000413069   JNJTALC000077136   JNJ000523964   JNJTALC000077136   JNJTALC000077136   JNJ000523967   JNJTALC000077136   JNJTALC000077136   JNJTALC000077137   JNJ000523967   JNJTALC000077136   JNJTALC000077137   JNJ00056816   JNJTALC000077137   JNJTALC0000770304   JNJTALC00007070304	JNJ000349424	
JNJ000367483	JNJ000356521	
JNJ000368327   JNJ000681690   JNJ000368353   JNJ00068219   JNJ000374512   JNJ000682120   JNJ000374512   JNJ000682134   JNJ000682134   JNJ0003756770   JNJ000682134   JNJ000377123   JNJ000377123   JNJ000377125   JNJAZ55_000004156   JNJ000377405   JNJAZ55_00000515   JNJ000377405   JNJAZ55_000005015   JNJ000382894   JNJAZ55_000005015   JNJ000383006   JNJMK68_000020276   JNJ00038306   JNJNL61_00019288   JNJNL61_00019288   JNJ00038306   JNJNL61_000030041   JNJ000485468   JNJNL61_000030041   JNJ000405087   JNJNL61_000050474   JNJNL61_000052427   JNJ000426237   JNJNL61_000062785   JNJNL61_000062785   JNJNL61_000062785   JNJTALC000173802   JNJTALC000173802   JNJTALC000173802   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000173803   JNJTALC000327308   JNJTALC000327308   JNJTALC000341338   JNJTALC000341338   JNJTALC000413104   JNJ0005489313   JNJTALC000413104   JNJ000523964   JNJTALC000413104   JNJ000523964   JNJTALC000413104   JNJ000523967   JNJTALC000777136   JNJTALC000777136   JNJTALC000777136   JNJTALC000777136   JNJTALC000777137   JNJ00056815   JNJTALC000777137   JNJ00056816   JNJTALC000873044   JNJTALC000873044   JNJTALC000873044   JNJTALC000873044   JNJTALC000873044   JNJTALC000777137   JNJ000566816   JNJTALC000873044   JNJTALC000873044   JNJTALC000873044   JNJTALC000873044   JNJTALC000873044   JNJTALC000873044   JNJTALC000777137   JNJ000576624   J&J Healthwashing Babies For 100 Years   JNJ000576624   J&J Healthwashing Babies For 100 Years   JNJ000598569   Lewin to WCD 0.194.1972   Lewin to WCD 0.16iudice   JNJ000623908   WCD0139 LoGiudice   JNJ000623908   JNJ0006223908   JNJ0006223908   JNJ0	JNJ000367482	
JNJ000368357	JNJ000367483	
JNJ000374512	JNJ000368327	
JNJ000374-312 JNJ000376770 JNJ000376770 JNJ000377123 JNJ000377125 JNJ000377125 JNJ000377405 JNJ00377405 JNJ00382894 JNJ000382894 JNJ000383006 JNJNK8_000020276 JNJ000383007 JNJ000383007 JNJNL61_000030041 JNJ000383057 JNJNL61_000030041 JNJ00045087 JNJ000405087 JNJNL61_000045174 JNJ00042578 JNJ000426237 JNJNL61_000052427 JNJ000426237 JNJ1ALC000062894 JNJ000441710 JNJTALC00062894 JNJ00048813 JNJ00048813 JNJTALC000173803 JNJTALC000173803 JNJ000468930 JNJ000468930 JNJTALC000173803 JNJTALC000173801 JNJ000488208 JNJ000488208 JNJTALC000173803 JNJTALC000327308 JNJ000488208 JNJ000521616 JNJTALC000413104 JNJ000521616 JNJ000523964 JNJ000523964 JNJ000523964 JNJ000523964 JNJ000566815 JNJTALC000873044 JNJTALC00087304 JNJTALC000877136 JNJ000576624 JNJ000598569 JNJTALC0008906 JNJTALC000873044 JNJ000598569 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD LoGiudice WCD0139 LoGiudice	JNJ000368353	
JNJ000376770 JNJ000376770 JNJ000376770 JNJ000377123 JNJ000377125 JNJ000377125 JNJ000377405 JNJAZ55_000005015 JNJ000382894 JNJ000382894 JNJ000383006 JNJMX68_000020276 JNJ000383057 JNJ000385468 JNJNL61_000045174 JNJ000405087 JNJ000405610 JNJNL61_000052427 JNJ000422578 JNJ000422578 JNJ000426237 JNJ000447755 JNJ7ALC000062785 JNJ000447755 JNJ00048813 JNJ7ALC000173802 JNJ7ALC000173803 JNJ000468930 JNJ000468930 JNJTALC000173803 JNJTALC000173803 JNJ000488208 JNJ000488208 JNJTALC00017380 JNJTALC000327308 JNJ000489313 JNJ000489313 JNJ000489313 JNJ000489313 JNJ000489313 JNJ000523964 JNJ000523964 JNJTALC000413104 JNJ000523964 JNJTALC000777136 JNJ00052056816 JNJ000566816 JNJTALC000852994 JNJ000576624 JNJ000598569 Lewin to WCD LoGiudice WCD0139 LoGiudice	JNJ000374512	
JNJ000377123	JNJ000375389	
INJO00377125	JNJ000376770	
INJO00377405	JNJ000377123	
JNJ000382894 JNJ000383006 JNJ000383006 JNJ000383006 JNJ000383057 JNJ000385468 JNJ000405087 JNJ00405610 JNJ00405610 JNJ000422578 JNJ000422578 JNJ0004426237 JNJ000447755 JNJ000447755 JNJ000447755 JNJ000468813 JNJ000468813 JNJ000468813 JNJ000468930 JNJTALC000173802 JNJTALC000062894 JNJ000471544 JNJ000471544 JNJ00048208 JNJTALC000327308 JNJTALC000327308 JNJ000489313 JNJ000489313 JNJ000523964 JNJTALC000413104 JNJ000523964 JNJ000523964 JNJTALC000777136 JNJ000523967 JNJ000523967 JNJTALC000873044 JNJ00056815 JNJTALC00087304 JNJ000576831 JNJ000576831 JNJ000592573 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD LoGiudice JNJ000623908	JNJ000377125	<b>=</b>
JNJ000383006 JNJ00038306 JNJ000383057 JNJ000383057 JNJ000385468 JNJ000405087 JNJ000405610 JNJ000422578 JNJ000422578 JNJ000422578 JNJ000441710 JNJTALC000062785 JNJ000447755 JNJ000447755 JNJ00048813 JNJTALC000173802 JNJTALC000173803 JNJ000468813 JNJ000468930 JNJTALC000173801 JNJTALC000289190 JNJ00048931 JNJ000489313 JNJ000489313 JNJTALC000413104 JNJ000519460 JNJTALC000413104 JNJ000521616 JNJ000523964 JNJ000523964 JNJTALC000777136 JNJ000523967 JNJ000523964 JNJTALC000777136 JNJ00052086815 JNJTALC000873044 JNJTALC000873044 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576831 JNJ000576831 JNJ000592573 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD 1.30	JNJ000377405	
JNJN00383057 JNJNL61_00019288 JNJ000383057 JNJNL61_000030041 JNJNL61_000045174 JNJ000405610 JNJNL61_000052427 JNJ000422578 JNJN00426237 JNJNL61_000062785 JNJTALC000062894 JNJ000447710 JNJTALC000173802 JNJO00458312 JNJ000458312 JNJTALC000173803 JNJTALC000173803 JNJO00468813 JNJTALC000173803 JNJTALC000178819 JNJTALC000289190 JNJ000471544 JNJ000488208 JNJTALC000327308 JNJTALC000327308 JNJTALC000413104 JNJ0005923964 JNJTALC000413104 JNJTALC000413104 JNJ000523964 JNJTALC000413104 JNJO00523964 JNJTALC000777136 JNJO00523967 JNJTALC000494340 JNJTALC000777136 JNJ000523967 JNJTALC000777136 JNJ000523967 JNJTALC000777136 JNJTALC000777137 JNJ00056815 JNJTALC000873044 JRJ Healthwashing Babies For 100 Years JNJ000576624 JNJ000576624 JNJO00576831 JNJ000592573 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD J.0Giudice JNJ00050107	JNJ000382894	<del>_</del>
JNJ000385468 JNJ000385468 JNJ000405087 JNJNL61_000045174 JNJ000405610 JNJNL61_000052427 JNJ00042578 JNJNL61_000062785 JNJNL61_000062785 JNJNL61_000062785 JNJO00441710 JNJTALC000062894 JNJTALC000173802 JNJTALC000173803 JNJTALC000173803 JNJTALC000178819 JNJ000468813 JNJTALC000178819 JNJ000468930 JNJTALC000289190 JNJ000471544 JNJ000488208 JNJTALC000327308 JNJTALC000327308 JNJO00489313 JNJTALC000341338 JNJO00489313 JNJTALC000413069 JNJTALC000413104 JNJ000519460 JNJTALC000413104 JNJ000523964 JNJTALC000494340 JNJTALC000494340 JNJTALC000777136 JNJ000523967 JNJTALC000777136 JNJTALC000777137 JNJ00056815 JNJTALC000873044 JNJTALC000873044 JNJTALC000873044 JNJTALC000873044 JNJTALC000873044 JNJO00576624 JNJO00576831 JOJO-MA2546-01283 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD LoGiudice JNJ00050107	JNJ000383006	
JNJ000405087 JNJ000405610 JNJ000405610 JNJNL61_000052427 JNJ000422578 JNJ000426237 JNJ000447710 JNJTALC000062894 JNJTALC000173802 JNJ000458312 JNJ000468813 JNJ000468930 JNJTALC000289190 JNJ000488208 JNJTALC000327308 JNJTALC000327308 JNJ000489313 JNJ000489313 JNJ000489313 JNJTALC000413069 JNJTALC000413069 JNJ000523964 JNJ000523964 JNJTALC000433553 JNJTALC000777136 JNJ000523967 JNJ00056816 JNJTALC000853994 JNJTALC000853994 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000873044 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576831 JNJ000592573 JNJ000598569 JNJ000520908 WCD0139 LoGiudice WCD0139 LoGiudice	JNJ000383057	_
JNJ00405610	JNJ000385468	<del>_</del>
JNJ000422578 JNJ000422578 JNJ000426237 JNJ000441710 JNJTALC000062894 JNJ000447755 JNJ000447755 JNJTALC000173802 JNJTALC000173803 JNJTALC000178819 JNJ000468813 JNJTALC000178819 JNJTALC000289190 JNJTALC000327308 JNJTALC000327308 JNJTALC000341338 JNJ000488208 JNJTALC000341338 JNJTALC000413069 JNJTALC000413104 JNJ000521616 JNJTALC000413104 JNJ000523964 JNJTALC000494340 JNJTALC000494340 JNJTALC000777136 JNJ000523967 JNJTALC000777136 JNJ000542058 JNJTALC000777137 JNJ000566815 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000873044 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576831 JNJ000592573 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD LoGiudice WCD0139 LoGiudice	JNJ000405087	<del>_</del>
JNJ000426237 JNJ000441710 JNJ000441710 JNJ000447755 JNJTALC000173802 JNJO00458312 JNJ000468813 JNJTALC000178819 JNJTALC000289190 JNJ000468930 JNJTALC000327308 JNJTALC000327308 JNJTALC000341338 JNJ000488208 JNJTALC000341338 JNJO00489313 JNJTALC000413069 JNJTALC000413104 JNJ000521616 JNJTALC000494340 JNJTALC000533553 JNJO00523964 JNJO00523964 JNJTALC000777136 JNJ000542058 JNJTALC000777137 JNJ000566816 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000873044 JNJTALC000873044 JNJO00576624 JNJ000576624 JNJO00592573 JNJ000598569 JNJ000623908 WCD0139 LoGiudice JNJO00623908	JNJ000405610	_
JNJ000441710 JNJ000441715 JNJ000447755 JNJ000458312 JNJ000468813 JNJ000468813 JNJ000468930 JNJTALC000173803 JNJTALC000178819 JNJ000471544 JNJ000488208 JNJTALC000327308 JNJTALC000327308 JNJTALC000341338 JNJ000489313 JNJ000519460 JNJTALC000413104 JNJ000521616 JNJ000523964 JNJTALC000494340 JNJTALC000533553 JNJ000523967 JNJ000542058 JNJTALC000777136 JNJTALC000777137 JNJ000566816 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000873044 JNJTALC000873044 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576631 JNJ000592573 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD 1.0Giudice WCD0137	JNJ000422578	_
JNJ000447755 JNJ000458312 JNJ000468813 JNJ000468930 JNJTALC000178819 JNJ000471544 JNJ000488208 JNJTALC000327308 JNJTALC000341338 JNJ000489313 JNJ000489313 JNJTALC000413069 JNJTALC000413104 JNJ000519460 JNJTALC000413104 JNJ000523964 JNJ000523964 JNJTALC000777136 JNJ000523967 JNJ00054058 JNJTALC000777137 JNJ000566815 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000873044 JNJTALC000873044 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576631 JNJ000592573 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 JNJ000598569 JNJ000623908	JNJ000426237	
JNJ000458312 JNJ000468813 JNJ000468930 JNJTALC000178819 JNJTALC000289190 JNJ000471544 JNJ000488208 JNJTALC000327308 JNJTALC000341338 JNJ000489313 JNJTALC000413069 JNJTALC000413104 JNJ000519460 JNJTALC000494340 JNJTALC000494340 JNJTALC000533553 JNJ000523964 JNJTALC000777136 JNJ000523967 JNJTALC000777137 JNJ000542058 JNJTALC000777137 JNJ000566815 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000873044 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576631 JNJ000592573 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD LoGiudice WCD0187	JNJ000441710	
JNJ000468813 JNJ000468930 JNJTALC000289190 JNJ000471544 JNJ000488208 JNJTALC000327308 JNJTALC000341338 JNJ000489313 JNJTALC000413069 JNJTALC000413104 JNJ000521616 JNJ000523964 JNJTALC000494340 JNJTALC000494340 JNJTALC000777136 JNJ000523967 JNJTALC000777136 JNJTALC000777137 JNJ000566815 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000873044 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576631 JNJ000592573 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 JNJ000598569 JNJ000623908 WCD0139 LoGiudice WCD0139	JNJ000447755	
JNJ000468930 JNJ000471544 JNJ000488208 JNJ000488208 JNJ000489313 JNJTALC000341338 JNJ000519460 JNJTALC000413104 JNJ000521616 JNJTALC000494340 JNJTALC000533553 JNJTALC000777136 JNJ000523967 JNJTALC000777137 JNJ000542058 JNJTALC000777137 JNJ000566815 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000873044 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576831 JNJ000592573 JNJ000592573 JNJ000598569 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD LoGiudice WCD0139 LoGiudice	JNJ000458312	
JNJ000471544 JNJ000488208 JNJ000489313 JNJTALC0003413069 JNJTALC000413104 JNJ000521616 JNJTALC000494340 JNJTALC000533553 JNJ000523964 JNJTALC000777136 JNJ000523967 JNJ000542058 JNJTALC000777137 JNJ000566815 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000873044 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576624 JNJO00576831 JNJ000592573 JNJ000598569 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD LoGiudice WCD0139 LoGiudice	JNJ000468813	
JNJ000488208 JNJ000489313 JNJTALC000413069 JNJ000519460 JNJ000521616 JNJTALC000494340 JNJTALC000533553 JNJTALC000777136 JNJ000523967 JNJ000542058 JNJTALC000777137 JNJ000566815 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000873044 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576831 JNJ000592573 JNJ000598569 JNJ000523908 JNJ000523908 JNJ000623908 JNJ000623908 JNJ000592573 JNJ000623908 JNJ000623908 JNJ0006931 JNJ0006931 JNJ0006931 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD LoGiudice	JNJ000468930	
JNJ000489313 JNJ000519460 JNJ000521616 JNJTALC000413104 JNJ000523964 JNJTALC000533553 JNJTALC000777136 JNJ000542058 JNJTALC000777137 JNJ000566815 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000873044 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576831 JNJ000592573 JNJ000592573 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD LoGiudice WCD0139 LoGiudice	JNJ000471544	
JNJ000519460 JNJ000521616 JNJ000523964 JNJ000523967 JNJ000523967 JNJ000542058 JNJTALC000777136 JNJTALC000777137 JNJ000566815 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000873044 JNJTALC000873044 JNJ000576624 JNJO00576624 JNJ000576831 JNJ000592573 JNJ000598569 JNJ000623908 JNJ000623908 JNJO00623908 JNJO00623908 JNJO00623908 JNJO00623908 JNJTALC000873044 J&J Healthwashing Babies For 100 Years JNJ000598569 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD LoGiudice WCD0139 LoGiudice	JNJ000488208	
JNJ000521616 JNJ000523964 JNJTALC000494340 JNJTALC000533553 JNJTALC000777136 JNJ000542058 JNJTALC000777137 JNJ000566815 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000873044 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576831 JNJ000592573 JNJ000598569 JNJ000598569 JNJ000623908 JNJ000623908 JNJ000623908 JNJTALC000873044 J&J Healthwashing Babies For 100 Years JOJO-MA2546-01283 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD LoGiudice WCD0139 LoGiudice	JNJ000489313	
JNJ000523964 JNJ000523967 JNJTALC000533553 JNJTALC000777136 JNJ000542058 JNJTALC000777137 JNJ000566815 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000873044 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576831 JNJ000592573 JNJ000598569 JNJ000598569 JNJ000623908 JNJ000623908 JNJTALC000873044 JWJTALC000873044 JWJTALC000873044 JWJTALC000873044 JWJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000873044 JWJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000873044 JWJTALC000873044 JWJTALC00087304	JNJ000519460	
JNJ000523967 JNJ000523967 JNJ000542058 JNJTALC000777137 JNJ000566815 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000873044 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576831 JNJ000592573 JNJ000598569 JNJ000623908 JNJ000623908 JNJTALC000873044 J&J Healthwashing Babies For 100 Years JOJO-MA2546-01283 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD LoGiudice WCD0139 LoGiudice	JNJ000521616	
JNJ000542058 JNJ000566815 JNJTALC000777137 JNJ000566816 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000873044 JNJ000576624 J&J Healthwashing Babies For 100 Years JNJ000576831 JNJ000592573 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD LoGiudice JNJ000623908 WCD0139 LoGiudice	JNJ000523964	
JNJ000542038 JNJ000566815 JNJ000566816 JNJTALC000852994 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576831 JNJ000592573 JNJ000598569 JNJ000623908 JNJ000623908 JNJ000623908 JNJ000623908 JNJ000623908 JNJ000623908 JNJTALC000852994 JNJTALC000873044 J&J Healthwashing Babies For 100 Years JOJO-MA2546-01283 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD LoGiudice	JNJ000523967	
JNJ000566816 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576831 JNJ000592573 JNJ000598569 JNJ000623908  JNJTALC000873044 J&J Healthwashing Babies For 100 Years JOJO-MA2546-01283 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD LoGiudice WCD0139 LoGiudice	JNJ000542058	
JNJ000576624 JNJ000576624 JNJ000576831 JNJ000592573 JNJ000598569 JNJ000623908  J&J Healthwashing Babies For 100 Years JOJO-MA2546-01283 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 Lewin to WCD LoGiudice WCD0139 LoGiudice	JNJ000566815	
JNJ000576831 JOJO-MA2546-01283 JNJ000592573 Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972 JNJ000598569 Lewin to WCD LoGiudice JNJ000623908 WCD0139 LoGiudice	JNJ000566816	
JNJ000576831  JNJ000592573  JNJ000598569  JNJ000623908  Lewin to WCD 9.14.1972  Lewin to WCD LoGiudice  WCD0139 LoGiudice	JNJ000576624	<u>e</u>
JNJ000598569 JNJ000623908 Lewin to WCD LoGiudice WCD0139 LoGiudice	JNJ000576831	
JNJ000538307 JNJ000623908 WCD0139 LoGiudice	JNJ000592573	
JNJ000023900	JNJ000598569	
JNJ000635886 WCD0187	JNJ000623908	
	JNJ000635886	WCD0187

LoGiudiceWCD0309	P-137
LoGiudiceWCD0523 LUZ000566	P-204
LUZ022207 MDL_KELLY00011280P-1	P-223
P-2 (JNJ000037468)	P-225
P-3	P-229
P-5 (JNJ000029640)	P-239
P-9	P-242
P-10_RedactedP-11	P-317
P-12_Redacted	P-321
P-13 (LUZ022044)	P-324
P-14 (JNJ000011708)	P-340
P-17 (JNJ000000119)	P-341
P-18	P-342
P-19	P-343
P-20	P-344
P-21	P-348
P-22	P-372
P-23	P-374
P-24	P-414
P-25	P-418
P-26_Redacted	P-432
P-27_Redacted	P-455
P-29	P-458
P-30	P-464
P-31	P-508
P-32_Redacted	P-510
P-33_Redacted	P-519
P-34_Redacted	P-557
P-35	P-558
P-37	P-570
P-38	P-659
P-40	P-660
P-42	P-690
P-47	P-709
P-49	P-713
P-55	P-796
P-57	P-802
P-59	P-803
P-60	P-806b
P-68	P-820
P-80	P-832
P-81	P-833
P-84	P-845
P-101	P-869
P-107	P-873
P-112	P-876
P-115	P-1038
P-134	P-1048

P-1056	PCPC_MDL00090607
P-1062	PCPC_MDL00110545
P-1063	PCPC_MDL00122041
P-1166	PCPC_MDL00122043
P-1169	PCPC_MDL00122051
P-1170	PCPC_MDL00122054
P-1171	PCPC_MDL00122056
P-1173	PCPC MDL00140807
P-1186	PCPC MDL00140808
P-1194	PCPC_MDL00140810
P-1287	PCPC MDL00141265
P-1293	PCPC_MDL00141416
P-1390	PCPC MDL00141907
P-1392	PCPC MDL00144926
P-1430	PCPC0005505
P-1431	PCPC0005508
P-1432	PCPC0058503
P-1433	Pltf_JNJ_00000609 (JNJ000021035)
P-1434	Pltf_JNJ_00001141 (JNJ000011704-11708)
P-1435	Pltf_JNJ_00003102 (JNJ000024495-24500)
P-1441	Pltf_JNJ_00003373 (JNJ000020397)
PCPC Comments on Citizens Petition	Pltf_LUZ_00000093 (LUZ000566-567)
PCPC_MDL00000998	Pltf_LUZ_00000419 (LUZ003204)
PCPC MDL00001327	Pltf_LUZ_00000647 (LUZ005090-5091)
PCPC_MDL00004583	Pltf_LUZ_00000899 (LUZ006056)
PCPC_MDL00006123	Pltf_LUZ_00005093 (LUZ011963)
PCPC_MDL00006126	Pltf_LUZ_00008807 (LUZ022207-22208)
PCPC MDL00007392	Pltf_PCPC_0002036 (PCPC0077761-7926)
PCPC MDL00007745	Pltf JNJ 00031488 (JNJ000240311)
PCPC_MDL00015232	PCPC0058604
PCPC_MDL00020769	PCPC0059224
PCPC_MDL00021038	PCPC0059477
PCPC_MDL00026122	PCPC0061009
PCPC MDL00026217	PCPC0061912
PCPC_MDL00026482	PCPC0066561
PCPC MDL00028619	PCPC0075385
PCPC MDL00029211	PCPC0075387
PCPC MDL00030416	PCPC0075827
PCPC MDL00030744	PCPC0078446
PCPC_MDL00031696	PCPC0079602
PCPC_MDL0003180	PCPC0080521
PCPC_MDL00032183	PCPC0080710
PCPC_MDL00032183	PCPC0081179
PCPC_MDL00034600 PCPC_MDL00044971	1 (1 (00011/)

Johnson & Johnson. (January, 2021). *Position on Ethics and Compliance*. https://www.jnj.com/about-jnj/policies-and-positions/our-position-on-ethics-and-compliance Products \_ Hair-Smoothing Products That Release Formaldehyde When HeatedProfit Opportunity

### - Adult market JNJ BP

Response to Public Citizen request 1.11.1979 RedactedResponses to Russell on Particles in Talc Steve Gettings - Vice-President Global Product Safety & Regulatory Affairs The Birth of Our Baby Products Kilmer House

U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2020, August 24). Cosmetics Labeling Guide. https://www.fda.gov/cosmetics/cosmetics-labeling-regulations/cosmetics-labeling-guide U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2021, March 8). FDA Authority Over Cosmetics: How Cosmetics Are Not FDA-Approved, but Are FDA-Regulated.

https://www.fda.gov/cosmetics/cosmetics-laws-regulations/fda-authority-over-cosmetics-howcosmetics-are-not-fda-approved-are-fda-regulated

U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2002, July 29). FDA Recall Policy for Cosmetics.

https://www.fda.gov/cosmetics/cosmetics-recalls-alerts/fda-recall-policy-cosmetics

U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2020, August 24). Inspection of Cosmetics.

https://www.fda.gov/cosmetics/cosmetics-compliance-enforcement/inspection-cosmetics

U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2020, August 24). Key Legal Concepts for Cosmetics Industry: Interstate Commerce, Adulterated, and Misbranded.

https://www.fda.gov/cosmetics/cosmetics-laws-regulations/key-legal-concepts-cosmetics-industryinterstate-commerce-adulterated-and-misbranded

U.S. Food and Drug Administration. (2020, August 18). Talc.

https://www.fda.gov/cosmetics/cosmetic-ingredients/talc

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration. (Mar. 5, 2019). Statement from FDA Commissioner Scott Gottlieb, M.D., and Susan Mayne, Ph.D., director of the Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, on tests confirming a 2017 finding of asbestos contamination in certain cosmetic products and new steps that FDA is pursuing to improve cosmetics safety. https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/statement-fdacommissioner-scott-gottlieb-md-and-susan-mayne-phd-director-center-food-safety-and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER). (Sept. 2019). Citizen Petitions and Petitions for Stay of Action Subject to Section 505(q) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act Guidance for Industry (OMB Control No. 0910-0679).

Johnson & Johnson. (2018, December 15). 5 Important Facts About the Safety of Talc. Content Lab U.S. https://www.jnj.com/our-products/5-important-facts-about-the-safety-of-talc Van Tibolli Beauty Corp 9.2.15

WCD – Krempecki (NJ) – 00005

WCD000001

WCD000016

WCD000039

WCD000067

WIND-MA10764-0001

Congress of the United States, Committee on Oversight and Reform and Select Subcommittee on the Coronavirus Crisis. (22 Jun 2021). Letter to Alex Gorsky.

Congress of the United States, Committee on Oversight and Reform. (2021). Chairman Krishnamoorthi Requests Information from Johnson & Johnson on Talc Bankruptcy Plan and Impact on Injured Consumers. https://oversight.house.gov/news/press-releases/chairmankrishnamoorthi-requests-information-from-johnson-johnson-on-talc

PageID: 205425

Congress of the United States, Committee on Oversight and Reform and Select Subcommittee on the Coronavirus Crisis. (28 Jul 2021). Letter to Alex Gorsky.

https://oversight.house.gov/sites/democrats.oversight.house.gov/files/2021-07-28.RK%20to%20Gorsky-J%26J%20re%20Talc%20Baby%20Powder.pdf

Department of Health and Human Services. (March 2005). Guidance for Industry Good Pharmacovigilance Practices and Pharmacoepidemiologic Assessment.

Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Inspector General. (1998). Review of the Food and Drug Administration's Citizen Petition Process A-15-97-50002. June Gibbs Brown.

FDA FOIA 013544-013555

Food and Drug Administration. Using Adverse Event Reports to Monitor Cosmetic Safety. https://www.fda.gov/cosmetics/how-report-cosmetic-related-complaint/using-adverse-eventreports-monitor-cosmetic-safety.

Johnson & Johnson Consumer Health. The Facts on Talcum Powder Safety. https://www.factsabouttalc.com/

INTERIM\_JNJTALC\_000003843 INTERIM JNJTALC 000003445 JNJTALC000341663- JNJTALC000341720

Hartge, Patricia (personal communication, October 17, 2013) discusses removal of talc as risk factor from NCI patient information site

Helzlsouer MD, Kathy (personal communication, October 24, 2013) discusses inquiry from Dan Cramer

HHS Inspector General report on Citizens Petitions

Montague-Jones, Guy. "PCPC concentrates lobbying spend on FDA funding". Cosmetics Design, 19 July 2008, https://www.cosmeticsdesign.com/Article/2008/07/09/PCPC-concentrates-lobbyingspend-on-FDA-funding

Interagency Working Group on Asbestos in Consumer Products (IWGACP), White Paper: IWGACP Scientific Opinions on Testing Methods for Asbestos in Cosmetics Products Containing Talc (Dec. 2021).

Kramer, Bill (personal communication, April 2, 2015) discusses edits to talc section.

Modernization of Cosmetics Regulation Act of 2022

National Cancer Institute. (2013). PDQ Screening and Prevention Editorial Board Wednesday, November 20, 2013 meeting minutes.

National Cancer Institute. (2015). PDQ Screening and Prevention Editorial Board Wednesday, March 2015 meeting minutes.

National Cancer Institute. "Levels of Evidence for Cancer Screening and Prevention Studies (PDQ) – Health Professional Version. 26 Jun 2015.

 $https://www.cancer.gov/publications/pdq/levels-evidence/screening-prevention\#section/all\ and the properties of the pr$ 

P1.00000026 (https://www.fda.gov/cosmetics/cosmetics-recalls-alerts/fda-advises-consumers-stop-using-certain-cosmetic-products)

P1.00000029 (JNJ 000444327-JNJ 000444346)

P1.00000104 (Complaint, *Deane Berg v. Johnson & Johnson, et al.*, Civil Action No. 094179, December 4, 2009)

P1.00000105 (IMERYS 243558-243569)

P3.0000007 (https://www.fda.gov/cosmetics/cosmetics-laws-regulations/fda-authority-over-cosmetics-how-cosmetics-are-not-fda-approved-are-fda-regulated)

P3.00000008 (https://www.fda.gov/cosmetics/cosmetics-labeling/cosmetics-labeling-regulations)

P6.00000014 (Slide re: Kathleen Wille, Ph.D., Johnson & Johnson Companies 1999-2010).

P6.0000018

P6.00000020

P-225

P-272

P-384

P-385

P-437

P-515

P-546

P-595 (MBS-CRE000271- MBS-CRE000272)

P-607

P-645

P-923

P-973

P-974

PCPC\_MDL00017330

Weight of Evidence Working Group, Health Canada. (2018). Weight of Evidence: General Principles and Current Applications at Health Canada.

# Exhibit C

William Sage, MD, JD Medical Legal Testimony in last 4 years

Date: September 23, 2021 Johnson & Johnson Talcum Powder Products Marketing, Sales Practices and Product Liability Litigation MDL No. 2738

**Hourly Rate: \$900/hour**